LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

	Lalistativola	AL AL VIEW COMMENT	
CON	TROL BLOCK: []]]]]	(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRE	D INFORMATION)
i [el		0 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 0 57 CAT 58
EVER	REPORT L L G 0 5 0 0 0 0 3 6 1 O DOCKET NUMBER OF THE PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES OF THE PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES OF THE POST OF THE PO	6 7 0 7 1 9 8 0 8 0 6 68 70 09 EVENT DATE 74 75	8 0 4 8 0 9
ren iab	ility was reviewed and found	not to be in f. 11 complia	nce with Tech
TEL ISP	ec requirements. The noncom	pliance involved the calcu	lational me-
Tel ith	od of determining jet pump d	elta P variances. There w	ere no effects
121 100	on public health and safety	due to this event.	
17) L			
	SYSTEM CAUSE CAUSE SUBCODE R A 10 D D 2 Z 13 SEQUENTE REPORT NUMBER 22 23 24		VALVE SUBCODE 1 Z 16 70 REVISION NO. 1 31 32 GOMPONENT MARUFACTURER
TAK L 33 CA	UNITEDESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (2)	of Pump Integrity, it was	Z (2) [Z 9 9 19 29]
TOL	the full requirements of Tech	h Spec 4.4.1.2.c, which in	part requires
	that delta P measurements be	compared to the average do	elta P oi all jeel
TI L	pumps in the respective loop	. HNP-2-9400 was revised	is a result and
TITL	now is in full compliance wi	th the Tech Spec requirement	nrs.
1 5 L	THEFTY STUS TO THER STATUS TO THE	B 3 Procedure revie	PER PER SE (36)
1 6 1 1 7 8 9	Z 33 Z 34 NA	NA NA	80
7 2 9	PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER 0 0 10 0 2 2 2 3 13 PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER DESCRIPTION (4)	NA NA	80
	OS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY (4.3)		. 80
	2 (1)	#13	NRC USE ONLY
EID I	SUED DESCRIPTION (45) 8008110	NA .	63 69 80-5

LER #: 50-366/1980-107 Licensee: Georgia Power Company Facility Name: Edwin I. Hatch Docket #: 50-366

Narrative Report for LER 50-366/1980-107

During a routine power ascension after a control rod pattern adjustment on 7-19-80, the method of verifying jet pump operability was found not to fully comply with Tech Specs 4.4.1.2.c requirements.

Tech Specs require, in part, that individual jet pump differential pressure measurements be compared to the average differential pressure of all 10 jet pumps in its own loop. If any of the variances from the average are 10% or greater, further engineering analysis is required. However, it was discovered that HNP-2-9400, Jet Pump Integrity, calculates these variances based on the average differential pressure of all 20 jet pumps on both loops.

Upon discovery of the procedure error, HNP-2-9400 was revised to fully meet the Tech Specs requirements. The impact of this error on r st calculations and results was determined to be negligible due to the fact that both loops usually have similar average differential pressure values; consequently, the variances from the average calculated by both methods are usually similar in magnitude. In addition, the Unit 1 Tech Specs and procedure HNP-1-9400, require that the variances be compared to the average of all 20 jet pumps in both loops, a fact which further explains how this discrepancy originally occurred.