

APPENDIX
NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Sierra Testing, Inc.
Tulsa, Oklahoma

Docket: 30-30671/90-01
License: 35-26950-01

During an NRC inspection conducted on January 8, 1990, violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1989) (Enforcement Policy), the violations are listed below:

1. 10 CFR 34.43(c) requires, in part, that the licensee shall ensure that a survey with a calibrated and operable radiation survey instrument is made at any time a radiographic exposure device is placed in a storage area, to determine that the sealed source is in its shielded position.

Contrary to the above, the licensee had not performed surveys when radiographic exposure devices were removed from their respective vehicle and stored in the va

This is a Severity Level IV violation. (Supplement V)

2. 10 CFR 20.408 requires, in part, that when an individual terminates employment with a licensee, the licensee shall furnish to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission a report of the individual's exposures to radiation and radioactive material incurred during the period of employment. Such a report shall be furnished within 30 days after the exposure of the individual has been determined by the licensee or 90 days after the date of termination of employment, whichever is earlier.

Contrary to the above, the licensee had not sent the termination reports to the Commission for personnel terminated during this inspection period, February 15, 1989, to January 8, 1990.

This is a Severity Level IV violation. (Supplement IV)

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Sierra Testing, Inc., is hereby required to submit to this office, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice, a written statement or explanation in reply, including for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation if admitted, (2) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Arlington, Texas,
this 2nd day of February 1990