

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

TOPICAL REPORT

QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM

SCE-1-A

Amendment 3
April, 1980

80081403 342

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section/ Subsection No.	Title	Page
-	Table of Contents	17-i
-	List of Tables	17-iii
-	List of Figures	17-iv
-	List of Amendments	17-v
-	List of Effective Pages	17-vi
17.0	<u>INTRODUCTION</u>	17.0-1
17.0.1	Definitions	17.0-2
17.1	<u>QUALITY ASSURANCE DURING THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION</u>	17.1-1
17.1.1	Organization	17.1-1
17.1.2	Quality Assurance Program	17.1-9
17.1.3	Design Control	17.1-13
17.1.4	Procurement Document Control	17.1-16
17.1.5	Instructions, Procedures, and Drawings	17.1-19
17.1.6	Document Control	17.1-20
17.1.7	Control of Purchased Material, Equipment and Services	17.1-22
17.1.8	Identification and Control of Materials, Parts and Components	17.1-25
17.1.9	Control of Special Processes	17.1-27
17.1.10	Inspection	17.1-28
17.1.11	Test Control	17.1-31
17.1.12	Control of Measuring and Test Equipment	17.1-33
17.1.13	Handling, Storage and Shipping	17.1-35
17.1.14	Inspection, Test, and Operating Status	17.1-36
17.1.15	Nonconforming Materials, Parts, or Components	17.1-37
17.1.16	Corrective Action	17.1-40
17.1.17	Quality Assurance Records	17.1-42
17.1.18	Audits	17.1-44
17.2	<u>QUALITY ASSURANCE DURING THE OPERATIONS PHASE</u>	17.2-1
17.2.1	Organization	17.2-1
17.2.2	Quality Assurance Program	17.2-10
17.2.3	Design Control	17.2-14
17.2.4	Procurement Document Control	17.2-17
17.2.5	Instructions, Procedures, and Drawings	17.2-20
17.2.6	Document Control	17.2-22
17.2.7	Control of Purchased Material, Equipment and Services	17.2-25
17.2.8	Identification and Control of Materials, Parts, and Components	17.2-28
17.2.9	Control of Special Processes	17.2-30
17.2.10	Inspection	17.2-31
17.2.11	Test Control	17.2-34
17.2.12	Control of Measuring and Test Equipment	17.2-37
17.2.13	Handling, Storage, and Shipping	17.2-40

| 2

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)

<u>Section/ Subsection No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
17.2.14	Inspection, Test and Operating Status	17.2-41
17.2.15	Nonconforming Materials, Parts or Components	17.2-43
17.2.16	Corrective Action	17.2-47
17.2.17	Quality Assurance Records	17.2-49
17.2.18	Audits	17.2-51
Appendix A	Location of Responses to Requests for Additional Information	17.A-1

| 1

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
17.1-1	SCE Quality Assurance Program Compliance to Guides, Requirements, and Standards - Design and Construction	17.1-46
17.1-2	Southern California Edison Company Quality Assurance Program Implementing Procedures	17.1-49
17.1-3	Quality Assurance Engineer's Activities - Design and Construction	17.1-50
17.2-1	SCE Quality Assurance Program Compliance to Guides, Requirements, and Standards - Operation	17.2-54
17.2-2	Southern California Edison Company Quality Assurance Program Implementing Procedures	17.2-57
17.2-3	Quality Assurance Engineer's Activities - Operation	17.2-58

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
17.1-1	SCE Quality Program Organization - Design and Construction	17.1-7 2
17.1-2	SCE Quality Program, Typical Interface Organization, Design and Construction	17.1-8
17.2-1	SCE Quality Program Organization - Operations	17.2-8 2
17.2-2	SCE Quality Program, Typical Interface Organization, Preoperational and Initial Startup Testing	17.2-9

LIST OF AMENDMENTS

Amendment No.

Amendment Date

Original

1

2

3

October 26, 1976

March, 1977

May, 1978

April, 1980

LIST OF EFFECTIVE PAGES

<u>Page No.</u>	<u>Amendment No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>	<u>Amendment No.</u>
Title Page	3	17.1-31	Original
17-1	2	17.1-32	3
17-ii	1	17.1-33	Original
17-iii	Original	17.1-34	Original
17-iv	Original	17.1-35	3
17-v	3	17.1-36	Original
17-vi	3	17.1-37	2
17-vii	3	17.1-38	3
17.0-1	2	17.1-39	3
17.0-2	3	17.1-40	3
17.0-3	3	17.1-41	Original
17.0-4	1	17.1-42	3
17.0-5	1	17.1-43	3
17.1-1	3	17.1-44	Original
17.1-1a	3	17.1-45	2
17.1-2	3	17.1-45a	2
17.1-3	3	17.1-46	1
17.1-3a	3	17.1-47	1
17.1-4	3	17.1-48	1
17.1-5	3	17.1-49	3
17.1-6	2	17.1-50	3
17.1-7	3	17.1-51	Original
17.1-8	3	17.2-1	3
17.1-9	1	17.2-2	3
17.1-10	3	17.2-3	3
17.1-11	3	17.2-4	3
17.1-12	1	17.2-5	3
17.1-13	3	17.2-6	2
17.1-14	2	17.2-7	3
17.1-15	3	17.2-7a	2
17.1-16	3	17.2-8	3
17.1-16a	3	17.2-9	3
17.1-17	3	17.2-10	1
17.1-18	2	17.2-11	3
17.1-19	3	17.2-12	1
17.1-20	3	17.2-13	3
17.1-21	2	17.2-13a	1
17.1-22	3	17.2-14	3
17.1-23	1	17.2-15	2
17.1-24	2	17.2-16	3
17.1-25	Original	17.2-17	3
17.1-26	3	17.2-17a	3
17.1-27	Original	17.2-18	3
17.1-28	Original	17.2-19	2
17.1-29	Original	17.2-20	3
17.1-30	Original	17.2-21	3
		17.2-22	3

List of Effective Pages (continued)

<u>Page No.</u>	<u>Amendment No.</u>
17.2-23	3
17.2-24	1
17.2-25	3
17.2-26	1
17.2-27	3
17.2-28	Original
17.2-29	3
17.2-30	3
17.2-31	Original
17.2-32	3
17.2-33	Original
17.2-34	3
17.2-35	3
17.2-36	3
17.2-37	Original
17.2-38	Original
17.2-39	Original
17.2-40	3
17.2-41	3
17.2-42	Original
17.2-43	2
17.2-44	3
17.2-45	3
17.2-46	1
17.2-47	Original
17.2-48	Original
17.2-49	3
17.2-50	3
17.2-51	Original
17.2-52	3
17.2-52a	2
17.2-53	2
17.2-54	1
17.2-55	1
17.2-56	1
17.2-57	3
17.2-58	3
17.2-59	3
17.A-1	1
17.A-2	1
17.A-3	1
17.A-4	1
17.A-5	1
17.A-6	2
17.A-7	2

17. QUALITY ASSURANCE

17.0 INTRODUCTION

This topical report was prepared in accordance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) "Standard Format and Content of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants" (NUREG-75/094, Regulatory Guide 1.70, Revision 2, September 1975). Guidance used in the preparation was obtained from the NRC's "Standard Review Plan" (NUREG-74/087, November 24, 1975).

| 2

The purpose of this report is to describe the Quality Assurance Program applicable to those Southern California Edison Company (SCE) nuclear generating stations which reference this topical report on their docket. Deviation from this program, if required, will be described in the applicable SAR. Section 17.1 describes the quality assurance program which has been established and implemented for the design and construction phase of nuclear generating stations. Section 17.2 describes the operational phase quality assurance programs including Preoperational and Startup Tests.

| 1-421.1

The program is applied to all activities affecting the Safety-Related functions of those structures, systems and components which prevent or mitigate the consequences of postulated accidents that could cause undue risk to the health and safety of the public. It complies with the requirements, guides, and standards listed on Tables 17.1-1 and 17.2-1, as appropriate, for the design and construction and the operational phases of nuclear generating stations.

SCE as applicant, plant owner and operator, maintains full responsibility for the quality assurance program for all phases of nuclear generating station development and operations. Other organizations may be delegated the work of establishing and executing portions of the Quality Assurance Program. The quality assurance programs of these organizations and the scope of delegation are as described in the applicable Safety Analysis Report (SAR).

Changes to the SCE Quality Assurance Program will be incorporated into this topical report by amendment. Amendments which reflect programmatic changes (except those that are editorial in nature) will be submitted to the NRC prior to implementation of these changes. Amendments which reflect organization changes will be submitted to the NRC within 30 days after announcement of these changes.

| 1-421.1

17.0.1 DEFINITIONS

Following are definitions of terms used in this report. Additional terms not defined by this subsection are defined in ANSI N45.2.10, as endorsed by Regulatory Guide 1.74.

Architect-Engineer (A-E) - An organization contracted to design and construct a nuclear generating station.

Accept-As-Is - A disposition to accept a nonconforming item without further work as the deviation is judged not to degrade the quality or function of the item.

Administrative Authority - The responsibility of an individual to direct the work (excluding technical direction) of another individual or group including the responsibility for hiring, firing, salary review, and position assignment of an individual. See Technical Authority.

Auditor - An individual who performs any portion of an audit, including lead auditors, technical specialists and others such as management representatives and persons in training to become Lead Auditors.

Construction Tests - Tests conducted during jobsite construction activities to verify conformance of construction processes with design requirements stipulated in design disclosure documents.

Consultant - A person or organization retained under contract by SCE to provide expert advice, recommendations, or work.

Coordinating Agency for Supplier Evaluation (CASE) Register - A document published periodically as a service to the Aerospace and Nuclear industry containing quality assurance capability evaluation information on suppliers developed by member Aerospace and Nuclear companies.

Design Disclosure Documents - Drawings, P&I diagrams, calculations, or specifications which define items and which are needed to translate engineering concepts into structures, systems and components.

Engineering Construction Project (ECP) - A major modification to an operating nuclear generating station.

Engineering Data Management (EDM) Centers - The locations established and administered by representatives of the Administrative Services Department, where project documents including quality assurance records, are maintained in accordance with established documentation retention and control requirements.

7.0.1 (continued)

ECP Startup Tests - Those tests performed after completion of an ECP modification to demonstrate that the modification can perform its design function and that it is compatible with existing plant systems. Includes Prerequisite and Preoperational Tests.

Engineering Review Board (ERB) - Those cognizant individuals responsible for providing approvals of Accept-As-Is or repair dispositions of nonconformance reports.

Engineering Review Process - The procedure used to determine dispositions of nonconforming items.

Initial Startup Tests - Tests conducted after fuel loading and prior to commercial operation that confirm the design bases and demonstrate, where practical, that the plant is capable of withstanding the anticipated transients and postulated accidents.

Inservice Inspection - The planned and periodic nondestructive examinations performed on installed and/or operating structures, systems, and components, as required by Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code.

Nuclear Fuel - Fuel assemblies including but not limited to the following items: fuel rods, poison rods (where applicable), spacer grids, control element assembly guide tubes, and end fittings.

Nuclear Steam Supply System (NSSS) Supplier - An organization contracted to design and manufacture a nuclear steam supply system for a nuclear generating station.

Qualification - Required acts to select a source for providing items or services.

Prerequisite Tests - Tests conducted after construction activities, modifications, or repairs have been completed to verify that prerequisite requirements, such as instrument calibration, electrical energization and control function logic requirements, have been satisfactorily demonstrated in order to proceed with preoperational tests.

Preoperational Tests - Tests conducted after prerequisite tests but prior to fuel loading to demonstrate the capability of items to meet safety-related performance requirements.

Procurement Documents - Contract documents including purchase orders, work assignments, memoranda of changes, and applicable design disclosures.

17.0.1 (continued)

Project Direction - Direction or instructions concerned with project operations and defining when work is to be accomplished. Includes coordination and day-to-day direction of activities of project entities receiving technical direction from others.

Project Engineer - An assigned engineer who performs project liaison activities between the Project Management Organization from which project direction is received and the engineering organization from which technical direction is received.

Project Group Leader - An individual assigned within a discipline which is providing support to a project who is responsible to provide functional direction for that support.

Prototype Tests - Tests conducted in support of design activities to demonstrate the adequacy of the design to perform under the most adverse conditions.

Quality-Affecting Activities - Activities of people which either do or could influence quality of Safety-Related items or work, including designing, purchasing, constructing, fabricating, handling, shipping, storing, cleaning, preserving, erecting, installing, inspecting, testing, operating, maintaining, repairing, refueling, or modifying.

Quality Assurance Functional Direction - Directions regarding quality assurance matters provided by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization to other organizations which have been delegated the work of establishing and executing portions of the Quality Assurance Program.

Reject - A disposition to remove a nonconforming item from use due to its unsuitability for the intended purpose.

Safety-Related - Applies to the prevention or mitigation of the consequences of postulated accidents that could cause undue risk to the health and safety of the public.

Shop Tests - Tests conducted at the source of fabrication to verify conformance with design requirements stipulated in design disclosure documents.

Station Orders - Procedures and/or instructions prepared by the station staff and approved by the Station Superintendent.

Station Tests - Tests to demonstrate that the work performed by the station staff or contractors is satisfactory and meets established requirements.

17.0.1 (continued)

Stop Work - The authority to stop unsatisfactory work and control the further processing, delivery, or installation of non-conforming items. This does not include the authority to stop Prerequisite, Preoperational, and Initial Startup Tests or stop station operations.

Technical Authority - The authority to provide technical direction.

Technical Direction - Instructions and directions defining technical requirements for an activity.

Technical Specification - Appendix A (Safety) and Appendix B (Environmental) to the operating license of a station issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Unreviewed Safety Question - A proposed change, test or experiment involves an Unreviewed Safety Question if: (1) the probability of occurrence or the consequences of an accident or malfunction of equipment important to safety previously evaluated in the Safety Analysis Report (SAR) may be increased; or (2) the possibility for an accident or malfunction of a different type than any previously evaluated in the SAR may be created; or (3) the margin of safety as defined in the basis for any Technical Specification is reduced.

17.1 QUALITY ASSURANCE DURING DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

17.1.1 ORGANIZATION

17.1.1.1 Scope

This subsection describes the SCE organizational structure and responsibilities for the Quality Assurance Program for SCE nuclear generating stations during the design and construction phase in compliance with Regulatory Guide 1.28 (reference Table 17.1-1). It includes a description of the interfaces with other organizations which may be delegated the work of establishing and executing portions of the Quality Assurance Program. The methods used for maintaining responsibility for delegated quality assurance work are identified as well as the management measures that provide for independence of the SCE Quality Assurance Organization.

17.1.1.2 General Responsibilities

During the design and construction phase, the following departments within SCE are involved in Safety-Related activities requiring quality assurance:

<u>Departments</u>	<u>Responsibilities</u>	
Nuclear Engineering & Operations	Licensing and Nuclear Engineering, Project Management, Training Program for Operators, Operating Procedure Development, Design and Construction Management, Construction and Prerequisite Test Program Management.	3
Material Services	Procurement, Shipping, and Handling (excluding Nuclear Fuel)	
Power Supply	Training Program for Operators, Operating Procedure Development	
Fuel Supply	Procurement of Nuclear Fuel	
Administrative Services	Records Management	3
Advanced Engineering	Quality Assurance, Collection of Meteorological Data, Reporting of Defects and Noncompliance	2
Engineering & Construction	Design and Construction Management, Construction and Prerequisite Test Program Management	3

17.1.1.2 (continued)

The SCE organizational structure of departments involved with implementing the SCE Quality Assurance Program during the design and construction phase as well as departmental interfaces is presented on Figure 17.1-1.

17.1.1.2 (continued)

The ultimate responsibility for design, procurement, construction testing, quality assurance, and operations rests with the SCE President. He assigns project responsibilities to the various SCE organizations involved in nuclear generating station development and operations.

The Senior Vice President reports to the President and is responsible for Nuclear Engineering and Operations, Engineering and Construction, Power Supply and Advanced Engineering. | 3

The Vice President, Advanced Engineering, reporting to the Senior Vice President, has been delegated the responsibility for establishing and executing the SCE Quality Assurance Program in compliance with 10CFR50, Appendix B, and other applicable regulations and standards. He is authorized to request the cooperation of all officers and management personnel in support of this program. | 3

SCE corporate management is involved with quality assurance matters on continuous basis by means of regular Officers' Council meetings. Quality Assurance Organization weekly progress reports are prepared for the Vice President, Advanced Engineering, and are used, as appropriate, for discussion items at these meetings. These reports usually contain significant progress items, corrective action recommendations, and unresolved items. In addition, a quarterly report of information suitable for assessment of the status and adequacy of the SCE Quality Assurance Program is submitted to senior management by the Manager, Quality Assurance. | 1

17.1.1.3 Engineering and Construction Department

The Vice President, Engineering and Construction, has responsibility for the design and construction of nuclear generating stations. The Engineering and Construction Department is responsible for engineering, construction, and Construction and Prerequisite Test program management. Engineering responsibilities include design and drafting services, and supporting the project in the various technical disciplines. Construction responsibilities include technical and administrative direction over project construction personnel, construction management, and Construction and Prerequisite Testing. | 3

17.1.1.4 Administration

The Vice President in charge of Administration is responsible for procurements and record maintenance.

The Manager of the Administrative Services Department reports directly to the Vice President, Administration and is responsible for the corporate records management program. Corporate Documentation Services operates the Engineering Data Management (EDM) Centers which are responsible for processing, controlling, retrieving and distributing nuclear project documentation.

3

The Manager of Material Services reports directly to the Vice President, Administration and is responsible for procurement of items and services (excluding nuclear fuel), material expediting, traffic services and for preparation, negotiation, and administration of procurement contracts.

2

17.1.1.5 Nuclear Engineering and Operations Department

The Nuclear Engineering and Operations Department, under the Vice President, Nuclear Engineering and Operations has overall responsibility for the design, construction and operation of nuclear generating facilities.

The Project Manager reports directly to the Vice President, Nuclear Engineering and Operations and is responsible for the technical, schedule, economic and quality aspects of nuclear projects. Project direction is maintained through key project personnel who support the Project Manager (Assistant Project Manager, Project Engineer, Project Cost and Schedule Engineer, Project Administrator, Project Purchasing Agent and Project Construction Superintendent). Administrative Authority and Technical Direction are provided from the specific engineering, construction, or procurement organization from which these people were assigned.

3

The Manager, Nuclear Engineering and Licensing, reports directly to the Vice President, Nuclear Engineering and Operations. The Nuclear Engineering and Licensing Organization provides nuclear engineering, radiological health and nuclear licensing support for new and existing nuclear generating facilities. The engineering staff requests and coordinates support from other organizations both in the company and outside consultants and engineering firms.

The Manager, Nuclear Operations, reports directly to the Vice President, Nuclear Engineering and Operations. The Nuclear Operations Organization manages the operation, maintenance and technical service activities at nuclear generating facilities. They also are responsible for providing trained station operators and operating procedures and instructions.

17.1.1.6 Fuel Supply Department

The Vice President, Fuel Supply, is responsible for procurement of Nuclear Fuel for nuclear generating stations.

| 2

17.1.1.7 Advanced Engineering Department

The Advanced Engineering Department, under the direction of the Vice President, Advanced Engineering, is responsible for quality assurance, reliability, collection of meteorological data and the reporting of defects and noncompliance of nuclear facilities, as well as research and development. Since these responsibilities do not include design, construction, or procurement activities associated with nuclear generating stations, the Quality Assurance Organization is independent of the influence and responsibilities for performance, schedules and costs.

| 2

The Director of Research and Development reports directly to the Vice President, Advanced Engineering, and has the responsibility for the collection of meteorological data during the construction and preoperational phases.

| 2

The Vice President, Advanced Engineering, is responsible for establishing and executing SCE Quality Assurance Program in compliance with applicable regulations, codes, and standards, including those listed on Table 17.1-1. He is responsible for

establishing quality assurance policies, goals, and objectives and for assuring that these policies are followed and the goals and objectives are achieved.

The Vice President, Advanced Engineering, is responsible for apprising the Senior Vice President on the effectiveness of the Quality Assurance Program. The Vice President, Advanced Engineering, is involved in the disposition of nonconformances of unusual complexity, and acts upon trending studies that indicate quality problems of a possible generic nature submitted to him by the Manager, Quality Assurance.

The Vice President, Advanced Engineering, exercises through the Manager, Quality Assurance, the Administrative Authority for the Quality Assurance Organization. Direction for implementing the Quality Assurance Program is provided to individuals and groups by the Vice President, Advanced Engineering, through the Manager Quality Assurance.

The Manager, Quality Assurance, reports directly to the Vice President, Advanced Engineering, and has the responsibility for development, maintenance and surveillance of the Quality Assurance Program as described in quality assurance manuals. These manuals are reviewed and approved by the Manager, Quality Assurance, and the Vice President, Advanced Engineering. Other SCE organizations involved with Quality Assurance Program implementation, as described in Subsection 17.1.1, review and comment on the quality assurance manuals, particularly as they apply to their area of involvement. The Manager, Quality Assurance, is responsible for identifying any conditions adverse to quality and reporting them to the Vice President, Advanced Engineering. In addition, the Manager, Quality Assurance, is responsible for surveillance of Quality-Affecting Activities and has the authority to Stop Work, or delegate this authority in writing to other personnel.

The minimum qualification requirements for the position of Manager, Quality Assurance, are as follows:

- A. Bachelor of Science in one of the engineering disciplines from an accredited college or university.
- B. Ten years experience in design, fabrication, construction, testing, operation, or quality assurance related to the nuclear power field.
- C. Management and administrative ability demonstrated by experience and training.

