REGUL

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

AUG 8 1980

TACT

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS POOR QUALITY PAGES

The Reverend Loren Grage, Chairman The Board of Church and Society, MN Conference United Methodist Church 325 Sherman N. Mankato, Minnesota 56001

This is in reply to your letter of June 30, 1980, to the Executive Director for Operations of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). You asked for response to your concerns about responsibility, health, safety, and waste disposal with regard to nuclear power.

The NRC is committed to protect the public health and safety. After the accident at Three Mile Island, the NRC decided not to license new nuclear power plants until criteria for improved safety had been developed. It found that actions recommended by its own staff and by the President's Commission on the Accident at Three Mile Island in the areas of human factors, operational safety, emergency planning, nuclear power plant design and siting, health effects and public information are necessary and feasible. Interim measures have been taken, and an Action Plan has been developed to include other safety improvements, detailed criteria for their implementation, and various implementation deadlines. Meanwhile, in order to avoid unnecessary delays, the NRC approved the issuance of licenses for three nuclear power units to load fuel and, under specified conditions, to operate at low power levels for testing.

A detailed discussion of the Three Mile Island accident and the measures being taken to prevent such accidents in the future is contained in the 1979 Annual Report of the NRC, a copy of which is enclosed. Also enclosed is a statement of December 7, 1979, by the President on the Kemeny Commission Report on Three Mile Island.

With respect to waste disposal, enclosed is a message of February 12, 1980, to the Congress from the President, in which he announced the establishment of a comprehensive radioactive waste management program. He stated the primary objective of isolating existing and future radioactive waste from the biosphere so that it will pose no significant threat to public health and safety. He said that the capability now exists to characterize and evaluate a number of geologic environments for use as repositories built with conventional mining technology. The lead agency in carrying out a waste management program is the Department of Energy. The role of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission is discussed in Chapter 6 of the enclosed Annual Report.

The Reverend Loren Grage

There are, of course, numerous reports of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission pertaining to the six major issues involving nuclear energy that were addressed in your Task Force report, "A Response to Nuclear Power." We attempted without success to reach you by phone to learn more specifically the nature and timing of your needs for additional information. We did make contact with Rev. Eric Hucke, a member of your task force, and learned of the general outcome of the moratorium vote of the 1980 session of the Minnesota Annual Conference. However, Rev. Hucke said he was unable to advise regarding your specific needs for further information.

Two additional documents are enclosed which would appear to cover a number of concerns raised in your letter. One of these is a paper recently presented by Dr. Roger Mattson. Director of the Division of Safety Technology on the topic, "NRC Lessons Learned from Three Mile Island: A Time for Action and New Directions in Safety Policy for Nuclear Power Plants." This paper provides a summary of our short and long-term action plans for improving nuclear safety and reflects our thinking on new directions for safety policy. The second paper by Dr. Miller Spangler, Special Assistant for Policy Analysis, is on the subject, "Federal Initiatives to Improve Federal-State Cooperation in Nuclear Power Plant Licensing." This paper has numerous references of possible interest to your group as well as summaries of: (i) generic studies, methodological procedures, and confirmatory research efforts of the NRC, and (ii) NRC inhouse efforts to improve the licensing process. After reading these documents, I would suggest that yow contact Dr. Spangler directly for any additional information

We appreciate very much the sincere efforts of your study group and conference members to become better informed on nuclear safety issues and the obvious objectivity of the study report in attempting to develop a comprehensive review of the pros and cons of nuclear energy and its alternatives.

In conclusion, I assure you that every effort is being made to protect the public health and safety at all nuclear power plants that are currently in operation or that may start operating in the future. Any plants that are found to be unsafe will not be allowed to operate.

Sincerely,

Criginal Signed 37

Harold R. Denton, Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures: See Page 3

NR	0		2	¢	т		
1.422	3	*	w	*	х,		
MC	-		-	-	1	-	-
MS	0	a	п	u	÷	e	T

91

10110101		The strength of the second second second	and the second sec		CONCLOSENCE
120	*SEE	PREVIOUS	YELLUH	FOR	CONCURRENCE

		NRR : TSB	NRR:DD	NRR:D	EDO
	OFFICE	PFine:et/pab	EGCase	HRDenton	
•	OATE	7/15/80	7/ /80	7/ /80	7/ /80

TU.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1979-289-369

The Reverend Loren Grage

Enclosures:

. .

