1	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT
	EQNTROL BLOCK: (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)
0 1 7 B	G A E I H 2 2 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 6 57 CAT 58
CON'T	SOURCE LL 6 0 5 0 0 0 3 6 6 7 0 17 2 6 8 0 8 2 5 8 0 9  SOURCE 60 61 DOCKET NUMBER 68 69 EVENT DATE 74 75 REPORT DATE 80
0 2	With the reactor in shutdown mode following performance of a manual
0 3	scram on 7-26-80, per IEB 80-17, scram discharge volume hi level switch-
0 4	es 2C11-N013A and D were found to be inoperable. Redundant switches
0 5	[2C11-N013B and C were operable. There were no effects on public health ]
0 6	or safety due to this event. This is a nonrepetitive event for the
07	described mode of failure.
7 8	9 SYSTEM CAUSE CAUSE COMP. VALVE
0 9	SEQUENTIAL CODE SUBCODE SUBCOD
	TO REPORT NUMBER 21 22 23 24 26 27 28 29 30 31 32
	ACTION FUTURE TAKEN ACTION ON PLANT SHUTDOWN METHOD HOURS 22 ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED FORM SUB. SUPPLIER MANUFACTURER MANUFACT
1 0	[Magnetrol model 751 switches 2C11-NO13A and D were opened for inspection.]
	[The floats were found to be crushed on both switches. New Magnetrol ]
1 2	[model 751 switches were installed, and all 4 level switches satisfactor-]
1 3	[ily functionally tested. GPCo and GE are investigating the problem to ]
7 8	Idetermine the cause of failure. Results will follow in an update reports
1 5	FACILITY STATUS SPOWER OTHER STATUS (30) METHOD OF DISCOVERY DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32)  [X] (28) [0] [0] [0] [29] [NA] [C] (31) [Inspection]  [Both Discovery Discovery Description]  [Both Discovery Discovery Description]  [Both Discovery Discove
	ACTIVITY CONTENT RELEASED OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY 35  NA  PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER TYPE DESCRIPTION 39  NA  LOCATION OF RELEASE 36  NA  RELEASE 36  NA  RELEASE 36  NA  RELEASE 36  NA  PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER TYPE DESCRIPTION 39
1 3	9 BEGSONNEL IN BIRIES 13 80
1 H 2 8	NOMBER O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O
1 9	LOSS OF OH DAMAGE TO FACILITY (43) TYPE DESCRIPTION NA NA 80
20	PUBLICITY ISSUED DESCRIPTION 458009030 HG1 NA NRC USE ONLY
,	10 PHONE 912-367-7781

LER #: 50-366/1980-113 Licensee: Georgia Power Company Facility Name: Edwin I. Hatch Docket #: 50-366

Narrative Report for LER 50-366/1980-113

On 7-26-80, at 0710 CDT, Hatch Unit 2 performed a manual scram per IEB 80-17. Following the scram it was noted that 2 of the 4 scram discharge volume (SDV) level switches, 2C11-N013A and D, failed to function properly. Subsequent investigation of the switches showed that the floats were crushed. The 2 switches are both Magnetrol model 751.

Further investigation followed and showed that the switches could last be verified to properly trip and reset during a scram on 5-15-80, (based on Process Computer alarm edits) and were found to not trip during scrams on 6-2, 6-14, and 7-11-80. The most probable time of failure was hypothesized to be the scram that occurred on 5-21-80; however, the process computer was not functional for approximately 21 minutes immediately following that scram, and therefore, complete alarm edits were not available. The switch 2C11-N013A had last been satisfactorily functionally tested on 4-9-80, and 2C11-N013D had last been satisfactorily functionally tested on 5-11-80. Subsequent monthly testing had been performed on the switches but did not include functional testing by water injection to the float bowl to verify float actuation.

An investigation of the switch failures was initiated on 7-28-80, and included representatives of GPCo, GE, Bechtel, and Magnetrol. Replacement level switches (Magnetrol model 751) were procured and installed, and the remaining Unit 2 level switches, 2Cll-NO13B, C, E, and F were radiographed and satisfactorily functionally tested by float chamber water injection to verify proper operation and integrity of the switch float. In addition, all Unit 1 SDV level switches were radiographed and satisfactorily functionally tested by float chamber water injection. All Unit 1 switches and the remaining Unit 2 switches were found to be functioning properly, and no indications of float damage were seen.

Following replacement of the failed switches and testing of the remaining switches, Unit 2 started up on 7-31-80, and performed an automatic scram per IEB 80-17. All SDV level switches performed properly during the test, and pressure transmitters were hocked up on both sides of the 4 scram level switches to observe and record any pressure transient occurring either during the scram or reset. The data from the pressure transmitters was inconclusive, and investigation into the switch failures is continuing. Results will follow in an update report.