D. Extensive Knowledge of regulatory requirements for nuclear generating stations.

The Quality Assurance Organization reports directly to the Manager, Quality Assurance, and develops and administers the Quality Assurance Program for the design and construction phase of nuclear generating stations. It is comprised of engineers with expertise in the various disciplines required for performing quality assurance activities. This organization audits, inspects, or otherwise verifies that activities within the scope of the SCE Quality Assurance Program are correctly performed either by SCE or other organizations delegated the work.

The Quality Assurance Organization has the authority and organizational freedom to:

- A. Identify quality problems.
- B. Initiate, recommend, or provide solutions through designated channels.
- C. Verify implementation of solutions.

Additional responsibilities of "offsite" and "onsite" based quality assurance engineers during the design and construction phase are listed on Table 17.1-3.

A Project Quality Assurance Supervisor assigned to each nuclear generating station under design and construction reports to the Manager, Quality Assurance. He is responsible for directing and managing the activities of the "offsite" and "onsite" based quality assurance engineers. "Offsite" and "onsite" based Quality Assurance/Control Supervisors are utilized, as required, to assist the Project Quality Assurance Supervisor.

When workload demands make it necessary, more than one Project QA Supervisor may be assigned work in support of the same nuclear generating station.

The Project Quality Assurance Supervisor has the responsibility and authority, delineated in writing, to stop unsatisfactory work and to control further processing, delivery, and installation of non-conforming items.

17.1.1.8 Delegated Quality Assurance Work

| 2

SCE retains responsibility for the Quality Assurance Program described herein but may delegate quality assurance work to other organizations. Other organizations which may be delegated the work of establishing and executing portions of the Quality Assurance Program during the design and construction phase are as follows:

Architect-Engineer (A-E).

Nuclear Steam Supply System (NSSS) Supplier.

Other SCE Contractors and Consultants.

The quality assurance programs of these organizations and the scope of delegation are as described in the applicable contract for the work performed. A typical interface organizational relationship between SCE and other organizations delegated quality assurance work is as shown on Figure 17.1-2.

17.1.1.9 Interfaces for Delegated Quality Assurance Work

| 2

The SCE Manager, Quality Assurance, is responsible to communicate SCE quality assurance requirements directly with management of other organizations delegated the work of establishing and executing portions of the Quality Assurance Program. Compliance with SCE quality requirements and regulatory requirements is verified by means of review and approval of these organizations' quality assurance programs as described in Subsection 17.1.2 and by means of audits as described in Subsection 17.1.18.

Management of other organizations delegated quality assurance work is required to implement a reporting system concerning the delegated quality assurance work they are performing and to regularly review the status and effectiveness of that part of the program they are executing. Further, management of these organizations is required to submit to SCE management reports concerning correction of quality problems identified during SCE surveillance of delegated work.

DOCUMENT/ PAGE PULLED

ANO. 8008140342

NO. OF PAGES 1

REASON:

PAGE ILLEGIBLE:

HARD COPY FILED AT: PDR CF

OTHER _____

BETTER COPY REQUESTED ON _____


PAGE TOO LARGE TO FILM.

HARD COPY FILED AT: PDR CF

OTHER _____

FILMED ON APERTURE CARD NO. 8008140342

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY



17.1.2 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM

17.1.2.1 Scope

This subsection describes the SCE Quality Assurance Program established and implemented for the design and construction of nuclear generating stations in compliance with Regulatory Guide 1.28 (reference Table 17.1-1).

17.1.2.2 Quality Assurance Program

The basic policies, goals, and objectives for quality assurance are that SCE personnel have full responsibility to assure that nuclear generating stations are designed, constructed, tested and operated in a manner to protect the health and safety of the public. In this regard, SCE has committed its Quality Assurance Program for the design and construction phase to be in compliance with the provisions of 10CFR50, Appendix B, and the regulatory guides and standards listed on Table 17.1-1.

The SCE Quality Assurance Program described herein is applied to all activities affecting the Safety-Related functions of those structures, systems and components which prevent or mitigate the consequences of postulated accidents that could cause undue risk to the health and safety of the public. A listing of items designated Safety-Related is included in the PSAR for the applicable nuclear generating station. These lists are maintained and revised, as necessary, to reflect changes resulting from the finalization of station design.

In addition, expendable or consumable items necessary for the functional performance of Safety-Related structures, systems and components are subjected to quality assurance requirements as specified in written procedures. These procedures include provisions for review and control in accordance with industry standards and specifications and the safety-related function of the expendable or consumable items.

This program includes Safety-Related activities initiated prior to submittal of a PSAR, such as design and procurement, preparation of the PSAR, and site evaluation and preparation, and remains in effect until initiation of preoperational testing.

The program is periodically reviewed by the Quality Assurance Organization during the design and construction phase. Revisions are made in a controlled fashion, as necessary, to reflect changes in the program which may be required to improve its efficiency or increase its effectiveness.

SCE quality assurance policies, goals and objectives are defined in corporate jurisdiction statements, organization plans, and

1-421.3

17.1.2.2 (continued)

quality assurance manuals and procedures. These documents transmit the SCE quality assurance philosophy and requirements to all levels of management and to groups and individuals involved with program implementation. Training programs, personnel certifications, meetings, review of working documents, programs, and manuals, and management directives, are some of the methods utilized to assure that these policies, goals, and objectives are properly understood and complied with.

The Manager, Quality Assurance, is responsible for establishing and maintaining quality assurance manuals in compliance with applicable regulations and standards as listed in Table 17.1-1 and PSAR commitments. These manuals are developed by the Quality Assurance Organization from established quality assurance policies, goals, and objectives, which are mandatory requirements. Controlled distribution of quality assurance manuals is maintained by the Quality Assurance Organization.

Disputes arising between departments and organizations on a quality assurance matter that cannot be resolved are referred to the Vice President, Advanced Engineering, or, if necessary, to the Senior Vice President for resolution.

Written and approved procedures, instructions, and revisions thereto, necessary to activate the Quality Assurance Program originate from departments or organizations within SCE that have jurisdictional responsibility for performing specific tasks. All procedures that support the SCE Quality Assurance Program are reviewed and approved by responsible supervision and management of the originating organization. They are also reviewed and may be approved or disapproved by the Quality Assurance Organization. Propagation and control of the procedures and instructions developed pursuant to the Quality Assurance Program are maintained by the originating organization. All procedures are included in procedure manuals maintained by the respective organizations. Table 17.1-2 presents a list of these manuals and a summary of their content. By means of inspections and audits, Quality Assurance Organization personnel verify that these procedures are followed.

Indoctrination and training programs are established within SCE by those organizations responsible for performing Quality-Affecting Activities. These programs are implemented by appropriate training plans or procedures which describe the scope and objectives. The Quality Assurance Organization provides assistance in the development and implementation of these programs, as requested, and performs periodic audits to assure effective implementation.

17.1.2.2 (continued)

The indoctrination and training programs are established to assure that personnel responsible for performing Quality-Assurance Activities are:

- o Instructed as to the purpose, scope and implementation of the quality manuals, procedures, and instructions.
- o Trained and qualified in the principles and techniques of the activity being performed.
- o Retrained, reexamined, and/or recertified, as necessary, to maintain proficiency.

Indoctrination and training programs include, as appropriate, the following types of training for key project personnel:

- o Audit techniques
- o Nondestructive testing.
- o Specialized technical subjects.
- o NRC regulations, guides, codes and standards.
- o Intra- and interdepartmental presentations regarding quality assurance activities and requirements.
- o Presentations on the proper use of procedures and instructions affecting quality assurance activities.

A record of each training session is prepared and maintained which identifies the subject, attendees, and date training was conducted.

During the design and construction phase, project review meetings are held regularly to assess the design and construction status and provide an interface between the responsible SCE departments and organizations as identified in Subsection 17.1.1. Schedules are maintained throughout this phase, and as the design and construction progresses, plans are made by the Engineering, and Construction Department and Nuclear Engineering and Operations Department for Preoperational Testing and Initial Startup Testing. These plans are reviewed by the Quality Assurance Organization to assure that the test program is developed and controlled in accordance with the SCE Quality Assurance Program. The Engineering and Construction and Nuclear Engineering and Operations Departments, as well as representatives of the A-E and NSSS Supplier, participate in the planning and scheduling for transfer of the nuclear generation station

|3

|3

17.1.2.2 (continued)

from the design and construction phase to the operations phase. Prior to actual turnover, written procedures are developed by these organizations for the control of the transfer of all portions of the nuclear generating station, including associated documentation. The Quality Assurance Organization verifies that these procedures are developed and followed by means of inspections and audits, and assures that Quality-Affecting Activities are performed by trained and qualified personnel using specified equipment under suitable environmental conditions.

The SCE Quality Assurance Program for the design and construction phase of nuclear generating stations is described in detail in subsequent subsections of this topical report. The descriptions follow the criteria presented in 10CFR50, Appendix B.

The Vice President, Advanced Engineering, through use of independent consultants, periodically assesses the scope, implementation, and effectiveness of the program to assure that it is meaningful and effectively complies with 10CFR50, Appendix B, criteria.

For a full-time construction project, such an assessment is made within one year following issuance of the NRC construction permit, at approximately the mid-term of the construction effort and prior to commencement of major startup activities. Assessments will be made by qualified individuals and firms with primary emphasis on the activities of SCE, and they result in written reports submitted to the Vice President, Advanced Engineering. These reports may then be referred to appropriate departments for response to any findings or recommendations.

1-421.2

Quality-related work delegated to other organizations (A-E's, NSSS Supplier's, and other contractor and consultants to SCE) must comply with applicable provisions of 10CFR50, Appendix B. Additionally, these programs must comply with the Regulatory Guides and ANSI Standards listed in Table 17.1-1 or acceptable alternatives must be described. These quality assurance programs must be reviewed and approved by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization. Regular planned audits of these quality assurance programs are conducted by the Quality Assurance Organization to assure continued compliance with applicable regulatory requirements.

17.1.3 DESIGN CONTROL

17.1.3.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to plan and control design activities in compliance with Regulatory Guide 1.64 (reference Table 17.1-1).

17.1.3.2 Design Control

SCE may contract the majority of work of designing nuclear generating stations to A-E's and NSSS Suppliers but may also retain a portion of design responsibility consistent with available engineering manpower and other considerations. An SCE Project Manager is responsible for project design activities, including management of design interfaces with other participating organizations.

The SCE Quality Assurance Program includes procedures for establishing and maintaining design control throughout the design and construction phase of nuclear generating stations. Internal and external design interface control procedures are established which include the review, approval, release, distribution, and revision of documents involving design interfaces with participating design organizations. These procedures and instructions are referenced in quality assurance manuals for the applicable project or contained in procedures manuals as listed on Table 17.1-2.

The Engineering and Construction Department and the Nuclear Engineering and Operations Department are responsible for SCE-prepared Design Disclosure Documents. The Project Engineer thru the Project Group Leader is responsible for primary engineering input, overall design document preparation and coordination with other organizations which have design review responsibilities.

| 3

Review and approval of SCE-prepared design documents and changes thereto are performed per established quality assurance procedures by individuals other than the original designer and the designer's immediate supervisor. These procedures describe the positions responsible for design reviews and other design verification activities and identifies their authority and responsibilities.

Internal procedures describe design review interfaces and review and documentation requirements to assure that design documentation complies with PSAR commitments and contains the following, where applicable:

- o Sufficient identification of regulatory requirements and the documents' agreement with these requirements.

- o Sufficient identification of the item's functions and incorporation of design basis.
- o Adequate delineation of values controlling the item's critical design parameters.
- o Sufficient identification of quality standards, test and inspection criteria.
- o Specification of appropriate acceptance criteria for tests and inspections.
- o Inspectability of item's critical design parameters.
- o Suitability for service, including technical evaluation for standard commercial (off-the-shelf) or previously approved items.
- o Inclusion of performance characteristics.
- o Material compatibility.
- o Accessibility to maintain, repair or inspect the pertinent item while in service.
- o Design interfaces have been adequately established and supporting calculations have been checked.

The Project Engineer through the Project Group Leader is responsible for assuring that design documentation, and changes thereto, including field changes, are distributed to responsible individuals with established review request forms in a timely manner. They are also responsible for assuring that errors and deficiencies in design, including the design process, that could adversely affect structures, systems and components are documented, and corrective action is taken to preclude repetition.

Documentation reflecting a design change is required to be reviewed and approved by the same groups cognizant in the discipline affected by the change which reviewed and approved the original documentation (refer to Subsection 17.1.6 concerning changes in responsible organization). Work may proceed in accordance with field changes, which have been approved by cognizant representatives of only those groups responsible for the design area affected by the field change. Field changes are reviewed for impact on affected design documents. Specific criteria are provided to determine whether a field change requires incorporation in a design document. Field changes requiring incorporation are incorporated in affected documentation within 20 days of approval of each field change or when 10 field changes have been accumulated, whichever occurs first.

2

1-421.5

2

17.1.3.2 (continued)

Design documentation prepared by the A-E and NSSS Supplier are controlled in accordance with their SCE-approved quality assurance programs. Selected design documents prepared by the A-E and NSSS Supplier are reviewed and may be approved or disapproved by SCE in accordance with internal written procedures. The Project Engineer through the Project Group Leader is responsible for assuring that all comments are resolved and/or incorporated prior to acceptance by SCE.

Design controls are applied by SCE, A-E's or NSSS Suppliers, as applicable, to such activities as reactor physics, seismic, stress, thermal, hydraulic, radiation, and accident analyses. Consultants may be utilized to review and verify the design of certain items.

Where design verification cannot be adequately accomplished by the design review process, alternate calculations or qualification testing under adverse design conditions shall be employed. These alternate methods are required to be defined in design documentation.

| 1-421.4

Records of SCE design reviews and associated design documents are required by internal written procedures to be maintained and controlled in the EDM Center.

| 3

The SCE Quality Assurance Organization is responsible for performing periodic audits, as described in Subsection 17.1.18, of SCE departments, A-E's and NSSS Suppliers to verify effective implementation of design control requirements. These audits include verification that appropriate design review records are maintained and deviations from quality standards are controlled.

17.1.4 PROCUREMENT DOCUMENT CONTROL

17.1.4.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to control documentation associated with procurement of items and services in compliance with the requirements and guidelines of ANSI N45.2.13 (reference Table 17.1-1).

17.1.4.2 Procurement Document Control

Procurement Documents defining the technical requirements for Safety-Related items and services are prepared and controlled by responsible engineers within SCE, A-E's and NSSS Suppliers. Determination of responsibility for Procurement Document preparation is approved by the SCE Project Manager. Procurement Documents for Nuclear Fuel are prepared and controlled by the SCE Fuel Supply Department. The Procurement Division of the SCE Material Services Department is responsible for contract negotiations and the issuance of purchase orders, except for nuclear fuel.

Contracts with A-E's and NSSS Suppliers for items and services include the requirement for control of procurement documentation as part of their quality assurance program requirements. The SCE Project Manager is responsible for preparation and control of these contracts. The Procurement Division controls the contract negotiations and issuance.

For procurement of items and services by SCE, a multilevel procurement system is established. This system controls the following areas:

- a) Extent of procurement document requirements necessary for procurement of items and services.
- b) Level of review and approval of procurement documents by cognizant engineering and quality assurance personnel.
- c) Degree of qualification of the supplier from a quality assurance aspect.
- d) Method of product acceptance.

This procurement system assures that the appropriate technical and quality requirements are specified for procurement of items and services considering the safety related function, complexity of design and manufacturing, degree of inspectability and testability upon receipt and other factors which affect product quality.

Procurement Documents prepared by SCE identify the applicable 10CFR50, Appendix B, requirements, regulatory guides, codes, and standards which must be complied with and described in suppliers' quality assurance programs. These programs are reviewed by the Quality Assurance Organization.

17.1.4.2 (continued)

Written procedures are established which define the sequential activities necessary for preparation, review, approval, and control of Procurement Documents. These procedures identify the responsible organizations and functions of individuals performing the Procurement Document control activities. Table 17.1-2 lists the manuals which contain these procedures.

Procurement Documents, and revisions thereto, are reviewed by responsible engineers to verify that they contain or reference technical requirements appropriate to the item or service to be provided including:

- o Regulatory requirements, codes, and industry standards.
- o Component and material identification requirements.
- o Design requirements, including drawings and specifications.

| 3

17.1.4.2 (continued)

- o Test and inspection requirements.
- o Special process instructions.
- o Handling, storage, and shipping instructions.
- o Documentation to be prepared, maintained, and submitted to SCE for review and approval.
- o Records to be retained, controlled and maintained by the supplier and those to be delivered to SCE prior to use or installation of the item.

Responsible quality assurance engineers review Procurement Documents to determine that they have been prepared, reviewed and approved in accordance with SCE Quality Assurance Program requirements and to assure the adequacy of quality requirements. This review includes, but is not limited to, verification of the following as appropriate to the item or service being provided:

13

- o Appropriate quality requirements are correctly stated and include applicable 10CFR50, Appendix B, requirements.
- o Quality requirements can be inspected and controlled.
- o Adequate acceptance and rejection criteria are specified.
- o Provisions are included for documenting and controlling deviations from the Procurement Document.
- o Provisions are included for the right of access by the purchaser to the supplier's facilities and records for source inspection and audit.

The control measures, described herein, apply to original items as well as spare or replacement parts, and to changes to Procurement Documents. Procurement Document reviews including reviews of change and revisions are verified by checking the signatures of assigned responsible individuals on appropriate documents. The review and approval of Procurement Documents is documented prior to release and is available for verification.

SCE, A-E's and NSSS Suppliers are required to maintain records of the review and approval of Procurement Documents. The SCE Quality Assurance Organization is responsible for performing periodic audits, as described in Subsection 17.1.18, of these participating organizations, to verify that Procurement Documents have been prepared, reviewed, approved, controlled, and maintained

17.1.4.2 (continued)

in accordance with SCE Quality Assurance Program requirements. Internal audits are also required by A-E's and NSSS Supplier's quality assurance organizations to verify that these activities have been correctly performed in their organizations and in the organizations of their suppliers, as appropriate.

| 2-421.14

17.1.5 INSTRUCTIONS, PROCEDURES, AND DRAWINGS

17.1.5.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to assure that activities affecting quality are prescribed by, and accomplished in accordance with, appropriate instructions, procedures, and drawings.

17.1.5.2 Instructions, Procedures, and Drawings

The SCE Quality Assurance Program includes provisions which require that work be accomplished in accordance with documented instructions, procedures, and drawings.

Instructions, procedures, and drawings are prepared, reviewed, approved, and controlled by organizations which implement Quality-Affecting Activities. These documents specify the requirements and/or methods to be utilized for compliance with the requirements of the SCE Quality Assurance Program. They include appropriate qualitative and quantitative acceptance criteria, as applicable, to determine that designated activities are accomplished in a satisfactory manner.

Instructions, procedures and drawings, including revisions thereto, prepared by SCE are reviewed and approved by organizations responsible for the work. The Quality Assurance Organization reviews and approves inspection plans; test, calibration, and special process procedures; drawings and specifications; and changes thereto.

Changes to instructions, procedures, and drawings are reviewed and approved in the same manner as original documents. Project Management personnel are responsible for assuring that instructions, procedures, and drawings, including revisions thereto, are reviewed by the appropriate SCE organizations.

Instructions, procedures, and drawings prepared by A-E's and NSSS Suppliers are controlled in accordance with their respective quality assurance programs as described in the applicable PSAR. Inspection plans, test, calibration, and special process procedures, drawings and specifications, and changes thereto prepared by these participating organizations may be subject to the review and approval of the SCE Quality Assurance Organization. The SCE Quality Assurance Organization verifies by means of audits, as described in Subsection 17.1.18, that these documents are maintained and controlled by EDM in compliance with established program commitments.

1-421.6

3

17.1.6 DOCUMENT CONTROL

17.1.6.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to control the preparation, review, approval, issuance and distribution of documents affecting quality.

17.1.6.2 Document Control

Document control, as described herein, applies to the following documents, and changes thereto, as a minimum:

- o Design specifications.
- o Design, manufacturing, construction, and installation drawings.
- o Procurement documents.
- o Quality Assurance topical report and manuals. | 1-421.9
- o Safety Analysis Reports (SAR), related design criteria documents and referenced topical reports. | 1-421.9
- o Manufacturing, inspection, and testing instructions.
- o Test procedures.
- o Design change requests.
- o Nonconformance Reports.
- o Corrective Action Requests.
- o Audit Reports.

These documents are prepared, reviewed, approved, issued, maintained and controlled in accordance with approved written procedures. Table 17.1-2 lists the manuals which contain these procedures. These procedures provide instructions to assure that documents are adequately checked, approved, and released by authorized personnel in a timely manner, and that the documents are transmitted and available at appropriate locations prior to commencement of activities requiring use of the document. Personnel or groups authorized to check, approve, and release documents are identified in quality assurance manuals and departmental procedures for the applicable project. | 3

| 1-421.7

17.1.6.2 (continued)

Approved changes are included in instructions, procedures, and drawings, and other documents prior to implementation of the change. Changes to documents can be requested by any reviewing or using organization or individual. Such requested changes are subjected to the review and approval by the same groups cognizant in the discipline affected by the change unless this work has been delegated to another organization. Where a group or organization which originally was responsible for approving a particular document is no longer responsible, the group or organization to approve changes to the document shall be designated and determined to be qualified by the SCE Project Manager.

2

1-421.8

Design information transmitted from one organizational unit to another, including to organizations delegated design work, is documented and controlled by written approved procedures. These procedures require that design information transmittals identify the status of the design information or document provided and, where necessary, identification of incomplete items which require further evaluation, review or approval.