- 1. 1979 Annual Report
 2. Statement dtd 12/7/79 by the President on the Kemeny Commission Report on TMI
 3. Message to Congress dtd 2/12/80
 4. Paper by Roger Mattson
 5. Paper by Miller Spangler

-3-

-



FOR INCEDIATE RELEASE

DECENSER 7, 1973

OFFICE OF THE WHITE HOUSE PRESS SECRETARY

THE WHITE HOUSE

STATEMENT BY THE PRESIDENT ON THE NEMENY CONJUSSION REPORT ON THREE MILE ISLAND

Room 450, Old Executive Office Building

(AT 2:45 P.M. EST)

THE PRESIDENT: The purpose of this brief statment this afternoon is to outline to you and to the public, both in this country and in other nations of the world, my own assessment of the Kemeny Report recommendations on the Three Mile Island accident and I would like to add, of course, in the presentation some thoughts and actions of my cwn.

I have reviewed the report of the Commission, which I established to investigate the accident at the Three Mile Island nuclear power plant. The Commission, headed by Dr. John Kemeny, found very serious shortcomings in the way that both the Government and the utility industry regulate and manage nuclear power.

The steps that I am taking today will help to assure that nuclear power plants are operated safely. Safety, as it always has been and will remain, is my top priority. As I have said before, in this country nuclear power is an energy source of last resort. By this I meant that as we reach our goals on conservation, on the direct use of coal, on development of solar power and synthetic fuels, and enhanced production of American oil and natural gas, as we reach those goals, then we can minimize our reliance on nuclea; power.

Many of our foreign allies must place much greater reliance than we do on nuclear power, because they do not have the vast natural resources that give us so many alternatives. We must get on with the job of developing alternative energy resources and we must also pass, in order to do this, the legislation that I have proposed to the Congresmaking an effort at every level of society to conserve energy. To

conserve energy and to develop energy resources in our country are the two basic answers for which we are seeking. But we cannot shut the door on nuclear power for the United States.

The recent events in Iran have shown us the clear, stark dangers that excessive dependence on imported oil holds for our nation. We must make every effort to lead this country to energy security. Every domestic energy source, including nuclear power, is critical if we are to be free as a country from our present over-dependence on unstable and uncertain sources of high priced foreign oil.

We do not have the luxury of abandoning nuclear power or imposing a lengthy moratorius on its further use. A nuclear power plant can displace 35,000 barrels of oil per day, or roughly 13 million barrels of oil per year. We must take every possible step to increase the safety of nuclear power production. I agree fully with the letter and the spirit and the intent of the Kemeny Commission recommendations, some of which are within my own power to implement, others of which rely on the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, or the NRC, or the utility industry itself.

To get the Government's own house in order I will take (:15 2)

savoral steps. First, I will send to the Congress a reorganization plan to strengthen the role of the Chairman of the NRC, to clarify assignment of authority and responsibility and provide this person with the power to act on a daily basis as a chief executive officer, with authority to put needed safety requirements in place and to implement better procedures. The Chairman must be able to select key personnel and to act on behalf of the Commission during any emergency.

Second, I intend to appoint a new Chairperson of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, someone from outside that agency, in the spirit of the Kemeny Commission recommendation. In the meantime, I have asked Commissioner Ahearne, now on the NRC, to serve as the Chairman. Mr. Ahearne will stress safety and the prompt implementation of the needed reforms.

In addition, I will establish an independent advisory committee to help keep me and the public of the United States informed of the progress of the NRC and the industry in achieving and in making clear the recommendations that nuclear power will be safer.

Third, I am transferring responsibility to the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the FENA, to head up all off-site emergency activities, and to complete a thorough review of emergency plans in all the states of our country with operating nuclear reactors by June, 1930.

Fourth, I have directed the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the other agencies of the Government to accelerate our program to place a resident Federal inspector at every reactor site.

Fifth, I am asking all relevant Government agencies to implement virtually all of the other recommendations of the Kemeny Commission. I believe there were 44 in all. A detailed factsneet is being issued to the public and a more extended briefing will be given to the press this afternoon.

With clear leadership and improved organization, the Executive Branch of Government and the NRC will be better able to act quickly on the crucial issues of improved training and standards, safety procedures, and the other Kemeny Commission recommendations. But responsibility to make nuclear power safer does not stop with the Federal Government. In fact, the primary day by day responsibility for safety rests with utility company management and with suppliers of nuclear equipment. There is no substitute for technically qualified and committed people working on the construction, the operation, and the inspection of nuclear power plants.

Personal responsibility must be stressed. Some one person must always be designated as in charge, both at the corporate level and also at the power plant site. The industry owes it to the American people to strengthen its commitment to safety.

