Babcock&Wilcox

Commercial Nuclear Fuel Plant

P.O. Box 800, Lynchburg, Va. 24505 Telephone: (804) 384-5111

June 12, 1980

Mr. L. C. Rouse, Chief Fuel Processing & Fabrication Branch Office of Nuclear Material Safety & Safeguards United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

REFERENCES: (1) SNM-1168, Docket 70-1201

(2) Amendment Application No. 79-3, dated January 9, 1980

SUBJECT: Amendment Application No. 79-3

Gentlemen:

The Babcock & Wilcox Company Commercial Nuclear Fuel Plant requests amendment of License Number SNM-1168 as previously discussed with members of your staff. Additional information was needed for the amendment request of 1-9-80 and as a result various pages were subsequently resubmitted on May 6, 1980 and May 22, 1980. The May 6 and May 22 submittals, however, did not meet your recordkeeping requirement needs in terms of page revision numbers, and as a result we are replacing the May 6 and May 22 documents with this submittal and indicating revision numbers accordingly. Only those pages that were revised from the January 9, 1980 application are included with this letter and are dated 6-12-80, and revision numbers are incremented by 1 (one) above the revision number indicated on the January 9, 1980 application. Thus, the enclosed revision index lists those pages which would be in effect upon approval of this amendment request. This should alleviate confusion surrounding page dates and page revision numbers for this submittal. The \$1400 minor safety amendment licensing fee as required by 10 CFR 170 was included in the January 9, 1980 submittal (B&W Check Number 23345).

The areas addressed in this amendment request are as follows:

Revision of action levels for air effluent releases to unrestricted areas. Revised levels are needed in order to allow for routine localized operations involving pellets outside the controlled area. A series of detailed controlled experiments were performed to determine appropriate controls for maintaining airborne concentrations in such areas as low as reasonably achievable. During the two calendar quarters that the experiments were performed, extensive surface contamination surveys were conducted in the area. Results of these surveys revealed no new health and safety concerns since existing controls and limits for the uncontrolled area were not exceeded. Based on these tests and experiments, the most effective air-capture technique was selected for implementation, and we are therefore requesting a corresponding revision of the action level.

8009030 004

Babcock & Wilcox

Mr. L. C. Rouse, Chief Page 2 June 12, 1980

- Revision of isolation criteria between fuel assembly storage array and fuel assembly shipping container loading area. Sections III and V of SNM-1168 presently address the fuel assembly processing and storage area as a single array, with other arrays isolated from it. Due to limited existing floorspace and the potential need to expand the fuel assembly storage capacity, the fuel assembly shipping container loading area will need to be located closer to the assembly storage rack.

Section 7.10.3 of Section V of SNM-1168 states that fuel assemblies in adjacent containers will be separated by not less than 18 inches edge to edge. Pages 184-193 and 196-200 in Section III of SNM-1168 demonstrates the safety of an infinite array of fuel assemblies and an infinite array of damaged shipping containers respectively. Results show that under all credible conditions the shipping container array is the more reactive of the two. The minimum required spacing between fresh fuel assemblies in adjacent Model B fresh fuel shipping containers of 18 (eighteen) inches edge to edge corresponds to a center to center spacing between the loaded adjacent Model B fresh fuel shipping containers of 38 (thirty-eight) inches.

Therefore, 18 inches edge to edge separation between assemblies in adjacent containers is equivalent to the 38 inches center to center distance between two loaded Model B fresh fuel shipping containers. Thus, 38 inches edge to edge separation between the storage array and the loading area will provide acceptable nuclear interaction control. Any activity equally or less reactive than the loaded shipping containers may be conducted at the same separation distance away from the fuel assembly storage rack.

- Revised contamination survey frequency. Presently, we are required to perform daily surveys of the lunchroom and locker-room areas even during periods of plant shutdown and vacation. Data from previous quarters consistently show that contamination levels at the lunchroom area during normal powder processing operations have been near zero or at the minimum detectable level as shown below.