Each issuing organization prepares or delegates the preparation of a master list that identifies the latest revision number of instructions, procedures, specifications, drawings and Procurement Documents. These master lists are updated and distributed to designated responsible persons to preclude the use of obsolete or superseded documents.

SCE Project Management personnel are responsible for assuring that selected Design Disclosure Documents developed by A-E's and NSSS Suppliers are reviewed and approved by SCE. A-E's and NSSS Suppliers are required to control the preparation and issuance of drawings and specifications in a manner approved by SCE. The SCE Quality Assurance Organization periodically audits the document control systems of all participating organizations, as described in Subsection 17.1.18, to verify compliance with established requirements.

17.1.7 CONTROL OF PURCHASED MATERIAL, EQUIPMENT, AND SERVICES

17.1.7.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to control the procurement of material, equipment, and services purchased directly or through contractors and subcontractors in compliance with applicable codes and standards.

| 3

17.1.7.2 Control of Purchased Material, Equipment and Services

Prior to award of purchase order or contract, suppliers to SCE are subject to financial, technical, and quality assurance evaluations as applicable by qualified SCE Procurement, Engineering and Quality Assurance personnel. Quality Assurance evaluation of suppliers shall not apply to standard of-the-shelf items and basic commodities where required quality can adequately be determined by receipt inspection or post-installation checkout or test. The Quality Assurance evaluation may be accomplished by one or more of the following methods:

| 3

- o Review of objective evidence establishing suppliers' capability to comply with the 10CFR50, Appendix B, criteria, applicable to the type of material, equipment, or service to be procured.
- o Review of available previous records and performance of suppliers that provided similar products and services of the type to be procured.
- o Through the Coordinating Agency for Supplier Evaluation (CASE) initially using the current revision of the CASE Register (Nuclear Section). Prior to any award based on prequalification using CASE, the qualification file would be obtained and reviewed to ensure applicability.
- o Survey of suppliers' facilities and quality assurance programs to determine suppliers' capability to supply a product or service which meets the design, manufacturing, and quality requirements.

| 3

Results of these reviews and surveys are documented and forwarded to the Material Services Department and to the EDM Center.

| 3

Surveillance of suppliers when required, is performed during fabrication, inspection, testing, and shipment. This surveillance activity, as further described in Subsections 17.1.10 and 17.1.18, is planned and performed in accordance with written procedures to assure compliance to purchase order requirements. These procedures provide for the following:

| 3

17.1.7.2 (continued)

- o Instructions that specify the characteristics or processes to be witnessed, inspected or verified, and accepted; the method of surveillance, the extent of documentation required and those responsible for implementing the instructions.
- o Audits and surveillance which assure that suppliers comply with all appropriate quality requirements established, as described in Subsection 17.1.4, consistent with the importance and complexity of the item. The importance of an item includes consideration of the quantity involved. Surveillance is performed primarily on manufacturers of those items where verification of procurement requirements cannot be determined on receipt.
- o Audits are scheduled of suppliers as described in Subsection 17.1.18. Surveillance is scheduled based on considerations described above, results of previous audits and quantity of the item involved. Audits and surveillance assure the effectiveness of the control of quality by suppliers.

1-421.10

Receiving inspection of material and equipment furnished by suppliers, as further described in Subsection 17.1.10, is performed in accordance with written procedures to assure that:

- o Material, components, and equipment are properly identified and correspond with the receiving documentation.
- o Inspection of the material, components, or equipment and acceptance records is performed and judged acceptable in accordance with predetermined inspection instructions prior to installation and use.
- o Inspection records or certificates of conformance attesting to the acceptance of materials, components, and equipment are available at the nuclear generating station prior to installation and use.
- o Items accepted and released are identified as to their inspection status prior to forwarding them to a controlled storage area or releasing them for installation of further work.
- o Nonconforming items are segregated, controlled, and clearly identified until proper disposition is made.

SCE may delegate the work of vendor surveillance and/or receiving inspection to A-E's quality assurance organizations. Monitoring of this delegated work is performed by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization. Written procedures for performing delegated receiving inspection are subject to review by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization.

Procurement specifications require suppliers to furnish the following records to SCE, as a minimum, with delivered items:

- o Certifications that specifically identify the purchased material and equipment, and the specific procurement requirements such as codes, standards, specifications, procedures, and drawings that are met by the items.
- o Certifications that identify procurement requirements that were not met, together with a description of those nonconformances dispositioned Accept-As-Is or repair.

Certifications are reviewed and approved at receiving inspection by SCE or A-E's inspectors. The Project Quality Assurance Supervisor or his designee audits these certifications for completeness and accuracy. SCE or A-E's periodically evaluate suppliers' certificates of conformance by audits, independent inspections, or tests to assure they are valid. In addition, the effectiveness of the control of quality by suppliers is assessed by SCE or A-E's at intervals consistent with the importance, complexity, and quantity of the item.

The verification of the validity of supplier certificates and the effectiveness of the certification system is accomplished as an integral part of the total supplier control and product acceptance program.

Spare or replacement parts for structures, systems, and components are subject to controls at least equivalent to those used for the original equipment.

Material, equipment, and services purchased through A-E's and NSSS Suppliers are controlled as specified in their quality assurance programs which are described in the PSAR.

17.1.8 IDENTIFICATION AND CONTROL OF MATERIAL, PARTS,
AND COMPONENTS

17.1.8.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE for identifying and controlling material, parts, and components.

17.1.8.2 Identification and Control of Material, Parts,
and Components

Identification and control requirements for material, parts, and components, including partially fabricated assemblies, are specified in SCE Procurement Documents for the item to be purchased. These requirements are established by cognizant SCE engineers during the development of specifications and drawings, and include the following:

- o Items are identified by means which permit traceability to supporting documentation such as purchase orders, manufacturing records, and quality assurance records and documentation.
- o If required by codes, standards, or specifications, materials are traceable to records of heat, batch or lot number.
- o Method and location of identification are controlled to assure the function, fit and quality of the item are not impaired.
- o Verification of correct identification of material, parts, and components is accomplished and documented prior to release for fabrication, assembling or shipping and the record of verification is maintained for the period provided in the specification.

Material, parts, and components purchased through A-E's and NSSS Suppliers are identified and controlled as specified in their quality assurance programs which are described in the applicable PSAR.

SCE maintains responsibility for control of material, parts, and components at a jobsite but may delegate the work to qualified organizations. Written procedures for control of material, parts, and components are prepared and are subject to the review of the SCE Quality Assurance Organization.

Procedures require that material, parts, and components delivered to the jobsite are quarantined until a determination is made that such items are properly identified, the required documentation is available, and the items are acceptable for use.

17.1.8.2 (continued)

This documentation is then transmitted to the EDM Center for control and maintenance as described in 17.1.17.

| 3

17.1.9 CONTROL OF SPECIAL PROCESSES

17.1.9.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to control special processes such as welding, heat treating, cleaning, and nondestructive testing in compliance with Regulatory Guides 1.31, 1.39 and 1.54 (reference Table 17.1-1).

17.1.9.2 Control of Special Processes

SCE engineers and technicians performing special processes are trained, examined, and qualified in accordance with applicable codes, standards, specifications and other supplementary requirements as applicable. Procedures and equipment utilized in performing these processes are similarly qualified.

Inspection records associated with special processes include verification that the activity was performed utilizing qualified personnel, equipment, and procedures. These records, as well as current qualification records of personnel, equipment, and procedures, are filed and maintained in the Documentation Control Center.

Special process procedures, equipment, and associated personnel certifications of A-E's and NSSS Suppliers are reviewed and approved by their respective quality assurance organizations. A-E's, NSSS Suppliers, and SCE suppliers are periodically audited by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization as described in Subsection 17.1.18, to assure continuing compliance with special process controls of the applicable contract document or procurement specification.

17.1.10 INSPECTION

17.1.10.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to control the inspection activities affecting quality in compliance with Regulatory Guides 1.30, 1.58, and 1.94, and the requirements and guidelines of ANSI N45.2.8 and N45.2.13 (reference Table 17.1-1).

17.1.10.2 Inspection

The SCE Quality Assurance Program requires that activities affecting quality be inspected by individuals other than those that performed the activity being inspected. Inspection of these activities is performed for these items in accordance with written and approved procedures and inspection plans.

Approved inspection procedures, instructions, and checklists are provided to perform the inspections and contain, but are not limited to, the following:

- o Identification of characteristics and activities to be inspected.
- o Identification of the individuals or group(s) responsible and qualified to perform the inspection operation.
- o Acceptance and rejection criteria, both qualitative and quantitative.
- o Description of the inspection method.
- o Recording evidence of completing and verifying a manufacturing, inspection, or test operation.
- o Recording inspector or data recorder and the results of the inspection operation.

For inspections performed at a jobsite, the SCE Quality Assurance Organization has the responsibility for inspections, inspection procedures, implementation of inspection plans, and documentation of inspection findings. These inspections and associated work may be delegated to A-E's or other contractor's quality control inspection organizations provided they can demonstrate sufficient qualifications and independence from costs and schedules. In addition, the SCE Quality Assurance Organization assigned to a jobsite periodically audits the inspection activities of SCE and other organizations delegated the inspection work to verify that:

- o Inspection procedures or instructions are developed with necessary drawings and specifications prior to

17.1.10.2 (continued)

performing inspection operations.

- o Inspectors are qualified in accordance with appropriate codes, standards, and training programs, and their qualifications are documented and maintained current.
- o Modifications, repairs, and replacements are inspected in accordance with the original design and inspection requirements or acceptable alternatives.
- o Inspection operations are performed and documented in compliance with the appropriate quality assurance manuals and inspection records are forwarded to the Documentation Control Center.

Source inspections are performed by SCE, or its designated agents, on a selective basis at suppliers' facilities. Designated agents may be the A-E, NSSS Supplier, or other contracted inspection agencies. Also, source inspection, as part of the vendor surveillance activities, may be included in the work delegated to others as described in Subsection 17.1.1.7. These source inspections do not relieve the organization performing the activity being inspected from performing all the inspections required. The need for source inspection is determined by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization or other organizations delegated quality assurance work and is based on the following criteria:

- o The significance of the activity to the functions of the item.
- o Audit results, results of inspections or tests, lack of previous experience with the contractor or supplier, or indications from other areas that source inspections would be prudent.

Mandatory inspection hold points for witness are specified in Procurement Documents if established at the time of approval of such documents. If established during the review of contractor's or supplier's procedures or plans for manufacturing, examination, test or inspection, these witness and hold points are designated in correspondence from SCE, usually in the contract documents requiring conformance from a contractor or supplier.

Procurement Documents include the requirement that modifications, repairs, and replacements are inspected in accordance with the original design and inspection requirements or acceptable alternatives. In addition, these documents contain provisions for indirect control by monitoring processing methods, equipment, and

17.1.10.2 (continued)

personnel where direct inspection is not possible. Contractors and suppliers are required to qualify their inspectors in accordance with appropriate codes, standards, and training programs and to maintain current records of these certifications.

17.1.11 TEST CONTROL

17.1.11.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to control testing activities in compliance with Regulatory Guides 1.30, 1.58, and 1.94, and the requirements and guidelines of ANSI N45.2.8 (reference Table 17.1-1).

17.1.11.2 Test Control

All testing conducted during the design and construction phase is documented, and accomplished in accordance with approved written procedures. These procedures apply to Prototype, Shop, Construction, and Prerequisite Tests. Written test procedures, for the tests described herein, incorporate or reference:

- o The requirements and acceptance limits contained in applicable design and Procurement Documents.
- o Instructions for performing the test.
- o Test prerequisites that include, but are not limited to, the following provisions:
 - a) Calibrated instrumentation.
 - b) Adequate and appropriate equipment.
 - c) Completeness of items to be tested.
 - d) Suitable and, if required, controlled environmental conditions.
 - e) Mandatory inspection hold points for witness by owner, contractor or inspector.
 - f) Acceptance and rejection criteria, either qualitative or quantitative.
 - g) Methods for documenting or recording test data and results.

Provisions for personnel training, data collection, and storage are contained in other internal SCE documentation.

Procedures for Prototype and Shop Tests are normally prepared by the organization conducting the test; however, SCE, A-E's, or NSSS Suppliers may prepare these procedures where they have design or procurement responsibility. These procedures require appropriate preparing organization quality assurance management and associated engineering organization review and approval prior to test implementation.

17.1.11.2 (continued)

Construction Tests are conducted in compliance with test procedures normally prepared by the A-E and testing contractors performing the tests. The A-E is responsible for approval of construction test procedures and for the review and evaluation of test results. The Engineering and Construction Department is responsible for management of the test program.

Prerequisite Tests are conducted in accordance with a Startup and Test Manual for the applicable station. These manuals are the responsibility of the Engineering and Construction Department and Nuclear Engineering and Operations. They contain descriptions of organization's functions, authority, responsibility, and the policies and procedures for the conduct of the test program as well as Preoperational and Startup Tests. The technical portions of these programs, including quality assurance requirements, are contained in test procedures prepared by SCE, A-E's, NSSS Suppliers, and other major contractors. These procedures are approved by appropriate members of a Test Working Group and by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization. The Test Working Group consists of cognizant SCE, A-E, NSSS Supplier, and other major contractor representatives involved in the applicable project. Evaluation and approval of test results is also the responsibility of this group. |3

Implementation of the Construction and Prerequisite Test Program may be delegated to the A-E with program management retained by the Engineering and Construction Department. The Quality Assurance Organization performs audits and inspection surveillance of the test program to assure compliance with SCE Quality Assurance Program requirements.

The SCE test program policy requires that modifications, repairs, and replacements of items be tested in the same manner, using the same design and test requirements as the original items. If alternatives are required, they must be reviewed and approved by the same organizations that established the original requirements or alternate organizations which have been provided sufficient background information.

Records of tests, described herein, which are performed at a job-site, are forwarded to the EDM Center and are available for audit by the Quality Assurance Organization. |3

17.1.12 CONTROL OF MEASURING AND TEST EQUIPMENT

17.1.12.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to control measuring and test equipment, used in activities affecting quality.

17.1.12.2 Control of Measuring and Test Equipment

Measuring and test equipment utilized by suppliers are required to be controlled in accordance with 10CFR50, Appendix B, requirements.

Measuring and test equipment used in the measurement, testing or monitoring of components, systems or structures is controlled by SCE or by the A-E's quality control organizations with the exception of that equipment used by the NSSS Suppliers. SCE and these organizations are required to establish a calibration program including calibration manuals for measuring and test equipment to be used at a jobsite and written procedures to implement the program. Calibration programs contain the following minimum requirements for each piece of measuring and test equipment to be used at a jobsite:

- o Description of equipment.
- o General use.
- o Date received on site.
- o Manufacturer.
- o Manufacturer's serial number, initial calibration, and certificate.
- o A list of governing regulations, codes, and standards applicable to calibration.
- o Method of labeling or tagging the equipment to indicate date of next calibration.
- o Method for identification of equipment for traceability to the calibration test data.
- o Calibration intervals based on the required accuracy, purpose, degree of usage, stability characteristics, and other conditions affecting the measurement.
- o A system for recalling equipment whose designated period of legitimate use prior to recalibration has expired.

17.1.12.2 (continued)

- o Calibration procedures including techniques and methods for adjustment of equipment.
- o List of individuals, organizations, and companies authorized to calibrate and/or use the equipment.
- o Organizations responsible for performing calibration.
- o Method for identification, control, and distribution of calibration data.
- o Provisions for proper storage of the equipment.
- o Provisions for review by the responsible quality assurance representative.

Calibration programs include provisions for determining the validity of previous measurements performed when measuring and test equipment are found to be out of calibration and for documenting the investigation results. These programs specify accuracy requirements and limit the error requirement of calibration standards to not more than 1/4 of the tolerance of the equipment to be calibrated. A greater uncertainty may be acceptable when limited by the state-of-the-art.

Measuring and test equipment are calibrated to recognized national standards on or before calibration expiration. Reference or transfer standards are traceable to nationally recognized standards or if non-existent, the calibration programs include provisions for documenting the basis for calibration. The complete status of all equipment controlled by the calibration program is recorded and maintained by the organization responsible for the calibration program.

The SCE Quality Assurance Organization reviews calibration programs prepared by A-E's or designated SCE contractors and performs periodic audits to verify conformance with established calibration program requirements.

NSSS Suppliers control their measuring and test equipment in accordance with their quality assurance programs which are described in the PSAR.

17.1.13 HANDLING, STORAGE, AND SHIPPING

17.1.13.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to control handling, storage, shipping, packaging, preservation, and cleaning activities in compliance with Regulatory Guide 1.38 (reference Table 17.1-1).

17.1.13.2 Handling, Storage, and Shipping

The SCE Engineering and Construction and Nuclear Engineering and Operations Departments are responsible for implementation of handling, storage, packaging, preservation, and cleaning requirements for items delivered to a jobsite and for identifying shipping requirements to be implemented by the Material Services Department. The work associated with these activities may be delegated to A-E's, and NSSF Suppliers; however, in all cases, the work is performed in accordance with written approved procedures by individuals qualified to perform these activities. | 3

Special instructions, procedures, or drawings necessary to define handling, storage, shipping, packaging, preservation, and cleaning methods and requirements are prepared in accordance with design and specification requirements by SCE, A-E's, and NSSF Suppliers, as appropriate. These procedures are reviewed and approved by the originator's quality assurance organization. A-E's and NSSF Suppliers' procedures are subject to review by SCE. The SCE Quality Assurance Organization conducts periodic audits of these organizations to assure proper implementation of these procedures.

SCE, A-E's, and NSSF Suppliers, as delegated, define handling, storage, shipping, packaging, preservation, and cleaning requirements to suppliers in procurement specifications. Compliance with these requirements is verified by means of periodic audits conducted by their respective quality assurance organizations.

17.1.14 INSPECTION, TEST, AND OPERATING STATUS

17.1.14.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to indicate the status of inspections and tests and the associated clearance procedures.

17.1.14.2 Inspection, Test, and Operating Status

The methods used to indicate the status of inspections and tests and for the control of status indicators are described by written procedures. These procedures are prepared and implemented by SCE, or A-E's, and NSSS Suppliers organizations delegated the work of performing the inspections and tests. The SCE Quality Assurance Organization conducts periodic audits of participating organization's activities to assure proper implementation of these procedures.

Inspection data sheets are developed to describe the inspection plan and inspection status for each item during the inspection phase. A sign-off by a cognizant inspector is required upon satisfactory completion of each inspection step which provides the record of inspection or test results.

A system of marking with stamps or tags is used to identify inspection, test, and operating status of structures, systems, components throughout manufacturing and installation. This marking system identifies the inspection status and tests performed on individual items. Markings also indicate the status of nonconforming, inoperative, or malfunctioning structures, systems or components to prevent inadvertent use. The system for control of nonconforming items is described in Subsection 17.1.15.

Bypassing or waiving required inspections, tests, and other critical operations is controlled by written procedures that require justification, approval, and documentation of the action. The Project Quality Assurance Supervisor or his designee approves such waivers for those activities affecting items which are under the jurisdiction of SCE.

SCE Preoperational and Startup Test Manuals establish requirements for clearances and tagging of structures, systems, and components to prevent inadvertent use during Construction and Prerequisite Testing. These manuals are independently reviewed by qualified individuals, as designated by approved procedures.

SCE, A-E's and NSSS Suppliers, as delegated, define requirements for controlling the status of inspections and tests to suppliers in procurement specifications. Compliance with these requirements is verified by means of periodic audits conducted by their respective quality assurance organizations.

17.1.15 NONCONFORMING MATERIALS, PARTS, OR COMPONENTS

17.1.15.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to control materials, parts, or components that do not conform to established requirements.

17.1.15.2 Nonconforming Materials, Parts, or Components

The measures used to control nonconforming items are described by written procedures. These procedures are prepared and implemented by cognizant SCE organizations performing the work of controlling nonconformances. The SCE Quality Assurance Organization conducts periodic audits to assure proper implementation of the procedures and the effectiveness of the nonconformance controls.

Deviations pertaining to a characteristic of a material, component, system or structure, from those specified in the design documents are treated as nonconformances. Procedures for processing and controlling nonconforming items contain the following requirements:

- o Measures to identify the nonconforming item.
- o Measures to document the nonconforming item, including cause and corrective action.
- o Measures to segregate the nonconforming item.
- o Method to review and disposition the nonconforming item, including approval authority.
- o Method of notification to the affected organizations.
- o Method for evaluating deviations to determine if reportable under the requirements of 10CFR50.55(e).

2

Nonconformances are documented on a Nonconformance Report (NCR), which is initiated by responsible SCE personnel when a nonconforming item is discovered at a jobsite. NCR's contain the item's identification, description of the nonconformance, cause, dispositioning activities, inspection requirements, corrective action, approval signatures, and the organizations notified of the nonconformance. An SCE nonconformance log book is maintained by the "onsite" SCE Quality Assurance Organization. This log book indicates the status of each nonconforming item.

Nonconforming items are segregated from acceptable items, where possible, and maintained in a controlled area until properly dispositioned. Nonconforming items discovered after installation of the item are not used until final disposition of the nonconformance and associated disposition implementation. Stamps or tags are utilized to identify the nonconformance as described in Subsection 17.1.14. Nonconforming items may be dispositioned

17.1.15.2 (continued)

Accept-As-Is, repair, rework, or Reject.

An Engineering Review Process is utilized to provide approval of dispositions associated with nonconforming items discovered at the jobsite where SCE is responsible for the work or where SCE technical review of the nonconformance is required. An SCE Engineering Review Board (ERB) is established on each project for Accept-As-Is and repair dispositions and is comprised of the following members who must unanimously concur on the disposition:

- o QA/ C Supervisor, or his designee.
- o Project Engineer, or his designee.
- o Construction Superintendent, or his designee.
- o Supplier design representative, where supplier design is involved.