I call on the utilities to implement the following changes; first, building on the steps already taken, the industry must organize itself to develop enhanced standards for safe design, operation, and itself to develop enhanced, the nuclear industry must work together construction of plants: second, the nuclear industry must work together to develop and to maintain in operation a comprehensive training, to develop and to maintain in operation a comprehensive training, examination, and evaluation program for operators and for supervisors. This training program must pass muster with the NRC through accreditation of the training programs to be established.

Third, control rooms in nuclear power plants must be modernized, standardized, and simplified as much as possible, to permit

MORE

better informed decision-making among regular operating hours and, of course, during emergencies.

I challenge our utility companies to bend every effort to improve the safety of nuclear power.

Finally, I would like to discuss how we manage this transition period during which the Kemeny recommendations are being implemented. There are a number of new nuclear plants now awaiting operating licenses or construction permits. Under law, the Nuclear Regulatory Cormission is an independent agency. Licensing decisions rest with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and as the Kemeny Commission noted, it has the authority to proceed with licensing these plants on a case by case basis, which may be used as circumstances surrounding a plant or its application dictate.

The NRC has indicated, however, that it will pause in issuing any new licenses and construction permits in order to devote its full attention to putting its own house in order and tightening up safety requirements. I endorse this approach which the NRC has adopted, but I urge the NRC to complete its work as quickly as possible and in no event later than six months from today. Once we have instituted the necessary reforms to assure safety, we must resume the licensing process promptly so that the new plants we need to reduce our dependence on foreign oil can be built and operated.

The steps I am announcing today will help to insure the safety of nuclear plants. Nuclear power does have a future in the United States. It is an option that we must keep open. I will join with the utilities and their suppliers, the Nuclear Regula in Commission, the executive departments and agencies of the Federal Government, and also the state and local governments to assure that the future is a safe one.

Now Dr. Frank Press, Stu Eizenstat, and John Deutsch will be glad to answer your questions about these decisions and about nuclear power and the future of it in our country. Frank?

END (AT 3:00 P.M. EST)

February 12, 1980

LALLUSUNE D

Office of the White Rouse Press Secretary

THE WHITE HOUSE

TO THE CONCRESS OF THE UNITED STATES:

.

Today I am establishing this Nation's first comprehensive radioactive waste management program. My paramount objective in managing nuclear wastes is to protect the health and safety of all Americans, both now and in the future. I share this responsibility with elected officials at all levels of our government. Our citizens have a deep concern that the beneficial uses of nuclear technology, including the generation of electricity, not be allowed to imperil public health or mafety now or in the future.

For more than 30 years, radicactive wastes have been generated by programs for national defense, by the commercial nuclear power program, and by a variety of medical, industrial and research activities. Tet past governmental efforts to manage radicactive wastes have not been technically adequate. Moreover, they have failed to involve successfully the States, local governments, and the public in policy or program decisions. My actions today lay the foundation for both a technically superior program and a full cooperative Federal-State partnership to ensure public confidence in a waste management program.

My program is consistent with the broad consensus that has evolved from the efforts of the Interagency Review Group on Radioactive Waste Management (IRG) which I established. The IRC findings and analysis were comprehensive, thorough and widely reviewed by public, industry and citizen groups, State and local governments, and members of the Congress. Evaluations of the scientific and technical analyses were obtained through a broad and rigorous peer review by the scientific community. The final recommendations benefited from and reflect this input.

My objective is to establish a comprehensive program for the management of <u>all</u> types of radioactive vastes. My policies and programs establish mechanisms to ensure that elected officials and the public fully participate in vaste decisions, and direct Federal departments and agencies to implement a vaste management strategy which is mafe, technically sound, conservative, and open to continuous public review. This approach will help ensure that we will reach our objective -- the mafe storage and disposal of all forms of nuclear vaste.

Our primary objective is to isolate existing and future radioactive wasts from military and civilian activities from the biosphere and pose no significant threat to public health and safety. The responsibility for resolving military and civilian waste management problems shall not be deferred to future generations. The technical program must meet all relevant radiological protection criteria as well as all other applicable regulatory requirements. This effort must proceed regardless of future developments within the nuclear industry -its future size, and resolution of specific fuel cycle and reactor design issues. The specific steps outlined below are each aimed at accomplishing this overall objective.

....

