BOARD OF DIRECTORS

M Jeanne DeRose, CHARRISON
Peter Anderson Richelle Lisse
Frot John Neess
Frot John Neess
Frot John Neess
Prot John Neess
Prot John Neess
Richard Presnell
Froent Honess Nicholas Seay, Esq.
Nancy Sorce
Thomas Van Alyea
Doriothy Lagerroos
Fromas Van Alyea



STAFF

Prof. John Neess, Executive DIRECTOR Peter Anderson, PUBLIC AFFAIRS OFFICER Dath-Kroll, Eco-Bulletin Editor Kathleen Falk, Esq., GENERAL COUNSE

August 26, 1980

Mr. Herbert Grossman, Esq., Chairman Dr. Richard F. Cole, Member Dr. J. Venn Leeds, Member Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

> Re:Wisconsin Electric Power Company Docket 50-266 (Point Beach Nuclear Plant Unit 1)

Gentlomen:

This is to request permission to supplement our previous filings in support of an ajudicatory hearing on the matters ennumerated in Petitioner's Preliminary Contentions based upon new information not previously in existence.

In accordance with the Staff's April 4, 1980 Modification of November 30, 1979 Order, in the above-referenced proceeding, Point Beach 1 was shut down for its 90 effective-full-power-day inspection of steam generator tubes on July 25, 1980. The April 4 Modification had required written approval from the Staff before the unit was permitted to return to service, as had the prior orders.

The Staff's November 30, 1979, January 3, 1980 and April 4, 1980 actions allowing restart had all been issued in the form of orders that, among other things: (1) required written approval from Staff before returning to service after the following inspection and (2) offered the right of an ajudicatory hearing on the action to an agg leved party.

However, the Staff's action following the July-August 1980 inspection outage at Point Beach 1 marked an abrupt departure from this past practice that, we believe, is legally relevant to the question now before the Licensing Board.

For this time, instead of issuing an order similar to prior orders authorizing restart, requiring written approval before returning to service following the next inspection and offering the opportunity of an ajudicatory hearing, a letter dated August 8, 1980 was issued authorizing restart(a copy of which is attached), alluding to some informal understanding between Staff and Licensee about a future inspection

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMM WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555 August 8, 1980

Docket No. 50-266

Mr. Sol Burstein Executive Vice President Wisconsin Electric Power Company 231 West Michigan Street Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201

Dear Mr. Burstein:

The NRC staff has reviewed the results of the Point Beach Unit No. 1, steam generator tubes inspection which was su dated August 5, 1980 in accordance with the April 4, 1980 November 30, 1979 Order. Based on the results of that rev November 30, 19/9 Urder. Based on the results of that reversely stated in the attached Safety Evaluation Report, which is not necessary to not reasons stated in the attached Savety Evaluation Report, we restriction on the resumption of operation of the Point Bea Plant, Unit No. 1.

We would like to emphasize that all the conditions of the November : we would like to emphasize that all the conditions of the November 3 accordance with their terms remain in effect in accordance with their terms.

It is our understanding that Unit | will be removed from servi 1980 for a refueling outage, and that during that outage, hydro 1980 for a refueling outage, and that during that outage, hydro and eddy current examinations of 100 percent of all unplugged supply purchase to 10 CFR 50 54(f) we reques and eddy current examinations of 100 percent of all unplugged stands schedule for these steam generator tube inspections. Follow

provide the NRC, within 15 days of your receipt of this letter, staff's review of your proposed plans and schedule, consideration to the necessity of issuing an Order confirming your program given to the necessity of issuing an Order confirming your program to establish additional requirements modifying your program to establish additional requirements.

If you have any questions on this subject, please contact us.

Sincerely,

Edson G. Case, Acting Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regula

Enclosure: Safety Evaluation cc w/enclosure: See next page

POOR ORIGIN