When unanimous agreement cannot be reached among ERB members, the disposition will be determined jointly by the Manager, Quality Assurance, and the Project Manager. The Vice President, Advanced Engineering, shall assign the disposition for a nonconformance when normal processes fail to reach a decision.

For Reject and rework dispositions, the approval of the following individuals is required:

- o QA/C Supervisor, or his designee.
- o Construction Superintendent, or his designee.

Depending on contract scope of work assignments, an A-E may be required to implement a similar Engineering Review Process and formulate an (ERB) to work in conjunction with the SCE (ERB). Interface procedures are developed by both participating organizations in this event.

When the nonconformance report disposition specifies a rework or repair, these actions are accomplished in accordance with approved procedures, drawings, and instructions. The cognizant SCE or A-E quality assurance engineer verifies that acceptance of the dispositioned nonconforming item is completed by reinspection according to methods initially used. When reinspection methods differ from those initially used, methods at least equal to the original inspection are employed. Items are designated as nonconforming, and are so identified, until repair or rework and the required inspections are satisfactorily performed.

17.1.15.2 (continued)

Rejected or scrapped items are promptly removed from the jobsite work areas. The status of these items is displayed by appropriate tags. The cognizant SCE or A-E quality assurance engineer verifies the proper use of these tags.

The SCE or A-E design organization responsible for the affected design document reviews and approves Accept-As-Is dispositions for design impact. For an approved disposition, the responsible design organization must issue a written justification for the deviation from the design document or revise the design document in accordance with applicable requirements.

NCR's and associated inspection records are forwarded to the EDM Center for retention purposes. Nonconformance data are periodically analyzed by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization to establish quality trends. The results of these analyses are reviewed by the Manager, Quality Assurance, for possible corrective action and referred to the Vice President, Advanced Engineering, when a potential serious condition is indicated. Deficiencies identified by 10CFR50(e) are reported to the NRC by the Manager, Quality Assurance.

| 3

A-E's and suppliers must provide nonconformance controls that are equivalent to the controls set forth herein. The four options to disposition nonconformances as described in this subsection are required to be identified, or a suitable alternative scheme used. Nonconformances dispositioned Accept-As-Is or repair by suppliers must be made part of the inspection records and forwarded to the responsible design organization for review and assessment.

| 1-421.11

Conditions adverse to quality discovered by SCE personnel at a jobsite, contractor's manufacturing facility or design office, supplier's manufacturing facility, or SCE internal organizations are processed on a Corrective Action Request (CAR) as described in Subsection 17.1.16. The CAR is also used for hardware problems discovered by SCE personnel offsite.

17.1.16 CORRECTIVE ACTION

17.1.16.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to assure that corrective action is promptly identified and implemented when conditions adverse to quality are determined to exist.

17.1.16.2 Corrective Action

As described in Subsection 17.1.15, nonconformances and corrective action associated with material, parts, or components are controlled at a jobsite by the nonconformance reporting system. Additionally, a system for initiating corrective action associated with conditions adverse to quality is controlled by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization by means of written procedures. This system implements a Corrective Action Request (CAR) form to document conditions adverse to quality discovered by SCE personnel at the SCE General Office or jobsite, at A-E's design offices, and at NSSS Suppliers and other suppliers' facilities. The CAR form is also used for hardware problems discovered at NSSS Supplier or other suppliers' facilities. Procurement specifications may specify the requirement for SCE approval of nonconforming hardware disposition if discovered by SCE at a supplier's facility.

The CAR form provides for item or system identification, description of the adverse condition, cause of the condition, corrective action to resolve problem, and the corrective action to prevent recurrence.

CAR's are promptly initiated with a request for corrective action directed to the responsible organization as a result of review, inspection, audit or surveillance activities.

The responsible organization to which CAR's are directed determines the cause of the adverse condition, the action taken to resolve the problem, and the action to be taken to prevent recurrence. They also provide dates for implementation of the corrective action. The CAR is returned to the responsible SCE Quality Assurance Organization Supervisor for review and concurrence. The responsible SCE Project Engineer or Project Management Engineer also reviews for acceptability if nonconforming hardware is involved. A revised CAR is issued if corrective action proposed is unacceptable. Followup reviews, inspections, audits, or surveillance are performed by SCE personnel to verify corrective action implementation.

Trending studies may be performed on CAR's data by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization as directed by the Vice President, Advanced Engineering, or the Manager, Quality Assurance. Results of trending studies are documented and retained on file in the EDM Center. The Vice President, Advanced Engineering,

17.1.16.2 (continued)

issues directives for corrective action resulting from trending studies, as necessary, and assures appropriate management involvement in correcting significant conditions adverse to quality.

A-E's, NSSF Suppliers, and other suppliers are required by contract or procurement specification to implement a corrective action system equivalent to that described herein for their scope of work, including appropriate management involvement in the review and assessment of significant conditions adverse to quality.

17.1.17 QUALITY ASSURANCE RECORDS

17.1.17.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to assure that required design documents and quality assurance records are properly stored, maintained, retained, and retrievable to provide objective evidence of activities affecting quality in compliance with Regulatory Guide 1.88 (reference Table 17.1-1).

17.1.17.2 Quality Assurance Records

Engineering Data Management (EDM) Centers are established at pre-determined locations for indexing storage, maintenance, and retention of quality assurance records. The EDM Centers are established and maintained by representatives of Administrative Services in accordance with the Quality Assurance Program. Administrative Services provides personnel, equipment and a document management system responsive to the needs of the project. The Quality Assurance Organization assures that the requirements for documentation imposed by SCE and regulatory agencies are identified in a project documentation list and implemented through written procedures.

Quality Assurance records to be stored and maintained in the EDM Center include, but are not limited to, the following:

- o Results of reviews, inspections, tests, audits, and material analyses;
- o Operating logs;
- o Records of monitoring of work performance;
- o Qualifications (certifications) of personnel, procedures, and equipment;
- o Specifications and drawings, including as-built drawings and stress reports or calculations;
- o Procurement Documents and purchasing records;
- o Calibration manuals, procedures, and reports;
- o Nonconformance and corrective action reports;
- o Inspection and test records which contain, as a minimum, the following:
 - a) A description of the type of observation;

17.1.17.12 (continued)

- b) Evidence of completion and verification of manufacturing, inspection, or test operations;
- c) Date and results of inspections or tests;
- d) Information related to conditions adverse to quality;
- e) Inspector or data recorder identification;
- f) Evidence as to the acceptability of results.

Access to quality assurance documents in the EDM Center is controlled by Administrative Services Department personnel and is monitored by quality assurance engineers. Access to and retrieval of life-time documents is based on a need to know and is controlled by written procedures.

| 3

Requirements and responsibilities for record transmittals, retention, and maintenance subsequent to completion of work are consistent with applicable codes, standards, and Procurement Documents.

The review, identification, indexing, categorizing and filing of design documents and quality assurance records in the EDM Center is accomplished in accordance with written procedures. These procedures include provision for ready identification and retrievability of stored documents.

| 3

Documents stored in the EDM Centers are protected against deterioration or destruction from fire, flooding, theft, and environmental conditions such as temperature and humidity.

| 3

17.1.13 AUDITS

17.1.18.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to verify compliance with, and overall effectiveness of the SCE Quality Assurance Program by means of a system of planned and periodic audits in compliance with the requirements and guidelines of ANSI N45.2.12 (reference Table 17.1-1).

17.1.18.2 Audits

The SCE Quality Assurance Program requires a comprehensive system of planned and periodic audits to verify the effectiveness of the program, and evaluates compliance with applicable 10CFR50, Appendix B, criteria. Quality assurance audits are planned and performed in accordance with written procedures by Quality Assurance Engineers trained in audit techniques, or other qualified engineers or inspectors as designated by the Manager, Quality Assurance. Individuals performing audits are independent of the areas to be audited.

Quality assurance audits provide an objective evaluation of quality-related practices, procedures, and instructions, and the effectiveness of implementation of policy directives. Audits include the evaluation of work areas, activities, processes, items, and the review of documents and records.

The Project Quality Assurance Supervisor is responsible for assuring that sufficient audits are performed in those areas where the requirements of 10CFR50, Appendix B, are being implemented. These areas include, as a minimum, those activities associated with:

- o The determination of site features which affect plant safety, such as core sampling and foundation preparation, and meteorology.
- o The preparation, review, approval, and control of early procurement.
- o Indoctrination and training programs.
- o Interface control among the applicant and the A-E and NSSS Supplier.

The following types of audits are performed to assure that quality assurance procedures and activities are meaningful and comply with SCE Quality Assurance Program requirements:

- o Internal audits conducted by SCE, A-E's and NSSS Suppliers' quality assurance organizations.

17.1.18.2 (continued)

- o External audits by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization on A-E's, NSSS Suppliers, and other suppliers.
- o External audits by the A-E's and NSSS Supplier's quality assurance organizations on suppliers. The SCE Quality Assurance Organization may participate in these audits, on a selective basis, as an observer or active participant.

Each supplier's quality assurance program acceptability is determined initially prior to work commencement. This determination is made by means of -

- audit performed by the licensee/principal contractor,
or
- evaluation of documented results of audits performed by sustaining members of CASE (Coordinating Agency for Supplier Evaluation)

If acceptable, the supplier is placed on the approved supplier list.

Audits are scheduled based upon the status of work progress, importance to safety of the activities being performed, and prior experience with the organizations being audited. Audits are initiated early to assure effective quality assurance during design, procurement, and contracting activities. Audit schedules are prepared compatible with the progress of work. These schedules provide for coverage of applicable 10CFR50, Appendix B criteria implementation.

A formal evaluation of suppliers performing continuing work is performed each year. This evaluation determines for which suppliers a reaudit is required during the upcoming year. This evaluation considers pertinent factors such as the results of other audits, history of performance of product and/or purchased service and effectiveness of implementation of the supplier's quality assurance program. In addition, the complexity of the component concerned and the degree of quality and process control required during manufacturing are considered.

This evaluation is documented and approved by the Manager, Quality Assurance and placed in supplier quality history files.

Regardless of the results of the evaluation, the suppliers performing continuing work are subjected to an initial audit and are reaudited every three years. Audits of

2-421.15

2-421.15

17.1.18.2 (continued)

suppliers performing limited duration assignments are conducted at least once during the life of the contract.

The audit requirement shall not apply to standard off-the-shelf items and bulk commodities where required quality can adequately be determined by receipt inspection or post-installation checkout or test.

Results of audits conducted by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization are reviewed with the organization being audited during exit interviews and are documented in the formal audit reports. Responsible management in the areas audited implement the necessary actions required to correct deficiencies. These actions are documented and retained as part of the total audit record. Reaudits are conducted to verify proper implementation of corrective action or until corrective action is implemented.

Audit data are summarized and analyzed by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization and reported to the Manager, Quality Assurance, and the Vice President, Advanced Engineering, on a regular basis. These reports indicate quality trends and the effectiveness of the SCE Quality Assurance Program. The Vice President, Advanced Engineering, issues directives for corrective action resulting from these reports, as necessary, and assures appropriate management involvement in correcting significant conditions adverse to quality.

TABLE 17.1-1

SCE QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM COMPLIANCE
TO GUIDES, REQUIREMENTS, AND STANDARDS

DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

NOTE: Commitments made herein regarding compliance with specific issues of NRC Regulatory Guides and ANSI Standards may be modified in applicable PSAR's.

1

<u>Guide, Requirement, or Standard</u>	<u>Compliance Status</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
10CFR50, Appendix B - Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants and Fuel Reprocessing Plants	Complies	
Regulatory Guide 1.28 - Quality Assurance Program Requirements (Design and Construction) (Safety Guide 28, 6/7/72)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N45.2
Regulatory Guide 1.30 - Quality Assurance Requirements for the Installation, Inspection, and Testing of Instrumentation and Electrical Equipment (Safety Guide 30, 8/11/72)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N45.2.4
Regulatory Guide 1.37 - Quality Assurance Requirements for Cleaning of Fluid Systems and Associated Components of Water-Cooled Nuclear Power Plants (3/16/76)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N45.2.1
Regulatory Guide 1.38 - Quality Assurance Requirements for Packaging, Shipping, Receiving, Storage, and Handling of Items for Water-Cooled Nuclear Power Plants (Revision 1, 10/76)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N45.2.2

1-421.13

Table 17.1-1 (continued)

<u>Guide, Requirement, or Standard</u>	<u>Compliance Status</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
Regulatory Guide 1.39 - Housekeeping Requirements for Water-Cooled Nuclear Power Plants (Revision 1, 10/76)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N45.2.3 1-421.13
Regulatory Guide 1.54 - Quality Assurance Requirements for Protective Coatings Applied to Water-Cooled Nuclear Power Plants (6/73)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N101.4
Regulatory Guide 1.58 - Qualification of Nuclear Power Plant Inspection, Examination, and Testing Personnel (8/73)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N45.2.6
Regulatory Guide 1.64 - Quality Assurance Requirements for the Design of Nuclear Power Plants (Revision 2, 6/76)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N45.2.11 1-421.13
Regulatory Guide 1.70 - Standard Format and Content of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants (Revision 2, 9/75)	Complies	
Regulatory Guide 1.74 - Quality Assurance Terms and Definitions (2/74)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N45.2.10
Regulatory Guide 1.88 - Collection, Storage, and Maintenance of Nuclear Power Plant Records (Revision 2, 10/76)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N45.2.9. 1-421.13

Table 17.1-1 (continued)

<u>Guide, Requirement, or Standard</u>	<u>Compliance Status</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
Regulatory Guide 1.94 - Quality Assurance Requirement for Installation, Inspection, and Testing of Structural Concrete and Structural Steel During the Construction Phase of Nuclear Power Plants (Revision 1, 4/76)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N45.2.5 1-421.13
Regulatory Guide 1.116 - Quality Assurance Requirements for Installation, Inspection, and Testing of Mechanical Equipment and Systems (6/76)	Complies	
Regulatory Guide 1.123 - Quality Assurance Requirements for Control of Procurement of Items and Services for Nuclear Power Plants (10/76)	Complies	
ANSI N45.2.12 (Draft 3, Revision 4, February 1974) - Requirements for Auditing of Quality Assurance Programs for Nuclear Power Plants	Complies	1-421.13

TABLE 17.1-2

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM IMPLEMENTING PROCEDURES

Implementing Procedure Documents	10CFR50 Appendix B Criteria																		Summary
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
<u>Quality Assurance Organization</u>																			
Quality Assurance Manual (applicable project)	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	Quality Assurance manuals describe the SCE Quality Assurance Program policies for all 10CFR50 Appendix B, criteria and provide appropriate general implementation procedures. The Reference Procedures Manual provides specific implementation procedures required by the Quality Assurance Organization to implement 10CFR50, Appendix B, criteria.
Quality Assurance Reference Procedures Manual	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		
<u>Engineering & Construction Department</u>																			
Quality Assurance Reference Procedures Manual (These procedures are also used by Nuclear Engineering and Operations Department.)	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	Emphasis of Engineering & Construction Department procedures is on preparation and control of drawings, specifications, and procedures, and procurement activities.
<u>Procurement Division</u>																			
Quality Assurance Reference Procedures Manual	x		x	x	x	x						x							Emphasis of Procurement Division Procedures is on control of purchase orders, contracts and vendor proposals and documentation.
<u>Fuel Supply Department</u>																			
Quality Assurance Reference Procedures Manual	x		x	x															Emphasis of Fuel Supply Department procedures is on preparation and control of procurement specifications and contracts for nuclear fuel and spent fuel shipping services.
<u>Power Supply Department</u>																			
Station Orders					x														Station orders establish responsibilities for preparation and procedure for review and approval of station operating procedures.
<u>Administrative Services Department</u>																			
Quality Assurance Reference Procedures Manual	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	Emphasis of Corporate Documentation Services procedures is on processing, control, retention and retrieval of documents.

17.1-49

Amendment 3

13

3

3

1

TABLE 17.1-3

QUALITY ASSURANCE ENGINEERS' ACTIVITIES
DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

The following are "offsite" SCE Quality Assurance Engineers' activities during the design and construction of nuclear generating stations:

1. Review design and procurement documents to verify incorporation of appropriate quality assurance requirements.
2. Develop quality assurance chapters for PSAR's and PSAR's and prepare quality assurance Topical Reports.
3. Prepare and maintain quality assurance manuals, procedures and instructions.
4. Review and approve quality assurance procedures and instructions developed by other internal organizations.
5. Evaluate and approve potential bidder's quality assurance manuals.
6. Perform quality assurance preaward surveys of bidders' facilities and quality assurance programs.
7. Perform inspection surveillance at suppliers' facilities.
8. Conduct internal audits of SCE organizations and external audits of A-E's, NSSS Suppliers and other contractors and suppliers to SCE. Participate on a selective basis in supplier audits performed by A-E's and NSSS Suppliers.
9. Initiate nonconformance reports, corrective action reports, and followup to assure proper implementation of corrective action.
10. Participate in and provide quality assurance training.
11. Provide written reports to management regarding status of "offsite" activities, corrective actions required, or unresolved problems.
12. Support the Engineering Data Management Centers to assure proper filing and retention of appropriate quality assurance documentation.

Table 17.1-3 (continued)

The following are "onsite" SCE Quality Assurance Engineers' activities during the design and construction of nuclear generating stations:

1. Write and maintain jobsite quality assurance procedures and instructions.
2. Review and approve jobsite quality assurance procedures and instructions developed by other organizations.
3. Perform inspection surveillance over all Safety-Related jobsite activities.
4. Conduct internal audits of SCE organizations and external audits of A-E's and other contractors' activities at the jobsite.
5. Initiate jobsite nonconformance reports, corrective action reports, and followup to assure implementation of corrective action.
6. Participate in and provide quality assurance training at the jobsite.
7. Provide written reports to management regarding status of "onsite" activities, corrective action required, and unresolved problems.
8. Support the Engineering Document Management Centers and Documentation Control Centers to assure proper filing and retention of quality assurance documentation.

17.2 QUALITY ASSURANCE DURING THE OPERATIONS PHASE

17.2.1 ORGANIZATION

17.2.1.1 Scope

This subsection describes the SCE organizational structure and responsibilities for establishing and executing the Quality Assurance Program for SCE operational nuclear generating stations, in compliance with Regulatory Guides 1.28 and 1.33 (reference Table 17.2-1). It includes a description of the interfaces with other organizations who may be delegated the work of establishing and executing portions of the Quality Assurance Program. The methods used for maintaining responsibility for delegated portions of the Quality Assurance Program are identified as well as the management measures that provide the independence of the SCE Quality Assurance Organization.

17.2.1.2 General Responsibilities

During the operational phase, the following departments within SCE are involved in Safety-Related activities requiring quality assurance:

<u>Departments</u>	<u>Responsibilities</u>	
Nuclear Engineering & Operations	Licensing and Nuclear Engineering, Engineering Construction Project (ECP) Management, Station Operation, Maintenance, Refueling, Testing, In-Service Inspection and Station Safety	3
Material Services	Procurement, Shipping and Handling (excluding Nuclear Fuel)	
Engineering & Construction	Design and Construction Management, Preoperational and Startup Test Program Management	3
Fuel Supply	Procurement of Nuclear Fuel	
Administrative Services	Records Management	3
Advanced Engineering	Quality Assurance, Collection of Meteorological Data, Reporting of Defects and Noncompliances	2
Power Supply	Maintenance and Technical Support	3

The SCE organizational structure of departments involved with implementing the SCE Quality Assurance Program during the operational phase as well as departmental interfaces is presented on Figure 17.2.1.

In addition to the departmental responsibilities listed, Technical Specifications for operating nuclear generating stations

17.2.1.2 (continued)

describe the Safety-Related functions of the On-Site Review Committee (OSRC), Nuclear Audit and Review Committee (NARC), and the Nuclear Control Board (NCB). A summary of these responsibilities is as follows:

Committee/Board	Responsibility	
OSRC	Advise the Plant Manager on all matters related to safety.	2
NARC	Provide independent review and audit of designated activities in the area of safety.	
NCB	Provide for the corporate level control of the safety of operating nuclear generating stations.	

The organizational structure, administrative requirements, responsibilities and authorities specific to each committee/board is described in the Technical Specification for the applicable station and in internal documentation forming the committee/board charter.

The ultimate responsibility for operating, maintaining, repairing, inspecting, testing, refueling, and modifying operational nuclear generating stations rests with the President. He assigns responsibilities to the various SCE organizations involved in nuclear generating station operations. | 2

The Senior Vice President reports to the President and is responsible for Nuclear Engineering and Operations, Engineering and Construction, Power Supply and Advanced Engineering. | 3

The Vice President, Advanced Engineering, reporting to the Senior Vice President, has been delegated the responsibility for establishing and executing the SCE Quality Assurance Program in compliance with 10CFR50, Appendix B, and other applicable regulations and standards. He is authorized to request the cooperation of all officers and management personnel in support of this program. | 3

SCE corporate management is involved with quality assurance matters on a continuing basis by means of regular Officer Council meetings. Quality Assurance Organization weekly progress reports are prepared for the Vice President, Advanced Engineering, and are used, as appropriate, for discussion items at these meetings. These reports usually contain significant progress items, corrective action recommendations, and unresolved items. In addition, a quarterly report of information suitable for assessment of the status and adequacy of the SCE Quality Assurance Program is submitted to senior management by the Manager, Quality Assurance.

17.2.1.2 (continued)

The Nuclear Control Board (NCB), comprised of corporate officers, is an additional means by which SCE corporate management is involved with quality assurance matters. As a member of the NCB, the Vice President, Advanced Engineering, apprises this board of significant quality assurance matters related to station operations and modifications.

