WINDUNAL

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

PUBLIC MEETING

AFFIRMATION SESSION 80-31

Nuclear Regulatory Commission Room 1130 1717 H Street, N.W. Washington, D. C.

Thursday, June 26, 1980

The Commission met, pursuant to notice, at 10:37 a.m. BEFORE:

JOHN F. AHEARNE, Chairman of the Commission

RICHARD T. KENNEDY, Commissioner

VICTOR GILINSKY, Commissioner

JOSEPH HENDRIE, Commissioner

PETER A. BRADFORD, Commissioner

NRC STAFF PRESENT:

LEONARD BICKWIT

SAMUEL J. CHILK



25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

. 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

. 19

20

21

22

20024 :2023 554-2345

D. C.

REPORTURE BUILDING, VASHINGTON,

5.11.

ING TTH STREET,

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

. 19

20

21

22

25

## PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Sam.

MR. CHILK: The first item is 80-153, which is advanced notice of proposed rulemaking on reactor siting. It concerns a Federal Register notice and the requirements memo which were circulated to Commissioners. The Chairman and Commissioners Kennedy and Hendrie have approved the version of the requirements memo and the FRN, while Commissioner Gilinsky and Bradford approved the original paper. They have not commented on the latest version of the requirements memo and it's my understanding that they --

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Would you stop at this? Sam, why don't you start again?

MR. CHILK: The first item is 80-153, which the advanced notice of proposed rulemaking on reactor siting. It deals with a staff requirement memo and a Federal Register notice. The Chairman and Commissioners Kennedy and Hendrie have approved both items. Commissioners Gilinsky and Bradford, although they approved the original paper, 80-153, they have not commented on the latest version and the version that is being presented for affirmation. It was my understanding that both offices would like a short discussion of the matter if it were to be affirmed today.

COMMISSIONFR BRADFORD: I've been working on another this morning. I'm not even prepared for discussion. Why



don't you just go ahead and affirm. I won't participate.
I'm not ready.

MR. CHILK: You will not participate? Commissioner Gilinsky, would you express your view?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: There were two items in that paper.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Advance notice and requirements

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I was going to say there were two items in the paper altogether that I took -- at least one I took issue with. And I believe there is a statement to that effect attached to the --

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I am assuming that that statement is in there. It was the other part that I'm not prepared to discuss.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: It's in the body.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: And the other one. I haven't felt my way through the proposal and what I would support is the original -- I guess it was item j. And if there's any question about how it interacts with the authorization bill, I would simply say throw in the words consistent with the law or the bill. Or soon to be law. That would be my proposal. In effect, still sending out for comment on the point.

COMMISSIONER KENNED : I could not understand you.



COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: The original -- if I recall correctly -- the original paper dealt with the issue of the implication of the new rules to reactors at existing sites by proposing that the public comment on how the agency might deal with this problem. I would stick with that proposal not necessarily because I disagree with the proposal that John made, but because I'm not sure that I fully understand it. I feel a little more comfortable at this point in going on for comment.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: Here's my understanding with regard to other elements of the advance notice that these do. I was not aware of any substantive difference among us.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: On the others?

COMMISSIONER HANDRIE: On the rest of it.

MR. CHILK: I have three votes for the proposition as outlined. Would the Commission affirm their votes.

(A chorus of ayes.)

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I'd affirming my comment.

MR. CHILK: And Commissioner Bradford not partici-

pating.

The second item, at least as shown on the schedule, is 80-56, which is an anti-trust determination of significant changes, in which the Commission majority, consisting of the Chairman, Commissioners Kennedy, Hendrie and Bradford have



approved a memorandum and order that was circulated to you on the 25th. We'do not have a vote from Commissioner Gilinsky.

COMMISSIONER GILINGEY: I'm going to abstain from that one.

MR CHILK: He's abstaining from that.

Commissioner Bradford, although he has approved it, did note that he preferred a change on a paragraph on page 15. Would you please affirm your votes on that?

(A chorus of ayes)

MR. CHILK: The third item if 80-223 -- promulgation of amendments generally extended the time for Commission action in which the majority of the Commission has approved the final rule. Commissioners Gilinsky and Bradford, although they concurred in the rule as it is being presented and circulated on the 25th, would have preferred to have adopted the staff recommendation which was somewhat broader. Would you please affirm your votes?

