Heidman Datu Suit Uttached TOLEDO EDISON

RICHARD P. CROUSE Vice President Nuclea (419) 259 5221

080 0 1177

June 27, 1980 Docket No. 50-346

Serial No. 1-148

License No. NPF-3

Mr. R. F. Heishman, Chief Reactor Operations and Nuclear Support Branch U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Dear Mr. Heishman:

Toledo Edison acknowledges receipt of your June 5, 1980 letter (Log. No. 1-377) and enclosures, Appendix A and Report 80-14, referencing apparent deviation from Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station Unit 1 connitment to the NRC listed as a "Deficiency" in Appendix A.

Following an examination of the item of concern, Toledo Edison herein offers information regarding the item of non-compliance.

Deficiency Technical Specification 3.3.3.8 requires the fire detection instrumentation to be operable at all times, or to establish within one hour a fire watch patrol.

> Contrary to the above, on April 19, 1980, while in Mode 6, power was lost to the fire detection instrumentation at 1400 hours and a fire watch patrol was not established until approximately 1700 hours.

The immediate corrective action taken was to establish a **Response:** fire watch patrol at 1700 hours on April 19, 1980, and maintain it until the power was restored. To avoid a repetition, the Operations Engineer wrote a memo (M80-1287) to all Operations Personnel advising them that all efforts must be made in the future to ensure a fire watch is established as required by Technical Specifications. Full compliance was achieved when the fire watch was established

THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY EDISON PLAZA

3007310536

Docket No. 50-346 License No. NPF-3 Serial No. 1-148 Page 2

Response: (Continued) at 1700 hours on April 19, 1980.

At the time of the deficiency, as described in our LER #80-029 (NP-32-80-06), restoration of Decay Heat Removal System flow and restoration of power were the primary concerns of Operations Personnel.

Yours very truly,

RALman

RPC/TDM/DLM/mdb