17.2.1.3 Nuclear Engineering and Operations Department

The Nuclear Engineering and Operations Department, under the Vice President, Nuclear Engineering and Operations, is responsible for Nuclear Engineering, licensing, operation of nuclear powered generation facilities, and ECP project management.

The Plant Manager is responsible for the safe and reliable operation, maintenance, testing, refueling, and In-service Inspection of his assigned station.

The Plant Manager is responsible for the routine administration and implementation of the Quality Assurance Program at the station, including the following, where appropriate:

- o Review and approval of Design Disclosure Documents for station repairs and modifications.
- o Review and approval of Procurement Documents.
- o Receiving inspection.
- o Review and approval of administrative and technical procedures recommended by the NRC.
- o Inspection of repairs and modifications.
- o Conducting performance tests and evaluations.
- o Compilation and distribution of quality documentation.
- o Review of station operations and surveillance requirements of the Technical Specifications.
- o Training and examination of station operators.
- o Security

A Project Manager is assigned to manage design and initial construction including Preoperational and Startup test Program management and for ECP implementation. Project Managers have overall responsibility for their assigned projects, which includes technical, schedule, economic and quality aspects.

The Nuclear Engineering and Licensing Organization provides nuclear engineering, radiological health and nuclear licensing support for new and existing nuclear powered generation facilities.

17.2.1.4 Power Supply Department

The Power Supply Department, under the direction of the Vice President, Power Supply, is responsible for providing maintenance services and technical assistance in the areas of mechanical and thermal-hydraulic engineering, refueling operations and radiation protection.

The Division Engineering Staff, under the Chief Steam Generation Engineer, provides assistance in mechanical and thermal-hydraulic engineering and refueling operations.

The Division Chemical Staff, under the Chief Steam Generation Engineer, assists generating stations with radiation protection and water chemistry control.

The Division Maintenance Organization, under the Manager, Generation Maintenance and Planning, provides supervision and manpower capability for major overhauls and equipment repair.

17.2.1.5 Fuel Supply Department

The Fuel Supply Department, under the direction of the Vice President, Fuel Supply, is responsible for procurement of Nuclear Fuel and of spent fuel shipping services for nuclear generating stations.

17.2.1.6 Engineering and Construction Department

The Engineering and Construction Department under the direction of the Vice President, Engineering and Construction, is responsible for engineering and initial plant construction including Preoperational and Startup testing as well as engineering and construction associated with ECP development. Engineering responsibilities include design and drafting services, and supporting the projects in the various technical disciplines. Construction responsibilities include technical and administrative direction over project construction personnel and construction management, and Preoperational and Startup testing.

17.2.1.7 Administration

The Vice President in charge of Administration is responsible for procurement and record management.

The Manager of the Administrative Services Department reports directly to the Vice President, Administration and is responsible for the corporate records management program. Corporate Document Services operates the the Engineering Data Management (EDM) Center which is responsible for processing, controlling, retrieving and distributing nuclear project documentation.

The Manager of Material Services reports directly to the Vice President, Administration and is responsible for procurement of items and services (excluding nuclear fuel), material expediting, traffic services and for preparation, negotiations, and administration of procurement contracts.

17.2.1.7 Advanced Engineering Department

| 2

The Advanced Engineering Department, under the direction of the Vice President, Advanced Engineering, is responsible for quality assurance, reliability, collection of meteorological data and the reporting of defects and noncompliance of nuclear facilities, as well as research and development. Since these responsibilities do not include operations or modifications of nuclear generating stations, the Quality Assurance Organization is independent of the influences and responsibilities for performance, schedules, and costs.

| 2

The Director of Research and Development reports directly to the Vice President, Advanced Engineering, and has the responsibility for the collection of meteorological data during the start-up and operational phases.

| 2

The Vice President, Advanced Engineering, is responsible for establishing and executing the SCE Quality Assurance Program in compliance with applicable regulations, codes, and standards, including those listed in Table 17.2-1. He is responsible for establishing quality assurance policies, goals and objectives and for assuring that these policies are followed and the goals and objectives are achieved.

The Vice President, Advanced Engineering, is responsible for apprising the Senior Vice President of the effectiveness of the Quality Assurance Program. He is involved in the disposition of nonconformances of unusual complexity, and acts upon trending studies that indicate quality problems of a possible generic nature submitted to him by the Manager, Quality Assurance.

| 3

The Vice President, Advanced Engineering, through the Manager, Quality Assurance, exercises the Administrative Authority for the Quality Assurance Organization. Direction for implementing the Quality Assurance Program is provided to individuals and groups by the Vice President, Advanced Engineering, through the Manager, Quality Assurance.

The Manager, Quality Assurance, reports directly to the Vice President, Advanced Engineering, and has the responsibility for development, maintenance, and surveillance of the Quality Assurance Program as described in Quality Assurance manuals. These manuals are reviewed and approved by the Manager, Quality Assurance, and the Vice President, Advanced Engineering. Other organizations involved with Quality Assurance Program implementation, as described in Subsection 17.2.1, review and comment on the Quality Assurance manuals, particularly as they apply to their area of involvement. The Manager, Quality Assurance, is responsible for identifying any conditions adverse to quality and reporting them to the Vice President, Advanced Engineering, and to the Nuclear Audit and Review Committee (NARC) of which he

is a member. In addition, the Manager, Quality Assurance, is responsible for surveillance of Quality-Affecting Activities and has the authority to Stop Work, or delegate this authority, in writing, to other personnel.

The minimum qualification requirements for the position of Manager, Quality Assurance, are as follows:

- o Bachelor of Science Degree in one of the engineering disciplines from an accredited college or university.
- o Ten years experience in design, fabrication, construction, testing, operation, or quality assurance related to the nuclear power field.
- o Management and administrative ability demonstrated by experience and training.
- o Extensive knowledge of regulatory requirements for nuclear generating stations.

The Quality Assurance Organization, as presented on Figure 17.2-1, reports directly to the Manager, Quality Assurance, and develops and administers the Quality Assurance Program for the operational phase of nuclear generating stations. It is comprised of engineers with expertise in the various disciplines required for performing quality assurance activities. This organization audits, inspects, or otherwise verifies that activities within the scope of the SCE Quality Assurance Program are correctly performed either by SCE or other organizations delegated the work.

The Quality Assurance Organization has the authority and organizational freedom to:

- o Identify quality problems.
- o Initiate, recommend, or provide solutions through designated channels.
- o Verify implementation of solutions.

Additional activities performed by "offsite" and "onsite" based quality assurance engineers during the operations phase are listed on Table 17.2-3.

A Project Quality Assurance Supervisor assigned to each operating nuclear generating station reports to the Manager, Quality

17.2.1.7 (continued)

Assurance. He is responsible for directing and managing the activities of the "offsite" based quality assurance personnel and resident quality assurance engineers assigned to the operating station performing the activities described on Table 17-2-3. The Project Quality Assurance Supervisor devotes full time to operating station Quality Assurance/Quality Control activities and has responsibility and authority, delineated in writing, to stop unsatisfactory work and to control further processing, delivery, and installation of nonconforming items.

When workload demands make it necessary, more than one Project QA Supervisor may be assigned work in support of the same nuclear generating station.

| 2
| 3

17.2.1.8 Delegated Quality Assurance Work

SCE retains responsibility for the Quality Assurance Program described herein but may delegate quality assurance work to other organizations. Other organization which may be delegated the work of establishing and executing portions of the Quality Assurance Program during the operational phase are as follows:

- o Architect-Engineer (A-E's).
- o Nuclear Steam Supply System (NSSS) Supplier.
- o Other contractors including consultants to SCE.

The quality assurance programs of these organizations and the scope of delegated work is as described in the applicable contract for the work performed. A typical interface organizational relationship between SCE and other organizations delegated quality assurance work is as shown on Figure 17.2-2.

17.2.1.9 Interfaces for Delegated Quality Assurance Work

| 2

The SCE Manager, Quality Assurance, is responsible to communicate SCE quality assurance requirements directly with quality assurance managers of other organizations delegated the work of establishing and executing portions of the Quality Assurance Program. Compliance with SCE quality requirements and regulatory requirements

is verified by means of review and approval of these organizations' quality assurance programs as described in Subsection 17.2.2 and by means of audits as described in Subsection 17.2.18.

Management of other organizations delegated quality assurance work is required to implement a reporting system concerning the delegated quality assurance work they are performing and to regularly review the status and effectiveness of that part of the program they are executing. Further, management of these organizations is required to submit to SCE management reports concerning correction of quality problems identified during SCE surveillance of delegated work.

DOCUMENT/ PAGE PULLED

ANO. 8008140342-01

NO. OF PAGES 1

REASON:

PAGE ILLEGIBLE:

HARD COPY FILED AT: PDR CF

OTHER _____

BETTER COPY REQUESTED ON 1/1/1


PAGE TOO LARGE TO FILM.

HARD COPY FILED AT: PDR CF

OTHER _____

FILMED ON APERTURE CARD NO. 8008140342-01

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY



3
3

- LEGEND**
- TECHNICAL/ADMINISTRATIVE AUTHORITY
 - - - PROJECT DIRECTION
 - Q.A. FUNCTIONAL DIRECTION

SCE QUALITY PROGRAM
 TYPICAL INTERFACE ORGANIZATION
 PREOPERATIONAL AND INITIAL
 STARTUP TESTING
 FIGURE 17.2-2

17.2.2 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM

17.2.2.1 Scope

This subsection describes the SCE Quality Assurance Program established and implemented for the operations phase of nuclear generating stations, including Preoperational and Initial Startup Testing, operation, maintenance, refueling, Inservice Inspection, and modification projects in compliance with Regulatory Guide 1.8 and 1.28 (reference Table 17.2-1).

17.2.2.2 Quality Assurance Program

The basic policies, goals, and objectives for quality assurance are that SCE personnel have full responsibility to assure that nuclear generating stations are designed, constructed, tested, and operated in a manner to protect the health and safety of the public. In this regard, SCE has committed its Quality Assurance Program for the operational phase to be in compliance with the provisions of 10CFR50, Appendix B, and the regulatory guides and standards listed on Table 17.2-1.

The SCE Quality Assurance Program described herein is applied to all activities affecting the Safety-Related functions of those structures, systems, and components which prevent or mitigate the consequences of postulated accidents that could cause undue risk to the health and safety of the public. A listing of items designated Safety-Related is included in the FSAR for the applicable nuclear generating station. The list for each station is maintained and revised in accordance with written procedures as necessary to reflect changes resulting from the finalization or modification of station design.

In addition, expendable or consumable items necessary for the functional performance of Safety-Related structures, systems and components are subjected to quality assurance requirements as specified in written procedures. These procedures include provisions for review and control in accordance with industry standards and specifications and the Safety-Related function of the expendable or consumable items.

This program includes Safety-Related activities associated with Preoperational and Initial Startup Testing and continues throughout the life of the nuclear generating station. The program is periodically reviewed by the Quality Assurance Organization during the operational phase. Revisions are made in a controlled fashion, as necessary, to reflect changes in the program which may be required to improve its efficiency or increase its effectiveness.

1-421.3

17.2.2.2 (continued)

The Vice President, Advanced Engineering, is responsible for establishing SCE quality assurance policies, goals, and objectives. These policies, goals, and objectives are defined in corporate jurisdiction statements, organization plans, and quality assurance manuals and procedures. These documents transmit the SCE quality assurance philosophy and requirements to all levels of management, groups, and individuals involved with program implementation. Training programs, personnel certifications, meetings, review of working documents, programs and manuals, and management directives, are some of the methods utilized to assure that these policies, goals, and objectives are properly understood and complied with.

The Manager, Quality Assurance, is responsible for establishing and maintaining quality assurance manuals in compliance with applicable regulations, codes, and standards as listed on Table 17.2-1, and topical report or FSAR commitments. These manuals are developed by the Quality Assurance Organization from established quality assurance policies, goals, and objectives, which are mandatory requirements. Controlled distribution of quality assurance manuals is maintained by the Quality Assurance Organization.

Disputes arising between departments or organizations on any quality assurance matter that cannot be resolved will be referred to the Vice President, Advanced Engineering, or, if necessary, to the Senior Vice President, for resolution.

Written and approved procedures, instructions, and revisions thereto, necessary to activate the Quality Assurance Program, originate from departments and organizations within SCE that have jurisdictional responsibility for performing specific tasks. All procedures that support the SCE Quality Assurance Program are reviewed and approved by responsible supervision and management of the originating organization. Station procedures are reviewed by the Quality Assurance Organization and reviewed and approved by the cognizant Station Supervisor, Plant Manager, and the On-Site Review Committee (OSRC). Promulgation and control of the procedures and instructions developed pursuant to the Quality Assurance Program are maintained by the originating organization.

All procedures, except station procedures, are included in Quality Assurance Reference Procedure Manuals maintained by the respective organizations. Station procedures are controlled by the Plant Manager and maintained in EDM. Table 17.2-2 presents a list of these manuals and a summary of their content. By means of inspections and audits, Quality Assurance Organization personnel verify that these procedures are followed.

17.2.2.2 (continued)

Indoctrination and training programs are established within SCE by those organizations performing Quality-Affecting Activities. These programs are implemented by appropriate training plans and procedures which describe the scope and objectives. The Quality Assurance Organization provides assistance in the development and implementation of these programs, as requested, and performs periodic audits to assure effective implementation.

The indoctrination and training programs are established to assure that personnel responsible for performing Quality-Affecting Activities are:

- o Instructed as to the purpose, scope, and method of implementation of the quality assurance manuals, procedures and instructions.
- o Trained and qualified in the principles and techniques of the activity being performed.
- o Retrained, reexamined, and/or recertified, as necessary, to maintain proficiency.

The indoctrination and training programs include, as appropriate, the following types of training for both "offsite" and "onsite" based personnel:

- o Audit techniques.
- o Nondestructive testing.
- o Specialized technical subjects.
- o NRC regulations and guides, and codes and standards.
- o Intra- and interdepartmental presentations regarding quality assurance activities and requirements.
- o Presentations on the proper use of procedures and instructions affecting quality assurance activities.

A record of each training session is prepared and maintained which identifies the content, attendees, and the date training was conducted.

During the design and construction phase, project review meetings are held regularly to assess the design and construction status

17.2.2.2 (continued)

and provide an interface between the responsible SCE departments and organizations. Schedules are maintained throughout this phase, and as the design and construction progresses, plans are made by the Nuclear Engineering and Operations and Engineering and Construction Departments for Preoperational Testing and Initial Startup Testing. These plans are reviewed by the Quality Assurance Organization to assure that the test program is developed and controlled in accordance with the SCE Quality Assurance Program. The Nuclear Engineering and Operations and Engineering and Construction Departments as well as representatives of the A-E and NSSS Supplier, participate in the planning and scheduling for transfer of the nuclear generating station from the design and construction phase to the operations phase. Prior to actual turnover, written procedures are developed by these organizations for the control of the transfer of all portions of the nuclear generating station, including associated documentation. The SCE Quality Assurance Organization verifies that these procedures are developed and followed by means of inspection surveillance and audits to assure that Quality-Affecting Activities are performed with specified equipment under suitable environmental conditions, and that required prerequisites have been satisfied prior to performing these activities.

| 3

| 3

| 3

The SCE Quality Assurance Program for the operations phase of nuclear generating stations is described in detail in subsequent subsections of this topical report. The descriptions follow the criteria presented in 10CFR50, Appendix B.

The Vice President Advanced Engineering, through use of independent consultants, periodically assesses the scope, implementation, and effectiveness of the program to assure that it is meaningful and effectively complies with 10CFR50, Appendix B, criteria. Such an assessment is made within one year following issuance of the NRC operating license, and at intervals not exceeding three years, utilizing qualified individuals and firms. They result in written reports submitted to the Vice President, Advanced Engineering, which are reviewed by the Nuclear Control Board. These reports may be referred to the Nuclear Audit and Review Committee, or to appropriate departments, for response to any findings or recommendations. For shorter projects, involving plant modifications, such an assessment may or may not be made at the discre-

| 1-421.2

17.2.2.2 (continued)

tion of the Vice President, Advanced Engineering

| 1-421.2

17.2.2.2.1 Engineering Construction Projects (ECP's)

Quality-related work delegated to other organizations (A-E or contractors to SCE) for ECP's must comply with applicable provisions of 10CFR50, Appendix B. Additionally, these programs must comply with the Regulatory Guides and ANSI standards listed in Table 17.2-1 or acceptable alternatives must be described. These quality assurance programs must be reviewed and approved by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization. Regular planned audits of these quality assurance programs are conducted by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization as well as inspection surveillance of work performed at the station to assure continued compliance with applicable regulatory requirements.

17.2.3 DESIGN CONTROL

17.2.3.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to plan and control design activities associated with initial startup programs, changes or modifications to station systems, and Engineering Construction Project (ECP) modifications in compliance with Regulatory Guide 1.64 (reference Table 17.2-1).

17.2.3.2 Design Control

Design activities associated with nuclear generating station changes or modifications may be performed by SCE, and A-E or other qualified contractors. The decision to perform the design activity in-house or contract out is based on the scope and complexity of the change or modification, available engineering manpower, and other considerations.

The SCE Quality Assurance Program includes procedures for establishing and maintaining design control throughout the operational phase of nuclear generating stations. Internal and external design interface control procedures are established which include the review, approval, release, distribution, and revision of documents involving design interfaces with participating design organizations.

Design work associated with system changes or modifications at nuclear generating stations is planned and performed by the Nuclear Engineering and Operations Group with support from other SCE organizations or contracted organizations, with the Nuclear Engineering Group as interface coordinator. In either case, changes or modifications to Safety-Related plant systems or equipment require the favorable recommendation of the On-Site Review Committee and written approval of the Plant Manager. If the On-Site Review Committee determines and the Nuclear Audit and Review Committee concurs that the change or modification involves an Unreviewed Safety Question, approval of the NRC is solicited. The composition of these committees and their responsibility and authority is as described in the Technical Specifications for the particular nuclear generating station.

Documentation to be reviewed by these committees includes the necessary specifications, drawings, procedures, and instructions to permit a comprehensive review. Deviations from original design standards are reviewed to ensure that the designated quality requirements remain in the design. These reviews are required to provide justification for any differences that may exist between the FSAR, Technical Specifications, and the proposed change or modifications.

3

2

17.2.3.2 (continued)

Review and approval of SCE-prepared design documents, are performed, per established quality assurance procedures, by individuals other than the original designer and the designer's immediate supervisor. These procedures describe the positions responsible for design reviews and other design verification activities and identify their authority and responsibilities.

2

Documentation reflecting a design change is required to be reviewed and approved by the same groups cognizant in the discipline affected by the change which reviewed and approved the original documentation.

2

Internal procedures describe design review interfaces and review and documentation requirements to assure that the design documentation complies with licensing commitments and contains the following, where applicable:

- o Sufficient identification of regulatory requirements and the documents' agreement with these requirements.
- o Sufficient identification of the item's functions and incorporation of design bases.
- o Adequate delineation of values controlling the item's critical design parameters.
- o Sufficient identification of quality standards, test and inspection criteria.
- o Specification of appropriate acceptance criteria for tests and inspections.
- o Inspectability of item's critical design parameters.
- o Suitability for service, including technical evaluation for standard commercial (off the shelf) or previously approved items.
- o Inclusion of performance characteristics.
- o Material compatibility.
- o Accessibility to maintain, repair or inspect the pertinent item while in service.
- o Design interfaces have been adequately established and supporting calculations have been checked.

Design controls are applied by SCE, A-E's or contractors, as applicable, to such disciplines as reactor physics, seismic,

17.2.3.2 (continued)

stress, thermal, hydraulic, radiation, and accident analyses. Consultants may be utilized to review and verify the design of certain items.

Where design verification cannot be adequately accomplished by the design review process, alternate calculations or qualification testing is employed. These alternate methods of design verification are required to be defined in design documentation.

Records of design reviews, and associated design documents are required by internal written procedures to be maintained in the EDM Center. | 3

The SCE Quality Assurance Organization is responsible for performing periodic audits, as described in Subsection 17.2.18, of SCE departments, A-E's, and other contractors to verify effective implementation of design control requirements. These audits include verification that appropriate design review records are maintained and deviations from quality standards are controlled.

17.2.3.2.1 Engineering Construction Projects (ECP's)

Design work associated with ECP's at nuclear generating stations is performed by the Nuclear Engineering and Operations and Engineering and Construction Departments or contracted. In either case, a Project Manager is assigned overall responsibility for management and control of the ECP's, including design interfaces. The Quality Assurance Organization performs the pertinent quality assurance activities in both cases. | 3

Project Group Leaders are responsible for assuring that ECP design documentation, and changes thereto, are distributed by EDM Center to responsible individuals with established review request forms in a timely manner. They are also responsible for assuring that errors and deficiencies noted in the review comments are corrected or otherwise resolved. | 3

Safety-Related design documentation and changes thereto prepared by an A-E or contractor for ECP's are controlled in accordance with their SCE-approved quality assurance programs. In addition, selected documents are required by written procedures to be submitted to SCE for design review and approval. This review is performed by cognizant Nuclear Engineering and Operations and Engineering and Construction Departments and Quality Assurance engineers in accordance with internal written procedures similar to those for SCE-prepared documentation. | 3

Cognizant station personnel and the On-Site Review Committee are included in the review and approval of ECP design changes when the planned modification interfaces plant systems. | 3

17.2.4 PROCUREMENT DOCUMENT CONTROL

17.2.4.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to control documentation associated with procurement of items and services in compliance with the requirements and guidelines of ANSI N45.2.13 (reference Table 17.2-1).

