TWO NORTH NINTH STREET, ALLENTOWN, PA. 18101 PHONE: $(215) 821.515)$
July 30, 1980
NORMAN W. CURTIS vice President-Engineeting a Construction-Nuciear DOCKET FUH:QER
B21-5381

PROPOSED RULE Standard Review Plan (45 FR 36236 )
Secretary of the Commission
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Attention: Docketing and Service Branch

SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION
COMMENTS ON SRP-3.9.6 REV. 2
ER 100450 FILE 841-9
PLE 214

Dear Sir:
Pennsylvania Power and Light Company has the following comments on Standard Review Plan 3.9.6 Revision 2:

- The use of the term "procedures" in Paragraph I, Paragraph II.2.b, and Paragraph III.I.d, implies that a review of detailed testing procedures for each pump and valve is required. These paragraphs should utilize the term "Commitments" versus "Procedures".
- It should be stated that the proposed Appendix A to S.R.P. 3.9.6, Leak Testing of Pressure Isolation Valves does not apply to "Containment Isolation Valves" that are leak tested in accordance with Appendix $J$ to 10CFR50.
- Appendix A to SRP 3.9.6, step a) should read ----the testing frequency will be each time the "check" valves are disturbed because of flow in the line. This addition is for agreement with the Valve-Impact Statement Paragraph I, last sentence.
- Appendix A to SRP 3.9.6, Paragraph 7 should read "In cases where pressure isolation is provided by two valves, both valves will be leak tested and a leak rate calculated for each independent valve.

4.trowicuaed by card

- Appendix A to SRP 3.9.6, Paragraph 8, how was the 1.0 gpm leak rate acceptence criteria established for each valve?

Very truly yours,
Mur unto
N. W. Curtis

Vice President, Engineering and Construction - Nuclear

