

1. UNIT HAVE...CONN. YANKEE ATOMIC POJER CO.
2. REPORTING PERIOD...June 1980
3. LICENSED THER MAL PURER (ANT)....1825
4. NAILPLATE MATING (DROSS M.E)....600.3
5. DESIGN ELECTRICAL RATING (NET ANE)....580
COMPLETED BY. Reactor Eng.
TELEPHONE (203) 257-2555
REVISION 1

7. HAXIMUN DEPENDABLE CAPACITY (HET INE) 550

MAKINGH DEPENDABLE CAPACITY (GROSS AME) 577

. IF CHARGES OCCUR IN CAPACITY RATINGS (ITEMS 3 THROUGH 7)SINCE LAST REPORT, GIVE REASONS....N/A

9. POWER LEVEL TO WHICH RESTRICTED. IF ANY (NET INE) None

10. REASON FOR RESTRICTION. IF ANY N/A

		THIS REPORTING PERIOD	YR. TO DATE	CURLATIVE TO DATE
11	HOURS IN REPORTING PERIOD	720.0	4367.0	109559.0 *
14.	HUNGER OF HOURS THE REACTOR WAS CRITICAL	0.0	2957.1	94153.8 *
15.	REACTOR RESERVE SHUTDOWN HOURS	0.0	11.4	1154.0 •
14.	HOURS CELERATOR ON LINE	0.0	2947.7	89087.9 *
15.	UNIT RESERVE SHOTDOWN HOURS	0.0	0.0	369.9
lo.	GRUSS THEIGHAL ENERGY GENERATED (HMH)	0.	5090070.	155231219.
17.	CHUSS ELECTRICAL ENERGY GENERATED (1441)	0.	1692421.	51046587.
16.	HET ELECTRICAL ENERGY GENERATED (MAH)	-1968.	1609319.	48564082.
19.	CHIT SERVICE FACTOR	0.0	67.5	82.0 *
20.	UNIT AVAILABILITY FACTOR	0.0	67.5	82.4 • .
21.	UNIT CAPACITY FACTOR (USING MDC NET)	0.0	67.0	82.0 -
22.	UNIT CAPACITY FACTOR (USING DER NET)	0.0	63.5	75.6 *
23.	UNIT FORCED OUTAGE RATE	0.0	0.5	7.1 •
24.	SHUTDOWNS SCHEDULED OVER NEXT & MONTHS (TY	YPE, DATE AND DURATION OF EACH).	Continuation of Core 10 Refueli	

- approximately 8 to 12 weeks.
- 25. IF S. XAMA AT END OF REPORTING PERIOD, ESTIMATED DATE OF STARTUP....July 14, 1980
- 26. UNITS IN TEST STATUS (PAIOR TO CONTERCIAL OPERATION).... HOT APPLICABLE

REFUELING INFORMATION REQUEST

1. Name of facility

Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company

2. Scheduled date for next refueling shutdown.

September/October 1981

Scheduled date for restart following refueling
 Approximately six to eight weeks.

4. (a) Will refueling or resumption of operation thereafter require a technical specification change or other license amendment?

No technical specification changes are anticipated at this time.

(b) If answer is yes, what, in general, will these be?

N/A

(c) If answer is no, has the reload fuel design and core configuration been neviewed by your Plant Safety Review Committee to determine whether any unreviewed safety questions are associated with the core reload (Ref. 10 CFR Section 50.59)?

When the above stated documents are received from the fuel vendor they will be reviewed in accordance with 10CFR50.59 to determine if any unreviewed safety questions are associated with the Core reload.

(d) If no such review has taken place, when is it scheduled?

N/A -

, 5. Scheduled date(s) for submitting proposed licensing action and supporting information.

There are no scheduled dates because of (4) above.

6. Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures.

None

 The number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core and (b) in the spent fuel storage pool.

(a) 157 (b) 389

8. The present licensed spent fuel pool storage capacity and the size of any increase in licensed storage capacity that has been requested or is planned, in number of fuel assemblies.

1168

9. The projected date of the last refueling that can be discharged to the spent fuel pool assuming the present licensed capacity.

1994 to 1995