17.2.4.2 Procurement Document Control

Procurement Documents defining the technical requirements for system change or modifications at nuclear generating stations may be prepared by Station personnel or responsible engineers within other cognizant SCE engineering organizations. The Station Clerical Supervisor is responsible for coordination of the processing of these procurement documents in accordance with approved written procedures when prepared by the station. The Procurement Division of the SCE Material Services Department is responsible for contract negotiations and the issuance of purchase orders, except for Nuclear Fuel. Procurement Documents for Nuclear Fuel and spent fuel shipping services are prepared and controlled by the SCE Fuel Supply Department. The Quality Assurance Organization reviews Procurement Documents to assure they contain appropriate quality assurance requirements.

For procurement of items and services by SCE, a multilevel procurement system is established. This system controls the following areas:

- a) Extent of procurement document requirements necessary for procurement of items and services.
- b) Level of review and approval of procurement document by cognizant engineering and quality assurance personnel.
- c) Degree of qualification of the supplier from a quality assurance aspect.
- d) Method of product acceptance.

The procurement system assures that the appropriate technical and quality requirements are specified for procurement of items and services considering the safety related function, complexity of design and manufacturing, degree of inspectability and testability upon receipt and other factors which affect product quality.

Written procedures are established which define the sequential activities necessary for preparation, review, approval, and control of Procurement Documents, both offsite and onsite. These procedures identify the responsible organizations and functions of individuals performing the Procurement Document control activities. These procedures are maintained in station files and in manuals as listed on Table 17.2-2.

Procurement Documents, and revisions thereto, are reviewed by responsible engineers to verify that they contain or reference technical requirements appropriate to the item or service to be procured, including:

17.2.4.2 (continued)

- o Regulatory requirements, codes, and industry standards.
- o Component and material identification requirements.
- o Design requirements, including drawings and specifications.
- o Test and inspection requirements.
- o Special process instructions.
- o Handling, storage, and shipping instructions.

17.2.4.2 (continued)

- o Documentation to be prepared, maintained, and submitted to SCE for review and approval.
- o Records to be retained, controlled, and maintained by the supplier and those to be delivered to SCE prior to use or installation of the item.

Responsible quality assurance engineers review Procurement Documents to assure the adequacy of quality requirements. This review includes, but is not limited to, verification of the following as appropriate to the item or service being procured:

| 3

- o Appropriate quality requirements are correctly stated and include applicable 10CFR50, Appendix B, requirements.
- o Quality requirements can be inspected and controlled.
- o Adequate acceptance and rejection criteria are specified.
- o Provisions are included for documenting and controlling deviations from the Procurement Document.
- c Provisions are included for the right of access by the purchaser to the supplier's facilities and records for source inspection and audit.

Procurement Documents prepared by SCE identify the applicable 10CFR50, Appendix B, requirements, regulatory guides, codes, and standards, which must be complied with and described in suppliers' quality assurance programs. These programs are reviewed by the Quality Assurance Organization.

The control measures described herein apply to original items as well as spare or replacement parts, and to changes to Procurement Documents. Procurement Document reviews, including reviews of changes and revisions, are verified by checking the signatures of assigned responsible individuals on appropriate documents. The review and approval of Procurement Documents is documented prior to release and is available for verification.

17.2.4.2.1 Engineering Construction Projects (ECP's)

Procurement Documents associated with ECP's may be prepared by SCE, an A-E, or other qualified contractors. Determination of responsibility for Procurement Document preparation is made by the SCE Project Manager responsible for the ECP.

17.2.4.2.1 (continued)

SCE, A-E's and other qualified contractors are required to maintain records of the review and approval of Procurement Documents. The SCE Quality Assurance Organization is responsible for performing periodic audits as described in Subsection 17.2.18, of these participating organizations to verify that Procurement Documents have been prepared, reviewed, approved, controlled, and maintained in accordance with SCE Quality Assurance Program requirements. Internal audits are also required by the A-E's and other qualified contractors' quality assurance organizations to verify that these activities have been correctly performed in their organizations and in the organizations of their suppliers, as appropriate.

| 2-421.14

Contracts with A-E's and other qualified contractors for items and services required to support ECP's include the requirement for control of Procurement Documentation as part of their quality assurance program requirements. The SCE Project Manager is responsible for preparation and control of these contracts. The Procurement Division controls contract negotiations and issuance.

17.2.5 INSTRUCTIONS, PROCEDURES, AND DRAWINGS

17.2.5.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to assure that activities affecting quality are prescribed by, and accomplished in accordance with appropriate instructions, procedures, and drawings.

17.2.5.2 Instructions, Procedures, and Drawings

The SCE Quality Assurance Program includes provisions which require that work be accomplished in accordance with documented instructions, procedures, and drawings.

Instructions, procedures, and drawings are prepared, reviewed, approved, and controlled by individuals and organizations which implement Quality-Affecting Activities. These documents specify the requirements and/or methods to be utilized for compliance with the SCE Quality Assurance Program. They include appropriate qualitative and quantitative acceptance criteria, as applicable, to determine that designated activities are accomplished in a satisfactory manner.

Instructions, procedures, drawings and specifications prepared by station personnel for control of design are reviewed and approved by the Station Superintendent and the On-Site Review Committee (OSRC). The Quality Assurance Organization reviews and concurs with those instructions and procedures, or portions thereof, which provide inspection plans; test, calibration, special process, maintenance, modification and repair procedures; and with drawings and specifications.

| 3
|
| 1

Changes to approved instructions, procedures, and drawings are reviewed and approved in the same manner as original documents.

The SCE Quality Assurance Organization verifies by means of audits, as described in Subsection 17.2.18, that instructions, procedures, and drawings required for performing Safety-Related activities are controlled in compliance with established program commitments.

17.2.5.2.1 Engineering Construction Projects (ECP's)

Activities related to ECP design, procurement, construction, and testing are controlled by the use of approved instructions, procedures, and drawings. The Project Engineer ensures that these documents are reviewed by responsible SCE organizations. Procedures developed by SCE are approved by the originating organization and by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization in accordance with written procedures.

17.2.5.2.1 (continued)

Procedures developed by contractors to implement their quality assurance programs are approved by the Project Quality Assurance Supervisor or by qualified organizations to whom this work may be delegated. Technical procedures and instructions which are developed at the jobsite for ECP's are reviewed and approved by the SCE Site Construction Engineer, Station QA/C Supervisor and the cognizant Station Supervisor. The Station Superintendent and the On-Site Review Committee also review and approve these procedures and instructions which affect plant systems.

| 3

17.2.6 DOCUMENT CONTROL

17.2.6.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to control the preparation, review, approval, issuance, and distribution of documents affecting quality.

17.2.6.2 Document Control

Documentation control, as described herein, applies to the following documents, and changes thereto, as a minimum:

- o Design specifications.
- o Design, manufacturing, construction, and installation drawings.
- o Procurement Documents.
- o Quality Assurance topical report and manuals. | 1-421.9
- o Manufacturing, inspection, and testing instructions.
- o Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) and referenced topical reports. | 1-421.9
- o Test procedures, test instructions, and test results. | 3
- o Operating, maintenance and modification procedures and instructions.
- o Design change requests.
- o Nonconformance Reports.
- o Corrective Action Requests.
- o Audit Reports.

These documents, including changes thereto, are prepared, reviewed, approved, issued, and controlled in accordance with approved written procedures. Procedures are maintained in Station files by EDM and in manuals as listed on Table 17.2-2. These procedures provide instructions to assure that documents, including changes thereto, are adequately checked, approved, and released by authorized personnel in a timely manner, and that the documents are transmitted and available at appropriate locations prior to commencement of activities requiring use of the document. Personnel or groups authorized to check, approve, and release documents are identified in quality assurance manuals and procedures for the applicable station. | 3

| 1-421.9

17.2.6.2 (continued)

Approved changes are included in instructions, procedures, drawings, and other documents prior to implementation of the change. Changes to documents can be requested by any reviewing or using organization or individual. Such requested changes, however, are subjected to the review and approval as by the same groups cognizant in the discipline affected by the change, unless this work has been delegated to another organization.

2

Temporary changes to operating procedures can be made if the intent of the original procedure is not altered and the change is made according to the requirements of the Administrative Controls section of the applicable Technical Specification. Temporary changes to Prerequisite, Preoperational, and Initial Startup Test procedures can also be made in accordance with the applicable Startup and Test Manual providing the intent of the procedures is not altered.

Design information transmitted from one organizational unit to another, including to organizations delegated design work, is documented and controlled by written approved procedures. These procedures require that design information transmittals identify the status of the design information or document provided and, where necessary, identification of incomplete items which require further evaluation, review or approval.

A file of approved documents is prepared and maintained by EDM for station operations, maintenance, modifications, and testing activities. These documents are updated and copies distributed to designated responsible persons to preclude the use of obsolete or superseded documents.

3

Documents comprising instructions, procedures, specifications, and drawings prepared by outside contractors for refueling, Inservice Inspection and other station activities are reviewed and approved by cognizant station supervisors, the Plant Manager and the OSRC. These documents are also reviewed by the Quality Assurance Organization.

2

17.2.6.2.1 Engineering Construction Projects (ECP's)

Each issuing organization prepares or delegates the preparation of a master list that identifies the latest revision number of instructions, procedures, specifications, drawings, and Procurement Documents for ECP's. These documents are updated and copies distributed to designated responsible persons to preclude the use of obsolete or superseded documents.

3

Where a group or organization which originally was responsible for approving a particular document is no longer responsible, the group

1-421.8

17.2.6.2.1 (continued)

or organization responsible to approve changes to the document shall be designated and determined to be qualified by the SCE Project Manager.

1-421.8

An SCE Project Engineer is responsible for assuring that contractor-prepared ECP documentation is reviewed and approved by cognizant SCE engineering organizations. Contractors are required to control the preparation and issuance of documents in substantially the same manner as SCE. The SCE Quality Assurance Organization periodically audits the document control systems of all participating organizations, as described in Subsection 17.2.18, to verify compliance with established requirements.

17.2.7 CONTROL OF PURCHASED MATERIAL, EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES

17.2.7.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to control the procurement of material, equipment, and services purchased directly or through contractors and subcontractors in compliance with applicable codes and standards.

| 3

17.2.7.2 Control of Purchased Material, Equipment and Services

Prior to award of purchase order or contract, suppliers to SCE are subject to financial, technical, and quality assurance evaluations by qualified SCE Procurement, Engineering, and Quality Assurance personnel. Quality Assurance evaluations of suppliers shall not apply to standard off-the-shelf items and bulk commodities where required quality can adequately be determined by receipt inspection or post installation checkout or test. The Quality assurance evaluation may be accomplished by one or more of the following methods:

| 3

- o Review of objective evidence establishing suppliers' capability to comply with the 10CFR50, Appendix B, criteria applicable to the type of material, equipment, or service to be procured.
- o Review of available previous records and performance of suppliers that provided similar products and services of the type to be procured.
- o Through the Coordinating Agency for Supplier Evaluation (CASE) initially using the current revision of the CASE Register. Prior to any award based on Prequalification using CASE, the qualification file would be obtained and reviewed to ensure applicability.
- o Survey of suppliers' facilities and quality assurance programs to determine suppliers' capability to supply a product or service which meets the design, manufacturing, and quality requirements.

Results of these reviews and surveys are documented and forwarded to the Material Services Department and to the EDM Center.

| 3

Surveillance of suppliers when required is performed during fabrication, inspection, testing, and shipment. This surveillance activity, as further described in Subsections 17.2.10 and 17.2.18, is planned and performed in accordance with written procedures to assure compliance to purchase order requirements. These proce-

| 3

17.2.7.2 (continued)

dures provide for the following:

- o Instructions that specify the characteristics or processes to be witnessed, inspected, or verified, and accepted; the method of surveillance, the extent of documentation required, and those responsible for implementing the instructions.
- o Audits and surveillance which assure that suppliers comply with all appropriate quality requirements established, as described in Subsection 17.2.4, consistent with the importance and complexity of the item. The importance of an item includes consideration of the quantity involved. Surveillance is performed primarily on manufacturers of those items where verification of procurement requirements cannot be determined on receipt.
- o Audits are scheduled of suppliers as described in Subsection 17.2.18. Surveillance is scheduled based on considerations described above, results of previous audits and quantity of the item involved. Audits and surveillance assure the effectiveness of the control of quality by suppliers.

1-421.10

Receiving inspection of material and equipment, furnished by suppliers, as further described in Subsection 17.2.10, is performed in accordance with written procedures to assure that:

- o Material, components, and equipment are properly identified and correspond with the receiving documentation.
- o Inspection of the material, components, and equipment, and acceptance records is performed and judged acceptable in accordance with predetermined inspection instructions prior to installation and use.
- o Inspection records or certificates of conformance attesting to the acceptance of materials, components, and equipment are available at the nuclear generating station prior to installation and use.
- o Items accepted and released are identified as to their inspection status prior to forwarding them to a controlled storage area or releasing them for installation or further use.

17.2.7.2 (continued)

- o Nonconforming items are segregated, controlled, and clearly identified until proper disposition is made.

The responsibility for receiving inspection may be assigned to qualified station personnel designated by the Plant Manager or performed by Quality Assurance personnel. All Safety-Related material, components, equipment and services are subject to surveillance and audit by the Quality Assurance Organization.

| 3

Procurement specifications require suppliers to furnish the following records to SCE, as a minimum, with delivered items:

- o Certifications that specifically identify the purchased material and equipment, and the specific procurement requirements such as codes, standards, specifications, procedures, and drawings that are met by the items.
- o Certifications that identify procurement requirements that were not met, together with a description of those nonconformances dispositioned Accept-As-Is or repair.

These Certifications are reviewed and approved by the Quality Assurance Organization. The Project Quality Assurance Supervisor or his designee audits these certifications for completeness and accuracy.

| 3

The verification of the validity of supplier certificates and the effectiveness of the certification system is accomplished as an integral part of the total supplier control and product acceptance program.

| 2

Spare or replacement parts for structures, systems, and components are subject to controls at least equivalent to those used for the original equipment.

17.2.7.2.1 Engineering Construction Projects (ECP's)

Receiving inspection associated with an ECP is performed by SCE, A-E's or contractors in accordance with written procedures which are subject to review by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization.

Material, equipment, and services purchased through A-E's and contractors for ECP's are controlled as specified in their quality assurance programs. These programs are reviewed and approved by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization.

17.2.8 IDENTIFICATION AND CONTROL OF MATERIALS, PARTS
AND COMPONENTS

17.2.8.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE for identifying and controlling material, parts, and components.

17.2.8.2 Identification and Control of Materials, Parts
and Components

Identification and control requirements for material, parts, and components, including partially fabricated assemblies, are specified in SCE Procurement Documents for the item to be purchased. These requirements are established by cognizant SCE engineers during the development of specifications and drawings, and include the following:

- o Items are identified by means which permit traceability to supporting documentation such as purchase orders, manufacturing records, and quality assurance records and documentation.
- o If required by codes, standards, or specifications, materials are traceable to records of heat, batch or lot number.
- o Method and location of identification are controlled to assure the function, fit and quality of the item are not impaired.
- o Verification of correct identification of material, parts, and components is accomplished and documented prior to release for fabrication, assembly or shipping and the record of verification is maintained for the period provided in the specification.

17.2.8.2.1 Engineering Construction Projects (ECP's)

Materials, parts, and components for ECP's purchased through A-E's and contractors are identified and controlled as specified in their quality assurance programs described in the applicable contract for the work performed.

SCE maintains responsibility for control of materials, parts, and components at a station but may delegate the work to A-E's or contractor's quality assurance organizations for ECP's. Written procedures for control of materials, parts, and components are prepared by SCE, A-E's or contractors and are subject

17.2.8.2.1 (continued)

to the review of the SCE Quality Assurance Organization. Procedures require that materials, parts, and components delivered to a station or jobsite are quarantined until a determination is made that such items are properly identified, the required documentation is available, and the items are acceptable for use. This documentation is then filed in the EDM Center as described in Subsection 17.2.17.

| 3

17.2.9 CONTROL OF SPECIAL PROCESSES

17.2.9.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to control special processes, such as welding, heat treating, cleaning, and nondestructive testing in compliance with Regulatory Guides 1.37, 1.39 and 1.54 (reference Table 17.2-1).

17.2.9.2 Control of Special Processes

SCE engineers and technicians performing special processes associated with Safety-Related items are trained, examined, and qualified in accordance with applicable codes, standards, specifications, and other supplementary requirements as applicable. Procedures and equipment utilized in performing these processes are similarly qualified. A resident quality assurance engineer assures that special processes utilized to modify or examine existing systems or components are performed by qualified personnel utilizing qualified procedures and equipment as specified by Procurement Document or other applicable code or standard.

Inspection records associated with special processes include verification that the activity was performed utilizing qualified personnel, procedures, and equipment. These records, as well as current qualification records of personnel, equipment and procedures, are filed and maintained in the EDM Center.

17.2.9.2.1 Engineering Construction Projects (ECP's)

Special process procedures, equipment, and associated personnel certifications of A-E's and contractors associated with ECP's are reviewed and approved by their respective quality assurance organizations and are reviewed and subject to the approval of the SCE Quality Assurance Organization. A-E's, contractors, and SCE suppliers are periodically audited by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization, as further described in Subsection 17.2.18, to assure continuing compliance with special process controls of the applicable contract document or procurement specification.

17.2.10 INSPECTION

17.2.10.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to control the inspection of activities affecting quality in compliance with Regulatory Guides 1.30, 1.58, and 1.94, and the requirements and guidelines of ANSI N45.2.13 (reference Table 17.2-1).

17.2.10.2 Inspection

The SCE Quality Assurance Program requires that activities affecting quality be inspected by individuals other than those that performed the activity being inspected. Inspection of these activities is performed for these items in accordance with written and approved procedures and inspection plans. Maintenance and modification procedures are reviewed by qualified personnel knowledgeable in quality assurance to determine the need for inspection, identification of inspection personnel, and inspection results documentation.

Approved inspection procedures, instructions, and checklists are provided to perform the inspections and they contain, but are not limited to, the following:

- o Identification of characteristics and activities to be inspected.
- o Identification of the individuals or group(s) responsible and qualified to perform the inspection operation.
- o Acceptance and rejection criteria, both qualitative and quantitative.
- o Description of the inspection method.
- o Recording evidence of completing and verifying a manufacturing, inspection or test operation.
- o Recording inspector or data recorder and the results of the inspection operation.

Activities affecting safe operation of the station are inspected in accordance with Technical Specifications. Specification requirements are incorporated into procedures or Station Orders that detail the specific steps necessary to perform the required inspection. Qualified station personnel, designated by the Station Superintendent, may conduct inspection activities in support of the overall inspection program.

17.2.10.2 (continued)

The Quality Assurance Organization reviews and audits all documents associated with repair of Safety-Related items and performs inspections and surveillance to verify that appropriate quality assurance requirements are applied and met. Qualified station personnel may also be assigned to perform the inspection and surveillance activity associated with repairs.

Qualified station personnel assigned by the Plant Manager or Quality Assurance personnel perform receiving inspection on material and equipment. This inspection provides assurance that the material and equipment received for installation and use conforms to the Procurement Document requirements. Consistent with the function of the material or equipment, it may be necessary to perform source inspection at contractors' or suppliers' facilities. The need for source inspection is determined by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization or other organizations delegated quality assurance work and is based on the following criteria:

- o The significance of the activity to the functions of the item.
- o Audit results, results of inspections or tests, lack of previous experience with the contractor or supplier, or indications from other areas that source inspection would be prudent.

The Quality Assurance Organization periodically audits the inspection activities of SCE or other organizations performing inspection work. These audits verify that:

- o Inspection procedures or instructions are developed with necessary drawings and specifications prior to performing inspection operations.
- o Inspectors are qualified in accordance with appropriate codes, standards, and training programs, and their qualifications are documented and maintained current.
- o Modifications, repairs, and replacements are inspected in accordance with the original design and inspection requirements or acceptable alternatives.
- o Inspection operations are performed and documented in compliance with the appropriate quality assurance manuals and inspection records are forwarded to the EDM Center.

17.2.10.2 (continued)

Mandatory inspection hold points for witness are specified in Procurement Documents if established at the time of approval of such documents. If established during the review of contractors or suppliers procedures or plans for manufacturing, examination, test or inspection, these witness and hold points are designated in correspondence from SCE, usually in the contract documents requiring conformance from a supplier or contractor.

Procurement Documents include the requirement that modifications, repairs, and replacements are inspected in accordance with the original design and inspection requirements or acceptable alternatives. In addition, these documents contain provisions for indirect control for monitoring processing methods, equipment, and personnel where direct inspection is not possible. Contractors are required to qualify their inspectors in accordance with appropriate codes, standards, and training programs, and to maintain current records of these certifications.

17.2.10.2.1 Engineering Construction Projects (ECP's)

For inspections associated with ECP's at the station, the SCE Quality Assurance Organization has the responsibility for all inspections, inspection procedures, implementation of inspection plans, and documentation of inspection findings. These inspections and associated work may be delegated to A-E's or other contractors quality control inspection organizations provided they can demonstrate sufficient qualifications and independence from the individuals performing the activities being inspected.

17.2.11 TEST CONTROL

17.2.11.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to control testing activities in compliance with Regulatory Guides 1.30, 1.58 and 1.94, and the requirements and guidelines of ANSI N45.2.8 (reference Table 17.2-1).

17.2.11.2 Test Control

All testing conducted in support of station operations or modification programs is documented, and accomplished in accordance with approved written procedures. These procedures apply to Prototype, Shop, Preoperational, Initial Startup, and Station Tests as well as ECP Startup Tests required in support of Engineering Construction Projects (ECP's).

