

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

Dur

[NULY 2 5 1980

Dock et No. 50-289

Ms. Eleanor Vieweg 2910 Pacific Avenue Wall, New Jersey 07719

Dear Ms. Vieweg:

I am writing in response to your recent letter to President Carter which was forwarded to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). In your correspondence, you expressed concerns regarding the delay in placing Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1, back into service, the rate structure prescribed for the Metropolitan Edison Company, and the cleanup of Unit 2.

As you may be aware, the NRC has ordered that a public hearing be conducted to determine whether TMI-1 should be operated and, if so, under what conditions. The public hearing is scheduled to begin this fall. During the hearing, the technical issues appropriate to assure public health and safety will be addressed. The NRC staff is currently involved in the on-going review of technical information concerning the restart of Unit 1. Based upon the current status of the proceedings, the development of a record on which the NRC can make a decision regarding restart is not expected before the early part of 1981.

The Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (PUC) issued on May 23, 1980, a sequel to the PUC's June 19, 1979 Order regarding the allocation of the financial burden resulting from the March 28, 1979 accident at Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit No. 2. The following excerpt from the May 23, 1979 Order may be of interest.

"The basic conclusion of the Commission in this order is that Met Ed should continue to operate as a public utility. The Commission will provide Met Ed the means of financial rehabilitation. However, we will write no blank checks on its ratepayers. We find that TMI-1 is no longer used and useful and that the base rates of both Met Ed and Penelec should be reduced. This order, with its provisions for a fully current recovery of energy costs and an accelerated amortization of deferred energy costs provides an adequate framework for Met Ed's recovery. Respondent must convince its bank creditors that it has the will and the ability to rehabilitate itself.

Above all, Met Ed must demonstrate candor and a willingness to address its problems and the initiative and ability to find solutions to those problems. The very real fears and concerns of its customers and neighbors must be allayed. Met Ed's cost must be reduced through load management and conservation-inducing rate structure change. Met Ed must aggressively pursue the return to service of TMI-1 or an early decision on its conversion to the use of an alternative fuel. If these things are done, the Commission is confident that Met Ed will not only survive but will regain its financial health.

Finally, we emphasize that this order does not end our regulatory concern. The management investigation of the GPC Companies at Docket No. I-79080320 continues. Further, we will continue to closely monitor the operations of Met Ed, Penelec and the GPU Companies to assure the continued provision of safe, adequate and reliable service to Pennsylvania ratepayers at reasonable rates."

While we are, of course, concerned about financial impacts on consumers, the NRC's primary responsibility is the assurance of public health and safety. State public utility commissions and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission have primary responsibility regarding the financial aspects of electric power generation.

Your comments and interest in these matters are appreciated.

Sincerely,

Robert W. Reid, Chief

Operating Reactors Branch #4

Division of Licensing

Enclosure: NUREG 0662, Volumes 1 & 2