(CVER)

First, my administration is committed to providing an effective role for State and local governments in the development and implementation of our nuclear waste management program. I am therefore taking the following actions:

- By Executive Order, I am establishing a State Planning Council which will strengthen our intergovernmental relationships and help fulfill our joint responsibility to protect public health and safety in radioactive waste matters. I have asked Governor Riley of South Carolina to serve as Chairman of the Council. The Council will have a total of 19 members: 15 who are Governors or other elected officials, and 4 from the Executive departments and agencies. It will advise the Executive Branch and work with the Congress to address radioactive waste management issues, such as planning and siting, construction, and operation of facilities. I will submit legislation during this session to make the Council permanent.
- o In the past, States have not played an adequate part in the waste management planning process -- for example in the evaluation and location of potential waste disposal sites. The States need better access to information and expanded opportunity to guide waste management planning. Our relationship with the States will be based on the principle of consultation and concurrence in the siting of high level waste repositories. Under the framework of consultation and concurrence, a host State will have a continuing role in Federal decisionmaking on the siting, design and construction of a high level waste repository. State consultation and concurrence, however, will lead to an acceptable solution to our waste disposal problem only if all the States participate as partners in the program I am putting forth. The safe disposal of radioactive waste, defense and commercial, is a national, not just a Federal, responsibility.
- I am directing the Secretary of Energy to provide financial and technical assistance to States and other jurisdictions to facilitate the full participation of State and local government in review and licensing proceedings.

. . .

Second, for disposal of high level radioactive waste, I am adopting an interim planning strategy focused on the use of mined geologic repositories capable of accepting both waste from reprocessing and unreprocessed commercial spent fuel. An interim strategy is needed since final decisions on many steps which need to be taken should be preceded by a full environmental review under the National Environmental Policy Act. In its search for suitable sites for high level waste repositories, the Department of Energy has mounted an expanded and diversified program of geologic investigations that recognize the importance of the interaction among geologic mething, repository host rock, waste form and other engineered barriers on a site-specific basis. Immediate attention will focus on research and development, and on locating and characterizing a number of potential repository sites in a variety of different geologic environments with diverse rock types. When four to five sites have been evaluated and found potentially mitable, one or more will be selected for further development as a licensed full-scale repository.

.....

It is important to stress the following two points: First, because the suitability of a geologic disposal site can be verified only through detailed and time-consuming site specific evaluations, actual sites and their geologic environments must be carefully examined. Second, the development of a repository will proceed in a careful step-by-step manner. Experience and information gained at each phase will be reviewed and evaluated to determine if there is sufficient knowledge to proceed with the next stage of development. We should be ready to select the site for the first full-scale repusitory by about 1985 and have it operational by the mid-1990's. For reasons of economy, the first and subsequent repositories should accept both defense and commercial wastes.

Consistent with my decision to expand and diversify the Department of Energy's program of geologic investigation before selecting a specific site for repository development. I have decided that the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant project should be cancelled. This project is currently authorized for the unlicensed disposal of transuranic waste from our National defense program, and for research and development using high level defense waste. This project is inconsistent with my policy that all repositories for highly radicactive waste to licensed, and that they accept both defense and commercial wastes.

The site near Carlsbad, New Mexico, which was being considered for this project, will continue to be evaluated along with other sites in other parts of the country. If qualified, it will be reserved as one of several candidate sites for possible use as a licensed repository for defense and commercial high level wastes. My fiscal year 1981 budget contains funds in the commercial nuclear waste program for protection and continued investigation of the Carlsbad site. Finally, it is important that we take the time to compare the New Mexico site with other sites now under evaluation for the first waste repository.

Over the next five years, the Department of Energy will carry out an aggressive program of scientific and technical investigations to support waste solidification, packaging and repository design and construction including several experimental, retrievable explacements in test facilities. This supporting research and development program will call upon the knowledge and experience of the Nation's very best people in science, engineering and other fields of learning and will include participation of universities, industry, and the government departments, agencies, and national laboratories

Third, during the interim period before a disposal facility is available, waste must and will continue to be cared for safely. Management of defense waste is a Federal responsibility; the Department of Energy will ensure close and meticulous control over defense waste facilities which are vital to our national security. I am committed to maintaining safe interim storage of these wastes as long as necessary and to making adequate funding available for that purpose. We will also proceed with research and development at the various defense sites that will lead the processing, packaging, and ultimate transfer to a permanent repository of the high level and transuranic wastes from defense programs.