Written test procedures for the tests described herein incorporate or reference:

- o Regulatory Guides and SAR Commitments.
- o The requirements and acceptance limits contained in applicable design and Procurement Documents.
- o Instructions for performing the test.
- o Test prerequisites that include, but are not limited to, the following provisions:
 - a) Calibrated instrumentation.
 - b) Adequate and appropriate equipment.
 - c) Completeness of item to be tested.
 - d) Suitable and, if required, controlled environmental conditions.
 - e) Mandatory inspection hold points for witness by owner, contractor, or inspector.
 - f) Acceptance and rejection criteria, either qualitative or quantitative.
 - g) Methods for documenting and recording test data results.

Provisions for personnel training, data collection and storage are contained in other internal SCE documentation.

Procedures for Prototype and Shop tests are normally prepared by the organizations conducting the test; however, SCE, A-E's, or contractors may prepare these procedures where they have design or procurement responsibility. These procedures require appropriate preparing organization, quality assurance organization, and associated engineering organization review and approval prior to test implementation. Test results are documented, evaluated, and acceptance status verified by qualified individuals within the organization performing the test, and witnessed, as appropriate, by an inspector designated by the procuring organization.

For initial plant startup and operations, Construction Tests are conducted in compliance with test procedures normally prepared by the A-E and testing contractors performing the tests. The A-E is responsible for approval of Construction Test procedures and for the review and evaluation of test results. The Engineering and Construction and Nuclear Operations and Engineering Departments are responsible for management of the test program.

Prerequisite, Preoperational and Initial Startup Tests are also conducted in accordance with a Startup and Test Manual for the applicable station. These manuals are the responsibility of the Engineering and Construction Department. They contain descriptions of organization's functions, authority, responsibility, and the policies and procedures for the conduct of Preoperational and Initial Startup Test programs. The technical portions of these programs, including quality assurance requirements, are contained in test procedures prepared by SCE, A-E's, NSSS Suppliers, and other major contractors. These procedures are approved by appropriate members of a Test Working Group and by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization. The Test Working Group consists of cognizant SCE, A-E, NSSS Supplier, and other major contractor representatives involved in the applicable project. Evaluation and approval of test results is also the responsibility of this group.

The Startup Group reporting to the Project Manager is responsible for implementation of the Initial Startup Test program in accordance with SCE Quality Assurance Program requirements. Procedures required for implementation of this program are reviewed and approved by the Quality Assurance Organization.

Station Tests performed subsequent to operational status are accomplished by the Station Staff in accordance with approved written procedures prepared by or under the direction of the Nuclear Engineering and Operations Department. As a minimum, test results are reviewed, evaluated, and approved by the Cognizant Supervisor. They are also subject to evaluation and approval of the Plant Manager. The Quality Assurance Organization performs periodic audits of these test results.

17.2.11.2 (continued)

The SCE test program policy requires that modifications, repairs, and replacements of items be tested in the same manner, using the same design and test requirements as the original items. If alternatives are required, they must be reviewed and approved by the same organization that established the original requirements or by alternate organizations which have been provided sufficient background information.

Records of tests described herein, which are performed at a station, are forwarded to the EDM Center and are available for audit by the Quality Assurance Organization.

| 3

17.2.11.2.1 Engineering Construction Projects (ECP's)

ECP Startup Tests are the responsibility of the ECP Project Manager. A Startup Engineer is responsible for development of required procedures with technical assistance provided by the Station Plant Engineer. Procedures developed for the final operational test phase are reviewed and approved by the On-Site Review Committee. Test results are reviewed and approved by the Startup Engineer and Station Plant Engineer. A QA/C Supervisor is responsible for providing inspection surveillance throughout the testing program.

| 3

17.2.12 CONTROL OF MEASURING AND TEST EQUIPMENT

17.2.12.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to control measuring and test equipment used in activities affecting quality.

17.2.12.2 Control of Measuring and Test Equipment

For Preoperational and Startup Testing, SCE Startup engineering personnel are responsible for control of measuring and test equipment, whereas the Plant Engineer or his designee is responsible for this activity during operation, maintenance, repair, modification, refueling, and Inservice Inspection of the station.

Measuring and test equipment utilized by suppliers are required to be controlled in accordance with 10CFR50, Appendix B, requirements.

Responsible organizations or individuals, as appropriate, are required to prepare calibration programs including calibration manuals, for measuring and test equipment used at the station, and written procedures to implement these calibration programs. Calibration programs contain the following minimum requirements:

- o Description of equipment.
- o General use.
- o Date received on site.
- o Manufacturer.
- o Manufacturer's serial number, initial calibration, and certificate.
- o A list of governing regulations, codes, and standards applicable to calibrations.
- o Method of labeling or tagging the equipment to indicate date of next required calibration.
- o Method for identification of equipment for traceability to the calibration test data.
- o Calibration intervals based on the required accuracy, purpose, degree or usage, stability characteristics, and other conditions affecting the measurement.

17.2.12.2 (continued)

- o A system for recalling equipment whose designated period of legitimate use prior to recalibration has expired.
- o Calibration procedures including techniques and methods for adjustment of equipment.
- o List of individuals, organizations, and companies authorized to calibrate and/or use the equipment.
- o Organizations responsible for performing calibrations.
- o Method for identification, control and distribution of calibration data.
- o Provisions for proper storage of the equipment.
- o Provisions for review by the OSRC and the SCE Quality Assurance Organization.

Calibration programs include provisions for determining the validity of previous measurements performed when measuring and test equipment are found to be out of calibration and for documenting the investigation results. These programs specify accuracy requirements and limit the error requirement of calibration standards to not more than 1/4th the tolerance of the equipment to be calibrated. A greater uncertainty may be acceptable when limited by the "state-of-the-art."

Measuring and test equipment are calibrated to recognized national standards on or before calibration expiration. Reference or transfer standards are traceable to nationally recognized standards, or if nonexistent, the calibration programs include provisions for documenting the basis for calibration. The complete status of all equipment controlled by the calibration program is recorded and maintained by the organization responsible for the calibration program.

The On-Site Review Committee (OSRC) reviews and approves calibration procedures required to support operation, maintenance, repair, modification, refueling, and Inservice Inspection activities. The SCE Quality Assurance Organization reviews calibration programs, including those prepared by designated contractors and performs periodic audits to verify conformance with established calibration program requirements.

17.2.12.2.1 Engineering Construction Projects (ECP's)

Measuring and test equipment used in the measurement, testing or monitoring of components, systems or structures during ECP development is controlled at nuclear generating stations by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization or delegated to A-F's or contractors quality assurance/control organizations as described in the applicable contract.

17.2.13 HANDLING, STORAGE, AND SHIPPING

17.2.13.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to control handling, storage, shipping, packaging, preservation, and cleaning activities in compliance with Regulatory Guide 1.38 (reference Table 17.2-1).

17.2.13.2 Handling, Storage, and Shipping

Cognizant Station Supervisors are responsible for defining and implementing handling, storage, shipping, packaging, preservation, and cleaning requirements for items delivered to the station. Responsible individuals on the station staff perform these activities under the direction of the cognizant Station Supervisor. The Quality Assurance Organization verifies, by means of periodic audits, that these activities are performed in compliance with applicable procedures. | 3

17.2.13.2.1 Engineering Construction Projects (ECP's)

The SCE Nuclear Engineering and Operations and Engineering and Construction Departments are responsible for implementation of handling, storage, packaging, preservation, and cleaning requirements for items delivered to a station for ECP's and for identifying shipping requirements to be implemented by the Material Services Department. The work associated with these activities may be delegated to Station personnel, A-E's or contractors; however, in all cases, the work is performed in accordance with approved written procedures by individuals qualified to perform these activities. | 3

Special instructions, procedures, or drawings necessary to define handling, storage, shipping, packaging, preservation, and cleaning methods and requirements for ECP's are prepared in accordance with design and specification requirements by SCE, A-E's or contractors, as appropriate. These procedures are reviewed and approved by the originator's quality assurance organization. A-E's and contractor's procedures are subject to review by SCE. The SCE Quality Assurance Organization conducts periodic audits of these organizations to assure proper implementation of these procedures.

SCE, A-E's and contractor's, as delegated, define handling, storage, packaging, preservation, and cleaning requirements in procurement specifications. Compliance with these requirements is verified by means of periodic audits conducted by their respective quality assurance organizations.

17.2.14 INSPECTION, TEST, AND OPERATING STATUS

17.2.14.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to indicate the status of inspections and test and the associated clearance procedures.

17.2.14.2 Inspection, Test, and Operating Status

The methods used to indicate the status of inspections and tests and for the control of status indicators are described by written procedures. These procedures are prepared and implemented by cognizant SCE organizations performing the inspections and tests. Periodic audits are conducted by the Quality Assurance Organization to assure proper implementation of these procedures. | 3

Inspection data sheets are developed, as described in Subsection 17.2.10, to describe the inspection plan and inspection status for each item during the inspection phase. A sign-off by a cognizant inspector is required upon satisfactory completion of each inspection step which provides the record of inspection or test results.

A system of marking with stamps or tags is used to identify the status of material, equipment, work, testing, and operations. This marking system identifies the inspection status and tests performed on individual items. Markings also indicate the status of nonconforming, inoperative, or malfunctioning structures, systems, or components to prevent inadvertent use. The system for control of nonconforming items is described in Subsection 17.2.15.

A Startup and Test Manual is used to establish requirements for clearances and tagging of structures, systems and components to prevent inadvertent use during Prerequisite, Preoperational and Initial Startup Testing. These manuals are independently reviewed by qualified individuals, as designated by approved procedures.

SCE operating procedures also establish requirements for clearances and tagging of structures, systems, and components to prevent inadvertent use during station operations. These procedures may be independently reviewed by qualified individuals if designated by the Plant Manager. | 2

When inspections or tests other than Preoperational Tests are required by design documents for a design change, results of these inspections or tests are documented for the records and so indicated by placing the appropriate tag on the item. After completion of all work associated with the design change and final acceptance of the item, all tags are removed. Preoperational Test status is noted in station logs in lieu of using tags.

17.2.14.2 (continued)

Bypassing or waiving these required inspections, tests, and other critical operations is controlled by written documents that require that justification and approval of the action be documented. The Project Quality Assurance Supervisor reviews such waivers for Safety-Related items.

17.2.14.2.1 Engineering Construction Projects (ECP's)

Written procedures describing the methods used for the control of status indicators for ECP's may be prepared and implemented by A-E's or contractors delegated the work of performing the inspections and tests. The SCE Quality Assurance Organization conducts periodic audits of participating organizations' activities to assure proper implementation of these procedures.

SCE, A-E's or contractors, as appropriate, define requirements for controlling the status of inspections and tests to suppliers in Procurement Documents. Compliance with these requirements is verified by means of periodic audits conducted by their respective quality assurance organizations.

17.2.15 NONCONFORMING MATERIALS, PARTS OR COMPONENTS

17.2.15.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to control materials, parts, or components that do not conform to established requirements.

17.2.15.2 Nonconforming Materials, Parts or Components

The measures used to control nonconforming Safety-Related items are described by written procedures. These procedures are prepared and implemented by cognizant SCE organizations delegated the work of controlling nonconformances. The SCE Quality Assurance Organization conducts periodic audits to assure proper implementation of these procedures and effectiveness of the non-conformance controls.

Deviations pertaining to a characteristic of a material, component, system or structure, from those specified in the design documents are treated as nonconformances. Procedures for processing and controlling nonconforming items contain the following requirements:

- o Measures to identify the nonconforming item.
- o Measures to document the nonconforming item, including cause and corrective action.
- o Measures to segregate the nonconforming item.
- o Method to review and disposition the nonconforming item, including approval authority.
- o Method of notification to the affected organizations.
- o Method for evaluating deviations to determine if reportable under the requirements of 10CFR50.55(e).

Nonconformances are documented on a Nonconformance Report (NCR) which is initiated by responsible SCE personnel when a nonconforming item is discovered at a station. NCR's contain the item's identification, description of the nonconformance, cause, dispositioning activities, inspection requirements, corrective action, approval signatures, and the organizations notified of the nonconformance. An SCE nonconformance log book is maintained by the "onsite" SCE Quality Assurance Organization. This log book indicates the status of each nonconforming item.

Nonconforming items are segregated from acceptable items, where possible, and maintained in a controlled area until properly dispositioned. Nonconforming items discovered after installation of the item are not used until final disposition of the nonconformance and associated disposition implementation.

17.2.15.2 (continued)

Stamps or tags are utilized to identify the nonconformance as described in Subsection 17.2.14. Nonconforming items may be dispositioned Accept-As-Is, repair, rework, or Reject.

An Engineering Review Process (ERP) is utilized to provide approval of dispositions associated with nonconforming items. For the dispositioning of nonconformances, this ERP must have concurrence of one person from the following list representing the SCE Quality Assurance Organization and any two other persons from this list:

- o Project Quality Assurance Supervisor, or his designee
- o Manager, Quality Assurance.
- o Supervising Engineer
- o Supervisor of Operation
- o Supervisor of Plant Instrumentation
- o Supervisor of Plant Maintenance.
- o Supervisor of Chemistry and Radiation Protection.

When the NCR disposition specifies a rework or repair, these actions are accomplished in accordance with approved procedures, drawings and instructions. An SCE resident quality assurance engineer verifies acceptability of rework and repair dispositioned nonconforming items by reinspection according to methods initially used. When reinspection methods differ from those initially used, methods at least equal to the original inspection are employed. The Plant Manager is responsible for assuring that rework and repair activities are accomplished in accordance with approved procedures, drawings, and instructions. Items are designated as nonconforming, and are so identified until rework or repair and the required inspections are satisfactorily performed.

Rejected or scrapped items are promptly removed from the station work areas. The status of these items is displayed by appropriate tags. A Quality Assurance Engineer verifies the proper use of these tags.

The SCE design organization responsible for the affected design document reviews and approves Accept-As-Is dispositions for

17.2.15.2 (continued)

design impact. For an approved disposition, the responsible design organization must issue a written justification for the deviation from the design document or revise the design document in accordance with applicable requirements.

When the participants in the Engineering Review Process cannot agree, the final disposition is made by the Manager, Quality Assurance. Significant nonconformances of unusual complexity or involvement are submitted to the Vice President, Advanced Engineering, for resolution.

NCR's and associated inspection records are forwarded to the EDM Center for retention purposes. Nonconformance data are periodically analyzed by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization to establish quality trends. The results of these analyses are reviewed by the Manager, Quality Assurance, for possible corrective action and referred to the Vice President, Advanced Engineering, when a potentially serious condition is indicated. Deficiencies identified by 10CFR50.55(e) are reported to the NRC by the Manager, Quality Assurance, prior to issuance of the operating license by the NRC. Thereafter, deficiencies are reported to the NRC by the Vice President, Nuclear Engineering and Operations, in accordance with Technical Specification requirements. | 3

17.2.15.2.1 Engineering Construction Projects (ECP's)

An ERP is also utilized to provide approval of dispositions associated with ECP-related nonconforming items. SCE Engineering Review Boards (ERB's) are established for Accept-As-Is and repair dispositions. ERB's are composed of the following members who must unanimously concur on disposition approvals:

- o Project Quality Assurance Supervisor, or his designee.
- o Project Engineer, or his designee
- o Construction Superintendent, or his designee (jobsite nonconformances only).
- o Supplier design representative, where supplier design is involved.

For Reject and rework dispositions, the approval of the following individuals is required:

- o Project Quality Assurance Supervisor, or his designee.
- o Construction Supervisor, or his designee.

17.2.15.2.1 (continued)

Contractors and suppliers must provide nonconformance controls that are equivalent to the controls set forth herein. The four options to disposition nonconformances as described in this subsection are required to be identified or a suitable alternative scheme used.

Nonconformances dispositioned Accept-As-Is or repair by suppliers must be made part of the inspection records and forwarded to the responsible design organization for review and assessment.

1-421.11

Conditions adverse to quality discovered by SCE personnel at a jobsite, contractor's manufacturing facility or design office, supplier's manufacturing facility, or SCE internal organizations, are processed on a Corrective Action Request (CAR) as described in Subsection 17.2.16. The CAR is also used for hardware problems discovered by SCE personnel offsite.

17.2.16 CORRECTIVE ACTION

17.2.16.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to assure that corrective action is promptly identified and implemented when conditions adverse to quality are discovered.

17.2.16.2 Corrective Action

As described in Subsection 17.2.15, nonconformances and corrective action associated with materials, parts, or components are controlled at a station by a nonconformance reporting system. Additionally, a system for initiating corrective action associated with conditions adverse to quality is controlled by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization by means of written procedures. This system implements a Corrective Action Request (CAR) form to document conditions adverse to quality discovered by SCE personnel at the SCE General Office, a station, at A-E's or contractor's design offices and at suppliers' facilities. The CAR form is also used for hardware problems discovered at suppliers' facilities. Procurement specifications may specify the requirement for SCE approval of nonconforming hardware disposition if discovered by SCE at a supplier's facility.

The CAR form provides for item or system identification, description of the adverse condition, cause of the condition, corrective action to resolve the problem, and the corrective action to prevent recurrence.

The CAR's are promptly initiated with a request for corrective action directed to the responsible organization as a result of review, inspection, audit or surveillance activities.

The responsible organization to which CAR's are directed determine the cause of the adverse condition, the action taken to resolve the problem, and the action to be taken to prevent recurrence. They also provide dates for implementation of the corrective action. The CAR is returned to the responsible SCE Quality Assurance Organization Supervisor for review and concurrence. The responsible SCE Project Engineer also reviews for acceptability if nonconforming hardware associated with an Engineering Construction Project (ECP) is involved. A revised CAR is issued if corrective action is unacceptable. Followup reviews, inspections, audits, or surveillance are performed by SCE personnel to verify corrective action implementation. Significant conditions adverse to quality, the cause of the conditions, and the corrective action taken are reported to cognizant levels of both "offsite" and "onsite" management for review and assessment.

17.2.16.2 (continued)

Trending studies may be performed on CAR's data by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization as directed by the Vice President, Advanced Engineering, or the Manager, Quality Assurance. Results of trending studies are documented and retained on file in the EDM Center. The Vice President, Advanced Engineering, issues directives for corrective action resulting from trending studies, as necessary, and assures appropriate management involvement in correcting significant conditions adverse to quality.

A-E's, contractors, and suppliers are required by contract or procurement specification to implement a corrective action system equivalent to that described herein for their scope of work, including appropriate management involvement in the review and assessment of significant conditions adverse to quality.

17.2.17 QUALITY ASSURANCE RECORDS

17.2.17.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to assure that required design documents and quality assurance records are properly stored, maintained, retained, and retrievable to provide objective evidence of activities affecting quality in compliance with Regulatory Guide 1.88 (reference Table 17.2-1).

17.2.17.2 Quality Assurance Records

Engineering Data Management (EDM) Centers are established at pre-determined locations for storage, indexing, maintenance, and retention of quality assurance records. EDM Centers are established and maintained by representatives of Administrative Services in accordance with the Quality Assurance Program. Administrative Services provides personnel, equipment and a document management system responsive to the needs of the project. The Quality Assurance Organization assures that the requirements for documentation imposed by SCE and regulatory agencies are identified in a project documentation list and implemented through written procedures.

Quality Assurance records to be stored and maintained in the EDM Center include, but are not limited to, the following:

- o Plant history
- o Operating logs
- o Principal maintenance and modification activities
- o Abnormal occurrence reports
- o Results of reviews, inspections, tests, audits, and material analyses
- o Records of monitoring of work performance
- o Minutes of On-Site Review Committee meetings
- o Qualifications (certifications) of personnel, procedures, and equipment
- o Specifications and drawings, including as-built drawings and stress reports or calculations
- o Procurement Documents and purchasing records

17.2.17.2 (continued)

- o Calibration manuals, procedures, and reports
- o Nonconformance and Corrective Action Reports
- o Inspection and test records which contain, as a minimum, the following:
 - a) A description of the type of observation
 - b) Evidence of completion and verification of manufacturing, inspection or test operations
 - c) Date and location of inspections or tests
 - d) Information related to conditions adverse to quality
 - e) Inspector or data recorder identification
 - f) Evidence as to the acceptability of results

Access to quality assurance documents in the EDM Center is controlled by Administrative Services personnel and is monitored by quality assurance engineers. Access to and retrieval of life-time documents is based on a need to know and is controlled by written procedures. | 3

Requirements and responsibilities for record transmittals, retention, and maintenance subsequent to completion of work are consistent with applicable codes, standards, and Procurement Documents.

The review, identification, indexing, categorizing and filing of design documents and quality assurance records in the EDM Center is accomplished in accordance with written procedures. These procedures include provision for ready identification and retrievability of stored documents. | 3

Documents stored in the EDM Center are protected against deterioration or destruction from fire, flooding, theft, and environmental conditions such as temperature and humidity. | 3

17.2.18 AUDITS

17.2.18.1 Scope

This subsection describes the measures utilized by SCE to verify compliance with, and overall effectiveness of the SCE Quality Assurance Program by means of a system of planned and periodic audits in accordance with the requirements and guidelines of ANSI N45.2.12 (reference Table 17.2-1).

17.2.18.2 Audits

The SCE Quality Assurance Program requires a comprehensive system of planned and periodic audits to verify the effectiveness of the program, and evaluate compliance with applicable 10CFR50, Appendix B, criteria. Quality Assurance audits are planned and performed in accordance with written procedures by Quality Assurance Engineers trained in audit techniques, or other SCE engineers or inspectors as designated by the Manager, Quality Assurance. Individuals performing audits do not have responsibilities in the areas to be audited.