Babcock & Wilcox

Mr. L. C. Rouse, Chief Page 3 June 12, 1980

		Smear Survey Average		Total Survey Average			,
1st quarter	1979	4.3 DPM/100	cm ²	<	100	DPM/50	cm ²
2nd quarter		2.6 DPM/100	Cm2			DPM/50	
3rd quarter	1979	< 1 DPM/100	Cm_	<	100	DPM/50	Cm2
4th quarter	1979	0.0 DPM/100	cm_	<	100	DPM/50	cm2
1st quarter	1980	0.0 DPM/100	CIT	<	100	DPM/50	cm-

The data summary above clearly shows that such areas do not have a meaningful potential for contamination. The controls placed on personnel and equipment exiting the controlled area effectively precludes contamination spread, and therefore the associated survey frequency could be relaxed without sacrificing employee health and safety.

- Reorganization within the Safety, Licensing, and Safeguards and Nuclear Materials Control Group. The Safety, Licensing, and Safeguards Group is now known as Health-Safety and Licensing, and Nuclear Materials Control is now called Safeguards. The Safeguards Group stills maintains the NMC function and licensing and health-safety matters are still controlled under the Health-Safety and Licensing Group. Most of the page changes relevant to the reorganization were made with the January 9, 1980 submittal. However, Page 25 of Section V (originally submitted on 1-9-80) required additional clarification and as a result is included with this amendment request.
- Withdrawal of certain pages from the January 9, 1980 submittal. Pages 182 and 194 of Section III of SNM-1168 originally included in the 1-9-80 application are being taken out of the submittal. Both pages remain at revision 0, dated 4-30-75, with no requested changes to either page.

If you or members of your staff have any questions regarding the above items, please feel free to call me or Mr. J. P. Watters at (804) 384-5111.

Sincerely,

BABCOCK & WILCOX COMPANY COMMERCIAL NUCLEAR FUEL PLANT

D. W. 7eff, Manager Health-Safety & Licensing

DWZ: cmm

cc: J. P. Watters w/attachment
W. F. Heer w/o attachment

SNM-1168 DOCKET 70-1201 REVISION INDEX

AMENDMENT APPLICATION NO. 79-3

. PAGE 1 of 2

INDEX DATE: 6-12-80

Section	Page	Remove Revision	Date	Page	Add Revision	Date	Reason
III	5	0	4/30/75	5	. 1	1/09/80	Change to Health-Safety and Licensing
III	114	o	4/30/75	114	1	1/09/80	Change to Health-Safety and Licensing
· iii	123	0	9/19/75	123	1	1/09/80	Change to Health-Safety and Licensing
III	195	0	4/30/75	195	2	6/12/80	Revised interaction
IV	13 - 14	. 0	4/30/75	13 - 14	1	1/09/80	Change to Health-Safety and Licensing
IV	38	0	4/30/75	38	1	1/09/80	Change to Health-Safety and Licensing
IV	40	0	9/19/75	40	1	1/09/80	Change to Health-Safety and Licensing
٧.	. 2	1	8/11/78	2	2	1/09/80	Change UO ₂ to Uranium Oxide
V	11 - 12	0	4/30/75	11 - 12	. 1	1/09/80	Change to Health-Safety and Licensing
V	13	0	9/19/75	13	1	1/09/80	Change to Health-Safety and Licensing
v	14	0	12/01/75	14	1	1/09/80	Change to Health-Safety and Licensing
v	17	0	4/30/75	17	1	1/09/80	Change to Health-Safety and Licensing
A	24 .	. 0	4/30/75	24	1	1/09/80	Change to Health-Safety and Licensing
v	25.	0	4/30/75	25	2	6/12/80	Change to Health-Safety and Licensing
. v	26	1	10/13/78	26	2	1/09/80	Change to Health-Safety and Licensing
v	27	0	9/19/75	27	1	1/09/80	Change to Health-Safety and Licensing
v	32 ·	0	4/30/75	32	1	1/09/80	Change to Health-Safety and Licensing
v	. 34 - 35	0	12/01/75	34 - 35	1	1/09/80	Change to Health-Safety and Licensing

