RECOLUTE TO DOCKET FILE COUNT

AUG 14 1980

DISTRIBUTION
Docket 50-289
PDR
LPDR
TERA
NRR r/f
TMI PO r/f
TMI Site r/f
BSnyder
JCollins
DBRinkman
WOliu

50-32¢

TERA

Mr. Richard V. Clay
15 Shellie Street
Lebanon, Pennsylvania 17042

Dear Mr. Clay:

Your letter to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission in Middletown regarding the release of radioactive materials into the atmosphere from the Three Mile Island nuclear station has been referred to me for response.

Metropolitan Edison Company submitted to NRC a "Safety Analysis and Environmental Report" (November 13, 1979) in which it evaluated alternative methods for the disposal of the krypton gases, such as purging, cryogenic processing, and selective absorption. NRC also evaluated alternative methods for disposal of the krypton gas to determine what effect decontamination would have on workers, on public health and safety, and on the environment. Based on its evaluation, NRC issued an environmental assessment (NUREG-0662 and two addenda) for public comment on March 26, 1980, and received approximately 800 comments. These comments were considered in the staff's preparation of the "Final Environmental Assessment for Decontamination of the Three Mile Island Unit 2 Reactor Building Atmosphere" (NUREG-0662), vols. 1 and 2, copies 6" which are enclosed for your information.

From this process have emerged the following NRC staff conclusions:

- The potential physical health impact on the public of using any of the proposed strategies for removing the krypton-85 is negligible.
- The potential psychological impact is likely to grow the longer it takes to reach a decision, get started, and complete the process.
- The purging method is the quickest and the safest for the workers on Three Mile Island to accomplish.
- Overall, no significant environmental impact would result from use of any of the alternatives discussed in the assessment.

On June 12, 1980, the Commission issued an Order for Temporary Modification of License, authorizing controlled purging of the krypton-85 from the reactor building atmosphere. In a separate Memorandum and Order, also issued on June 12, the Commission discussed rationale for its decision. Actual venting operations began on June 28, 1980, and were completed on July 11, 1980. Copies of both Commission issuances are also enclosed.

OFFICE	TMI/TFL/22	TMI/TFOUL	TMI PO	THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS	
		DBrinkman	BSnyder	POOR QUALITY PAGES	'
DATE	8/8/10	8/8/80			

With regard to your comment urging revocation of Metropolitan Edison Company's operating license, the Commission has ordered that a public hearing be conducted to determine whether TMI-1 should be operated and, if so, under what conditions the restart would take place. Prior to start of the hearings, the NRC staff will conduct a review of technical information concerning the restart of Unit 1. As part of this review, the NRC staff will conduct meetings with the licensee in the presence of the public, and the public will be given the opportunity to raise questions and to make statements. During the hearing, the technical issues that are appropriate to assure the public health and safety will also be addressed. In addition, the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board has indicated that NRC should consider the psychological impact of future operation on the nearby communities. A copy of the Commission Order that outlines the issues to be considered is also enclosed for your information.

As for Unit 2, the licensee has not yet submitted to the NRC a proposal for overall plant recovery, although the licensee is conducting feasibility studies. It is not possible at this time to determine when such proposals for recovery ay be submitted or how much time will be needed for the required reviews and approvals in connection with Unit 2's recovery. I would note, however, that the licensee's authority to operate Unit 2, except for those actions necessary to keep the reactor shut down, was suspended by Order of July 20, 1779.

In its Statement of Policy and Notice of Intent to Prepare a Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement of November 21, 1979, the Commission stated that the staff would prepare a programmatic environmental impact statement on the decontamination and disposal of radioactive wastes resulting from the accident at Three Mile Island Unit 2. The statement emphasizes the Commission's intention to coordinate its actions on this matter with the President's Council on Environmental Quality and to provide the opportunity for public comments. The programmatic environmental impact statement will focus on the environmental issues and alternative methods associated with the performance of these cleanup activities.

We appreciate your concerns and assure you that every effort is being made to ensure the continued protection of the health and safety of the public.

Sincerely.

Fernard J. Snyder, Program Director Three Mile Island Program Office Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

- Enclosures: 1. MUREG-0662, vols. 1 & 2
 - 2. Order for Temporary Modification of License of June 12, 1980
 - 3. Memorandum and Order of June 12, 1980
 - 4. Order and Notice of Hearing

Vi ridgist 7. 1977	
OFFICE	
SURNAME	
DATE	

Gentlemen:

I am writing to you about Something of utmost importance and concern to myself and hundreds of thousands of other people in our area. I am, of course, referring to the March 28, 1979 accident at Three Mile Island, and the Sub sequent releases of radioactive materials into the atmosphere,

The main purpose of my letter is to Stringly urge you to revoke Metropolitan Edison's liscense to Operate a nuclear power plant. Met Ed has proved time and time again to be incompetent, uninformed, unconcerned, and extremely careless as far as T. M. I is concerned. They have also lied to the public over and over again concerning releases into the almosphere. I believe there have been veleases occurring on and off ever since the initial accident. I am genuienly

Concerned for my own Safety as well as the well being of my fellow Citizens. It makes me sick to think that our future is in the hands of such incompetent Morons. Therefore I again urge you to revoke Met Eds liscense, so we don't have to relive the nightmare of last March, or even worse.

Sincerely Richard V. Clay 15 Shellie St. Lebanon, Pa.