Quality Assurance audits provide an objective evaluation of quality-related practices, procedures, and instructions, and the effectiveness of implementation of policy directives. Audits include the evaluation of work areas, activities, processes, items, and the review of documents and records.

The Project Quality Assurance Supervisor is responsible for assuring that sufficient audits are performed in those areas where the requirements of 10CFR50, Appendix B, are being implemented. These areas include, as a minimum, those Safety-Related activities associated with:

- o Operation, maintenance and modification.
- o Preparation, review, approval, and control of designs, specifications, Procurement Documents, instructions, procedures, and drawings.
- o Receiving and plant inspections.
- o Indoctrination and training programs.
- o Implementation of operating and test procedures and instructions.
- o Calibration of measuring and test equipment.

The Nuclear Audit and Review Committee performs a formal, annual, and independent audit of station activities. This committee's

review of actions employed by the On-Site Review Committee provides a continuing surveillance of station activities. The work and documents of the On-Site Review Committee (OSRC) is audited by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization for correctness and verifies conformance with quality requirements.

3

The following types of audits are performed to assure that quality assurance procedures and activities are meaningful and comply with SCE Quality Assurance Program requirements:

- o Internal audits conducted by SCE, A-E's and contractors quality assurance organizations.
- o External audits by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization on A-E's, contractors, and other suppliers.
- o External audits by the A-E's and contractor's quality assurance organization on suppliers. The SCE Quality Assurance Organization may participate in these audits, on a selective basis, as an observer or active participant.

Each supplier's quality assurance program acceptability is determined initially prior to work commencement. This determination is made by means of -

- audits performed by the licensee/principal contractor,
- or
- evaluation of documented results of audits performed by sustaining members of CASE (Coordinating Agency for Supplier Evaluation)

2-421.15

If acceptable, the supplier is placed on the approved supplier list.

Audits are scheduled based upon the status of work progress, importance to safety of the activities being performed and prior experience with the organization being audited.

Audits schedules are prepared compatible to the progress of the work. These schedules provide for coverage of applicable 10CFR50, Appendix B criteria implementation.

A formal evaluation of suppliers performing continuing work is performed each year. This evaluation determines for which suppliers a reaudit is required during the upcoming year. This evaluation considers pertinent factors such as the results of other audits, history of performance of product and/or purchased service and effectiveness of implementation of the supplier's quality assurance program.

2-421.15

17.2.18.2 (continued)

In addition, the complexity of the component concerned and the degree of quality and process control required during manufacturing are considered.

This evaluation is documented and approved by the Manager, Quality Assurance and placed in supplier quality history files.

2-421.15

Regardless of the results of the evaluation, the suppliers performing continuing work are subjected to an initial audit and are reaudited every three years. Audits of suppliers performing limited duration assignments are conducted at least once during the life of the contract.

The audit requirement shall not apply to standard off-the-shelf items and bulk commodities where required quality can adequately be determined by receipt inspection or post-installation checkout or test.

Results of audits conducted by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization are reviewed with the management of the organization audited during exit interviews and are documented in formal audit reports to management. Responsible management in the areas audited implement the necessary actions required to correct deficiencies. These actions are documented and retained as part of the total audit record. Reaudits are conducted of deficient areas to verify proper implementation of corrective actions.

Audit data are summarized and analyzed by the SCE Quality Assurance Organization and reported to the Manager, Quality Assurance, and the Vice President, Advanced Engineering, on a regular basis. These reports indicate quality trends and the effectiveness of the SCE Quality Assurance Program. The Vice President, Advanced Engineering, issues directives for corrective action resulting from these reports, as necessary, and assures appropriate management involvement in correcting significant conditions adverse to quality.

TABLE 17.2-1

SCE QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM COMPLIANCE TO
GUIDES, REQUIREMENTS, AND STANDARDS

OPERATION

NOTE: Commitments made herein regarding compliance with specific issues of NRC Regulatory Guides and ANSI Standards may be modified in applicable FSAR's.

1

<u>Guide, Requirement or Standard</u>	<u>Compliance Status</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
10CFR50, Appendix B - Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants and Fuel Reprocessing Plants	Complies	
10CFR Part 55 - Operators Licenses	Complies	
Regulatory Guide 1.8 - Personnel Selection and Training (Revision 1, 9/75)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N18.1
Regulatory Guide 1.28 - Quality Assurance Program Requirements (Design & Construction) (Safety Guide 28, 6/7/72)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N45.2
Regulatory Guide 1.30 - Quality Assurance Requirements for the Installation, Inspection and Testing of Instrumentation and Electrical Equipment (Safety Guide 30, 8/11/72)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N45.2.4
Regulatory Guide 1.33 - Quality Assurance Program Requirements (Operation) (Revision 1, 1/77)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N18.7
Regulatory Guide 1.37 - Quality Assurance Requirements for Cleaning or Fluid Systems and Associated Components of Water-Cooled Nuclear Power Plants (3/16/73)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N45.2.1

1-421.13

1

Table 17.2-1 (continued)

Guide, Requirement or Standard	Compliance Status	Remarks
Regulatory Guide 1.38 - Quality Assurance Requirements for Packaging, Shipping, Receiving, Storage and Handling of Items for Water-Cooled Nuclear Power Plants (Revision 1, 10/76)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N45.2.2 1-421.13
Regulatory Guide 1.39 - Housekeeping Requirements for Water-Cooled Nuclear Power Plants (Revision 1, 10/76)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N45.2.3 1-421.13
Regulatory Guide 1.54 - Quality Assurance Requirements for Protective Coatings Applied to Water-Cooled Nuclear Power Plants (6/73)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N101.4
Regulatory Guide 1.58 - Qualification of Nuclear Power Plant Inspection, Examination, and Testing Personnel (8/73)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N45.2.6
Regulatory Guide 1.64 - Quality Assurance Requirements for the Design of Nuclear Power Plants (Revision 2, 6/76)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N45.2.11 1-421.13
Regulatory Guide 1.70 - Standard Format and Content of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants (Rev. 2, 9/75)	Complies	
Regulatory Guide 1.74 - Quality Assurance Terms and Definitions (2/74)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N45.2.10
Regulatory Guide 1.88 - Collection, Storage and Maintenance of Nuclear Power Plant Records (Revision 2, 10/76)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N45.2.9. 1-421.13

Table 17.2-1 (continued)

Guide, Requirement or Standard	Compliance Status	Remarks
Regulatory Guide 1.94 - Quality Assurance Requirement for Installation, Inspection, and Testing of Structural Concrete and Structural Steel During the Construction Phase of Nuclear Power Plants (Revision 1, 4/76)	Complies	Endorses ANSI N45.2.5
Regulatory Guide 1.116 - Quality Assurance Requirements for Installation, Inspection and Testing of Mechanical Equipment and Systems (6/76)	Complies	
Regulatory Guide 1.123 - Quality Assurance Requirements for Control of Procurement of Items and Services for Nuclear Power Plants (10/76)	Complies	
ANSI N45.2.12 (Draft 3, February 1974) - Requirements for Assuring of Quality Assurance Programs in Nuclear Power Plants	Complies	

3/77

17.2-56

Amendment 1

1-421.13

1-421.13

4/80

TABLE 17.2-2

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM IMPLEMENTING PROCEDURES

Implementing Procedure Documents	10CFR50 Appendix B Criteria																		Summary
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
<u>Quality Assurance Organization</u>																			
Quality Assurance Manual (applicable project)	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	Quality assurance manuals describe the SCE Quality Assurance Program policies for all 10CFR50 Appendix B, criteria and provide appropriate general implementation procedures. The Reference Procedures Manual provides specific implementation procedures required by the Quality Assurance Organization to implement 10CFR50, Appendix B, criteria.
Quality Assurance Reference Procedures Manual	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	3
<u>Power Supply Department</u>																			
Station Orders	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	Station orders contain implementation procedures for all 10CFR50, Appendix B, criteria.
<u>Engineering & Construction Department</u>																			
Quality Assurance Reference Procedures Manual (These procedures are also used by Nuclear Engineering and Operations Department.)	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	Emphasis of Engineering & Construction Department procedures is on preparation and control of drawings, specifications, and procedures and procurement activities.
<u>Procurement Division</u>																			
Quality Assurance Reference Procedures Manual	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	Emphasis of Procurement Division procedures is on control of purchase orders, contracts and vendor proposals and documentation.
<u>Fuel Supply Department</u>																			
Quality Assurance Reference Procedures Manual	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	Emphasis of Fuel Supply Department procedures is on preparation and control of procurement specifications and contracts for nuclear fuel and spent fuel shipping services.
<u>Administrative Services Department</u>																			
Quality Assurance Reference Procedures Manual	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	Emphasis of Corporate Documentation Services procedures is on processing, control, retention and retrieval of documents.

17.2-57

Amendment 3

TABLE 17.2-3

QUALITY ASSURANCE ENGINEER'S ACTIVITIES
OPERATION

The following are "offsite" Quality Assurance Engineer(s)' activities during the operational phase of nuclear generating stations including the support of Engineering Construction Projects (ECP's):

1. Review design and procurement documents to verify appropriate quality assurance requirements.
2. Prepare and maintain quality assurance manuals, procedures, and instructions. Maintain quality assurance chapters in FSAR's current. Maintain Topical Reports.
3. Review and approve quality assurance procedures and instructions prepared by other internal organizations.
4. Evaluate and approve potential bidder's quality assurance manuals.
5. Perform quality assurance preaward surveys of bidders' facilities and quality assurance programs.
6. Perform inspection surveillance at supplier's facilities.
7. Conduct internal audits of SCE organizations and external audits of A-E's or other contractors and suppliers to SCE. Reaudit to verify implementation of corrective action.
8. Initiate nonconformance reports, corrective action reports, and follow up to assure proper implementation of corrective action.
9. Participate in and provide quality assurance training.
10. Provide written reports to management regarding status of "offsite" quality assurance activities, corrective actions required, or unresolved problems.
11. Support the "offsite" Engineering Data Management Center to assure proper filing and retention of appropriate quality assurance documentation.

Table 17.2-3 (continued)

The following are "onsite" Quality Assurance Engineer(s)' activities during the operational phase of nuclear generating stations:

1. Review and approve design and procurement documents and specify appropriate quality assurance requirements.
2. Review quality assurance procedures and instructions prepared by other internal organizations.
3. Audit A-E's and contractors engaged in ECP installations.
4. Perform quality assurance preaward surveys of facilities and quality assurance programs.
5. Conduct internal audits of station operations and external audits of suppliers to SCE. Reaudit to verify implementation of corrective action.
6. Initiate nonconformance reports, corrective action reports and follow up to assure proper implementation of corrective action.
7. Provide support to the On-Site Review Committee (OSRC) and Nuclear Audit and Review Committee (NARC).
8. Provide quality assurance support during refueling and Inservice Inspection and audit these activities.
9. Participate in, and provide quality assurance training.
10. Provide written reports to management regarding status of station quality assurance activities, corrective actions required, or unresolved problems.
11. Support the "onsite" Engineering Data Management Center to assure proper filing and retention of appropriate quality assurance documentation. | 3
12. Perform inspection activities as required and assigned.

APPENDIX A

LOCATION OF RESPONSES
TO REQUESTS FOR
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

LOCATION OF RESPONSES
TO REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

ITEM 421.1

In the "Introduction," describe those provisions for notifying NRC of (a) programmatic changes (except those that are editorial in nature) to the QA topical report prior to implementation of these changes, and (b) organizational changes within 30 days after announcement.

RESPONSE LOCATION

Subsection 17.0, Page 17.0-1

ITEM 421.2

Sections 17.1.2.2 and 17.2.2.2 indicate that the Vice President, Advanced Engineering, through use of independent consultants, periodically assesses the scope, implementation, and effectiveness of the QA program. Provide additional information on the manner and periodicity of these assessments.

RESPONSE LOCATION

Subsection 17.1.2.2, Page 17.1-12
Subsection 17.2.2.2, Pages 17.2-13 and 17.2-13a

ITEM 421.3

Describe those provisions which assure adequate quality of expendable or consumable items necessary for the functional performance of safety-related structures, systems, and components.

RESPONSE LOCATION

Subsection 17.1.2.2, Page 17.1-9
Subsection 17.2.2.2, Page 17.2-10

ITEM 421.4

Clarify the first paragraph on p. 17.1-15 to indicate that "..... testing of a prototype.... shall be employed." (Change "may" to "shall".)

Item 421.4 (continued)

RESPONSE LOCATION

Subsection 17.1.3.2, Page 17.1-15

ITEM 421.5

Describe those provisions which assure that design and specification changes, including field changes, are subject to the same design controls and approvals that were applicable to the original design.

RESPONSE LOCATION

Subsection 17.1.3.2, Page 17.1-14

ITEM 421.6

Section 17.1.5.2 states that the QA organization participates in the review of certain types of instructions, procedures, and drawings. It is the staff's position that: "The QA organization reviews and concurs with inspection plans; test, calibration, and special process procedures; drawings and specifications; and changes thereto" (See Item 5 under criterion 5 of the SRP-NUREG 75/087). Such concurrence is to assure conformance with the QA requirements of the overall SCE program. Accordingly, SCE should provide for this concurrence as well as review or describe an acceptable alternative.

RESPONSE LOCATION

Subsection 17.1.5.2, Page 17.1-19

ITEM 421.7

Describe provisions established to identify those individuals or groups responsible for reviewing, approving, and issuing documents and revisions thereto.

RESPONSE LOCATION

Subsection 17.1.6.2, Page 17.1-20

Subsection 17.2.6.2, Page 17.2-22

ITEM 421.8

Clarify Sections 17.1.6.2 and 17.2.6.2 to indicate that where changes to documents are reviewed and approved by organizations other than those who performed the original review, these other organizations are designated and qualified.

RESPONSE LOCATION

Subsection 17.1.6.2, Page 17.1-21
Subsection 17.2.6.2, Pages 17.2-23 and 17.2-24

ITEM 421.9

Indicate in Sections 17.1.6.2 and 17.2.6.2 those provisions which assure that topical reports, e.g., the SCE topical report on QA, will also be controlled under the provisions described in Sections 17.1.6 and 17.2.6.

RESPONSE LOCATION

Subsection 17.1.6.2, Page 17.1-20
Subsection 17.2.6.2, Page 17.2-22

ITEM 421.10

Describe the provisions which assure that the effectiveness of the control of quality by suppliers is assessed by SCE at intervals consistent with the importance, complexity, and quantity of the item.

RESPONSE LOCATION

Subsection 17.1.7.2, Page 17.1-23
Subsection 17.2.7.2, Page 17.2-26

ITEM 421.11

Section 17.1.15.2 states that nonconformances may require SCE approval if required by procurement specifications. It is the staff's position that: "nonconformance reports dispositioned 'accept as is' or 'repair' must be forwarded with the hardware to SCE for review and assessment" (See Item 6 in Criterion 15 of the SRP-NUREG 75/087). Accordingly, the word "may" which denotes permission should be changed to "shall", or describe an acceptable alternative.

Item 421.11 (continued)

RESPONSE LOCATION

Subsection 17.1.15.2, Page 17.1-39
Subsection 17.2.15.1, Page 17.2-46

ITEM 421.12

The contents of page 17.2-53 are redundant to the material covered on page 17.2-52 and should be deleted.

RESPONSE LOCATION

Subsection 17.2.18.2, Page 17.2-53 (contents deleted)

ITEM 421.13

In Tables 17.1-1 and 17.2-1, the following changes should be made to incorporate the current revised Regulatory Guides and ANSI Standards:

R.G. 1.8, add "Rev. 1" prior to the date*

R.G. 1.33, change the date to "11/3/72"*

R.G. 1.38, delete the date and add "(Rev. 1, 10/76)"

R.G. 1.39, delete the date and add "(Rev. 1, 10/76)"

R.G. 1.64, change "(Rev. 1, 2/75)" to "(Rev. 2, 6/76)"

R.G. 1.88, delete the date and add "(Rev. 2, 10/76)"

R.G. 1.94, delete the date and add "(Rev. 1, 4/76)"

Add R.G. 1.116 (6/76) which endorses ANSI N45.2.8

Add R.G. 1.123 (10/76) which endorses ANSI N45.2.13

The reference to ANSI N45.2.23 should be deleted inasmuch as neither ANSI nor NRC has as yet endorsed this standard.

If it is not possible to comply with these latest revisions, please identify the exceptions you wish to take and describe your alternative methods in equivalent detail to the controls identified in the respective Regulatory Guide and/or ANSI Standard.

* Table 17.2-1 only

RESPONSE LOCATION

Table 17.1-1, Pages 17.1-46, 17.1-47 and 17.1-48
Table 17.2-1, Pages 17.2-54, 17.2-55 and 17.2-56

ITEM 421.14

Page 17.1-18, last paragraph and page 17.2-19, first paragraph - Add to the end of each paragraph: ". . . in their organizations and in the organizations of their suppliers, as appropriate."

RESPONSE LOCATION

Subsection 17.1.4.2, Page 17.1-18
Subsection 17.2.4.2.1, Page 17.2-19

ITEM 421.15 (RSP)

In both sections 17.1.18.2 and 17.2.18.2, SCE has indicated that audits of suppliers who have a letter of acceptance from the NRC Office of Inspection and Enforcement - Region IV are not required. It is the staff's position that IE's conformance letter, which currently goes to only AEs and NSSSs, can be used by SCE to satisfy the requirements related to source selection of suppliers. (Reference Criterion 7 of Appendix B to 10 CFR 50 and ANSI N45.2.13-1976.) SCE still must provide QA/QC measures, including audits of their suppliers to assure the quality of the specific product or service.

In lieu of conducting annual audits of suppliers, (consisting principally of third tier* component vendors, but not involving internal audits or external audits of principal contractors) NRC will accept a commitment to the following alternative program as assurance that an acceptable external audit program has been established.

Each supplier is audited initially to determine the acceptability of their quality assurance program. If acceptable the supplier is placed on the approved supplier list. In lieu of routinely conducting an annual reaudit, a formal evaluation of the supplier is performed each year to determine if a reaudit is required during the upcoming year. This evaluation must be formal, with the results documented and approved by responsible QA management; and it must consider pertinent factors such as the results of other audits, history of performance of product and/or purchased service, and effectiveness of implementation of the supplier's QA program.

This annual assessment shall consider the complexity of the component concerned and the degree of quality and process control required by the manufacturing effort. As a result of this evaluation, suppliers requiring a formal reaudit are identified. Regardless of the results of the evaluation suppliers will be reaudited every three years.

*Licensee and principal contractor are considered first and second tier respectively.

Item 421. 15 (RSP) (continued)

Amend the appropriate sections to be consistent with this staff position or describe an acceptable alternative.

RESPONSE LOCATION

Subsection 17.18.2, Pages 17.1-45 and 17.1-45a
Subsection 17.2.18.2, Page 17.2-52 and 17.2-52a

Southern California Edison Company

P. O. BOX 800

2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE

ROSEMEAD, CALIFORNIA 91770

August 4, 1980

L. T. PAPAY
VICE PRESIDENT

TELEPHONE
213-572-1474

Office of Nuclear Regulation
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Attention: Mr. Walter P. Haass, Chief
Quality Assurance Branch
Division of Project Management

Gentlemen:


Subject: Topical Report - Quality Assurance Program
SCE-1-A, Amendment 3

Enclosed are thirty-eight (38) copies of Amendment 3 to the Southern California Edison Company Topical Report Quality Assurance Program, SCE-1-A, as requested in your letter of July 1, 1980. These copies supersede all previously transmitted copies.

As stated in Section 1.2 of the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station Units 2 and 3 Final Safety Analysis Report, the program will be implemented for the operations phase of San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3, including Preoperational and Initial Startup Testing, operation, maintenance, refueling, inservice inspection, and modification projects.

If you have any questions concerning this submittal, please let me know.

Sincerely,



Enclosures (sent under separate cover)
cc: Mr. R. L. Baer, NRC

Q002
S
1/38

DUPE

8008120564



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

JUL 01 1980

RECEIVED

JUL 8 1980

L. T. PAPAY

Mr. L. T. Papay
Vice-President
Southern California Edison Company
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
P.O. Box 800
Rosemead, CA 91770

Dear Mr. Papay:

SUBJECT: NRC ACCEPTANCE OF AMENDED SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
QA TOPICAL REPORT

The Papay/Haass letter of March 31, 1980 submitted Amendment 3 to Southern California Edison's (SCE) topical report, SCE-1-A, "QA Program," for staff review and approval. Amendment 3 revised the topical report to reflect organizational changes, current job responsibilities and procurement control practices, and editorial changes.

Based on our review and evaluation of Amendment 3, we find that all applicable requirements of Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50 are included in the QA program requirements. Therefore, your amended topical report is acceptable, and you may implement it upon issuance of the amendment. To use the topical report in license applications, SCE need only reference this topical report in Chapter 17 of the Safety Analysis Report. We do not intend to repeat our review of this topical report when it is referenced in an application.

Should regulatory criteria or regulations change such that our conclusions about this topical report are invalidated, we will notify you. You will be given the opportunity to revise and resubmit it should you so desire. Programmatic changes by SCE to this topical report are to be submitted to NRC for review prior to implementation. Organizational changes are to be submitted no later than 30 days after announcement.

Please replace our letter of June 28, 1977 with a copy of this letter, renumber the report as SCE-1-A, Amendment 3, and submit 38 copies to the NRC.

Should you have any questions regarding our review or if we can provide assistance, please feel free to contact me or Mr. James Conway or Mr. Fred Liederbach at (301) 492-7741.

Sincerely,

Walter P. Haass
Walter P. Haass, Chief
Quality Assurance Branch
Division of Engineering

*dupe of
800-170693*