SNM-1168 DOCKET 70-1201 REVISION INDEX

AMENDMENT APPLICATION NO. 79-3

PAGE 2 of 2

INDEX DATE: 6-12-80

Reason
o Health-Safety
0 ₂ to Uranium
interaction
interaction
on level change
tion level
o Health-Safety
for clarity
o n

BABOOK & WILOX COMPANY, COMPERCIAL NUCLEAR FLEL PLANT

USNRC LICENSE SNM-1168

DOCKET 70-1201

SECTION III NUCLEAR SAFETY ANALYSIS

7.10 Fuel Assembly Storage

7.10.2 Continued

processing area, where four foot separation is maintained, is likewise subcritical. Two or more planar arrays have been shown to be subcritical in combination when center-to-center distance is not less than 38 inches, and with major faces opposed, the situation resulting from consideration of an infinite storage array.

Nuclear interaction between assembly storage and assembly shipping container loading is limited to the interaction associated with an array of damaged loaded shipping containers. The evaluation of damaged shipping containers (Pages 190-201 of section III) shows that the containers are nuclearly safe with edge-to-edge spacings of 14 and 8 inches (see Figure 2 on Page 197). The fuel assembly storage rack is also shown to be safe based on 21" x 38" center-to-center spacing as stated in the criticality evaluations on Pages 184-195 of Section III. The minimum required edge-to-edge spacing of 18" between assemblies in adjacent shipping containers (see Section V, Page 70) corresponds to a 38" center-to-center spacing between the loaded adjacent Model B containers. Thus, 38 inches edge-to-edge separation between the fuel assembly storage array and the fuel assembly loading area is conservative and will provide acceptable nuclear interaction control.

Rotation of one planar array 90 degrees from the vertical to present its edge to the face of the opposing array, while maintaining the specified separative distances, significantly reduces interaction probabilities by presenting less "effective" surface area for interaction thus, in effect, extending the effective separation distance. This demonstration accurately reflects conditions at the junction of the fuel assembly processing and storage area, and also at the junction of the assembly storage and shipping container loading area.

Under normal operating conditions the activities will be conducted in the absence of any significant moderation; under such conditions, fuel of 4 wt.% enrichment and less cannot be made critical.

DATE	6-12-80		REVISION NO.	2	PAGE 195
CURRENT	REVISION:				.,
SUPERSEL	ES: PAGE _	195			USNRC APPROVAL REFERENCE
DATE	4/30/75		REVISIONO		

BABOOCK & WILOX COMPANY, COMMERCIAL NUCLEAR FLEL PLANT

USNRC LICENSE SNM-1168 DOCKET 70-1201

SECTION V CONDITIONS

6.0 General Specifications

6.6 Procedure Control (continued)

- Procedures developed by Health-Safety specifying the method by which safety related functions are to be accomplished. Such procedures may be for internal Health-Safety use or may be intended for general distribution to affected individuals within other components. Health-Safety procedures shall be approved in writing by the Plant Manager, the Manager, Health-Safety and Licensing, and other members of plant management/supervision if it is determined it is determined it is determined affected by the procedure.
- Safeguards procedures provide tech
 niques for the accountability and measurement of SNM. Such

 procedures will be approved in writing by the Manager, Health

 Safety and Licensing and by affected plant management.
- Manufacturing, Quality Control, and selected Maintenance/ Engineering procedures, where nuclear or radiological safety, license conditions, or regulatory requirements are involved require prior approval by the Manager, Health-Safety and Licensing, as well as approval by affected members of plant management.

DATE 6-12-80		REVISION NO. 2	PAGE 25
CURRENT REVISION:	***		
SUPERSEDES: PAGE . DATE 4/30/75	25	REVISION0	USNRC APPROVAL REFERENCE

BABCOCK & WILCOX COMPANY, COMMERCIAL NUCLEAR FLEL PLANT

USNRC LICENSE SNM-1168 DOCKET 70-1201

SECTION V CONDITIONS

- 7.0 Nuclear Safety Technical Specifications
 - 7.9 Fuel Assembly Processing (cont'd)
 - 7.9.1 Continued

accumulation of fuel rods once the fuel rods have been removed from the geometric safe 4.0" slab.