In contrast, storage of commercial spent fuel is primarily a responsibility of the utilities. I want to stress that interim spent fuel storage capacity is not an alternative to permanent disposal. However, adequate storage is necessary

30re

(STER)

4 1

26 .1

until repositories are available. I urge the utility industry to continue to take all actions necessary to store spent fuel in a mannar that will protect the public and ensure efficient and safe operation of power reactors. However, a limited amount of government storage capacity would provide flexibility to our national waste disposal program and an alternative for those utilities which are unable to expand their storage capabilities.

1 11

,

I reiterate the need for early enactment of my proposed spent nuclear fuel legislation. This proposal would authorize the Department of Energy to: (1) design, acquire or construct, and operate one or more away-from-reactor storage facilities, and (2) accept for storage, until permanent disposal facilities are available, domestic spent fuel, and a limited amount of foreign spent fuel in cases when such action would further our non-proliferation policy objectives. All costs of storage, including the cost of locating, constructing and operating permanent geologic repositories, will be recovered through fees paid by utilities and other users of the services and will ultimately be borne by those who benefit from the activities generating the wastes.

Fourth, I have directed the Department of Energy to work jointly with states, other government agencies, industry and other organizations, and the public, in developing national plans to establish regional disposal sites for commercial low level waste. We must work together to resolve the scrious near-term problem of low level waste disposal. While this task is not inherently difficult from the standpoint of safety, it requires better planning and coordination. I endorse the actions being taken by the Nation's governors to tackle this problem and direct the Secretary of Energy to work with them in support of their effort.

Fifth, the Federal programs for regulating radioactive waste storage, transportation and disposal are a crucial component of our efforts to ensure the health and safety of Americans. Although the existing authorities and structures are basically sound, improvements must be made in several areas. The current authority of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to license the disposal of high level waste and low level waste in commercial facilities should be extended to include spent fuel storage, and disposal of transuranic waste and non-defense low level waste in any new government facilities. I am directing the Environmental Protection Agency to consult with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to resolve issues of overlapping jurisdiction and phasing of regulatory actions. They should also seek ways to speed up the promulgation of their safety regulations. I am also directing the Department of Transportation and the Environmental Protection Agency to improve both the efficiency of their regulatory activities and their relationships with other Federal agencies and state and local governments.

Sixth, it is essential that all aspects of the waste management program be conducted with the fullest possible disclosure to and participation by the public and the technical community. I am directing the departments and agencies to develop and improve mechanisms to ensure such participation and public involvement consistent with the need to protect national security information. The waste management program will be carried out in full compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act.

.....

Seventh, because nuclear waste management is a problem shared by many other countries and decisions on waste management alternatives have nuclear proliferation implications, I will continue to encourage and support bilateral and multilateral efforts which advance both our technical capabilities and our understanding of spent fuel and waste management options, which are consistent with our non-proliferation policy.

In its role as lead agency for the management and disposal of radioactive wastes and with cooperation of the other relevant Federal agencies, the Department of Energy is preparing a detailed National Plan for Nuclear Waste Management to implement these policy guidelines and the other recommendations of the IRG. This Plan will provide a clear road map for all parties and will give the public an opportunity to review the entirety of our program. It will include specific program goals and milestones for all aspects of nuclear waste management. A draft of the comprehensive National Plan will be distributed by the Secretary of Energy later this year for public and Congressional review. The State Planning Council will be directly involved in the development of this plan.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission now has undervay an important proceeding to provide the Nation with its judgment on whether or not it has confidence that radioactive wastes produced by nuclear power reactors can and will be disposed of safely. I unge that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission do so in a thorough and timely manner and that it provide a full opportunity for public, technical and government agency participation.

Over the past two years as I have reviewed various aspects of the radioactive watte problem, the complexities and difficulties of the issues have become evident -- both from a technical and, more importantly, from an institutional and political perspective. However, based on the technical conclusions reached by the IRG, I am persuaded that the capability now exists to characterize and evaluate a number of geologic environments for use as repositories built with conventional mining technology. We have already made substantial progress and changes in our programs. With this comprehensive policy and its implementation through the FY 1981 budget and other actions, we will complete the task of reorienting our efforts in the right direction. Many citizens know and all must understand that this problem will be with us for many years. We must proceed steadily and with determination to resolve the remaining technical issues while ensuring full public participation and maintaining the full cooperation of all levels of government. We will act surely and without delay, but we will not compromise our technical or scientific standards out of haste. I look forward to working with the Congress and the states to implement this policy and build public confidence in the ability of the government to do what is required in this area to protect the health and safety of our citizens.

JIMMY CARTER

....

THE WHITE HOUSE,

: ·...!·