A minimum separation of 4 feet will be maintained between bundle assembly stations, and the equipment will be such that liquids cannot be retained in and around the in-process operation.

- 7.9.2 Assembly processing operations once the fuel rods are restrained in the fuel assembly configuration may be performed without moderation control. The assemblies will be separated by at least 36" center-to-center.
- 7.9.3 For the purposes of nuclear interaction control, the fuel assembly processing and fuel assembly storage arrays are considered as a single array with adjacent arrays being no more reactive than an array of fuel assemblies loaded in adjacent containers as described in Part 7.10.3 of Section V.

7.10 Fuel Assembly Storage and Packaging

7.10.1 Fuel assembly dust wrappers, if used, will be arranged to permit drainage of water from within. Moderation, such as polyethylene, etc., will not be permitted within the assemblies.

DATE 6-12-80	REVISION NO. 2	PAGE - 69	
CURRENT REVISION: ***			
SUPERSEDES: PAGE69		USNRC APPROVAL REFERENCE	
DATE 12/1/75	REVISION0		

USNRC L	CENSE S	W:-1168	D	CKET 70-1201			
SECTION	V CO	DITIONS					
7.0				chnical Specif			
	7.10				Packaging (co		
		7.10.2		l assemblies m lowing spacing		in racks meeting the	
			a.		les in linear a	errays will have mini- pacing.	
			b.			arrays will be arrange to-center spacing.	d
			c.	be maintained	between the r	center spacing will nearest assemblies of storage arrays.	
					trictions shall the assembly st	ll be imposed on fire torage array:	
			a.	authorization	has been rece e of the plant	be prohibited unless eived from a managemen emergency response	t
			b.		cifying limita	tained at the array ations on fire fight-	1 .
			c.	Simultaneous is not author		more than one hoseli	ne
		7.10.3	invo	olving license	ed Model B ship	packaging operations oping containers will ing limitations:	
			a.			l be in accord with stainer certificate.	
			b.			containers will be 18 inches edge-to-edge	e.
			c.	in the storag containers sh minimum cen	ge rack and ass all be greater	between fuel assemble emblies in shipping than or equal to the distance between loadsthes).	
DATE	6	-12-30		REVISION N	NO. 2	PAGE 70	

REVISION ___ 0

USNRC APPROVAL REFERENCE

DATE 12/1/75

USNRC LICENSE SNM-1168

DOCKET 70-1201

SECTION

V CONDITIONS

- 8.0 Technical Specifications Radiation Safety
 - 8.1 Effluents to Unrescricted Areas
 - 8.1.1 Continued
 - c. The following program shall be instituted to assure that airborne releases to uncontrolled areas are maintained as low as practicable:

Quarterly Average - % of 10 CFR 20 Appendix B, Table 2

LIMIT	ACTION
< 10% of "MPC"	None required.
11 - 20%	Conduct investigation of system and correct if possible.*
21 - 75%	Visually inspect system. As soon as practicable, conduct efficiency test. Determine and correct cause.**
> 75%	Conduct immediate investigation to determine and correct problem in ventilation system. Maintain additional Health-Safety surveillance of system to monitor contamination levels until problem is corrected.

end weld operation area - None required end weld operation area - None required at < 50% MPC

Health-Safety shall maintain records of investigations and actions resulting therefrom.

- d. Pre-filters serving equipment in the pelletizing area will be instrumented and monitored as specified in 8.1.1a above.
- 8.1.2 Potentially contaminated liquid effluents will be controlled through a retention tank system with dilution and mixing capabilities and shall be evaluated

DATE -6-12-80	REVISION NO. 2	PAGE . 76
CURRENT REVISION: **	•	
SUPERSEDES: PAGE	76 .	USNRC APPROVAL REFERENCE
DATE 4/30/75	_ REVISION0	16611