(A chorus of ayes.)

MR. CHILK: The fourth item deals with A-80-82, with respect to amending the rules of general applicability for the conduct of adjudicatory proceedings in 10 CFR, part 2. The Commission approves this by a vote of three to two, with the Chairman, Commissioner Kennedy and Hendrie approving, Commissioner Bradford and Commissioner Gilinsky dissent.

COMMISSIONER GIT.INSKY: This is the Erwin facilities?

2

3

4

5

6

7 1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

MR. CHILK: This is one of the two Erwin matters.

COMMISSIONER CILINSKY: Mr. Bickwit?

MR. BICKWIT: To exempt from subpart G of part 2 those adjudications relating to military and foreign affairs functions.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: This is a general exemption or specific?

MR. BJCKWIT: It is a general exemption.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: And it is being put into effect immediately?

MR. BICKWIT: It is being put into effect immediately.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Without notice or comment?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I have three paragraphs I would like to attach to my no.

MR. BICKWIT: That is right.

MR. CHILK: I understood you were writing a dissent.

And I understand Commissioner Bradford is also dissenting.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Yes. I assume the dissents will be attached.

MR. CHILK: The dissents will be attached.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Mr. Bickwit, may I ask you a legal judgment since I've read at least one of the dissents. Is this a legal action you're taking?

MR. BICKWIT: Yes. I believe they are -COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Do you think it's an honest

action?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

25

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I don't.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'm just asking if coursel

thinks it is.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: An honest?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Well, it says here the decisions are both dishonest and disrraceful. Dishonest and disgraceful. Disgraceful I will accept, but dishonest upsets me.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I will change dishonest to dishonorable.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That's slightly different. It's more in the line of disgraceful. It's a value judgment. Now it is not an assertion of conduct, which might even be libelous. But that is up to you.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: The current draft reads dishonorable.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: In my view that will make a vast improvement in the general tenor of the comment and relieve me of the question of whether it is libelous.

MR. BICKWIT. I'm glad you thought to relieve me of that.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I thought you would feel better if I didn't as' it of you.

MR. CHILK: We have a majority Commission approving



3

4

5

7 1

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

21

22

the immediately effective rule with two dissents. Will you please affirm your votes?

(Three ayea)

MR. CHILK: The last item also deals with Erwin. It is A-80-41a, in which a majority of the Commission approves a memorandum, and order and notice of hearing with respect to Erwin. Again, the majority, consisting of the Chairman, Commissioners Kennedy and Hendrie. Commissioners Gilinsky and Bradford both dissenting.

Would you affirm your votes on that? CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: I would like to ask General Counsel the same question. Is this a legal action? MR. BICKWIT: I do believe it is. Yes.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Let me just ask you if it's inconsistent -- not this one -- with the advice that your office has rendered? Did you say that the Commission should proceed in this way?

MR. BICKWIT: We have said that we believe that --COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: Never mind. I will not put you in this position. I will just make the papers public. CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Fine.

MR. CHILK: I have a majority of votes approving the amendment.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Well, if we're going to make three decisional matters public, I have a large file of

2

3

4

7

8

9

11

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

interesting papers which I thought I might like to make public too. Since we seem to have no rules any more, I guess it doesn't matter. Is that correct, Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: I think our rules would apply, but individual Commissioners have already had a certain amount of --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Flexibility to flagrantly ignore them?

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: I wouldn't say that. I would 10 | say they have their own responsibilities.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Is is only a matter of one's 12 | view, how to proceed.

MR. CHILK: We have a majority of the Commission approving a memorandum, an order and a notice of hearing. On the matter could I ask you to affirm your votes, please? (Three ayes.)

(Thereupon, at 10:49 a.m., the hearing was adjourned.)

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Th	-	5	1	S	t	0	C	er	<b>t</b> 1	£	y	53	na	t .	ti	:e	8	tt	ac	'ne	ed	0.	0	Ce	ec	in	<b>E</b> S	.0	ef:	ors	tr	e:e
in		23	i e	.:	a	tt	e	-	0:	:		Pu	ıb.	li	c l	Me	et	in	g ·	-	Af	fi	rm	at	io	n 5	Ses	si	on	80-	-31	
									Da	2 2	e	0	£	Pr	.04	e :	ed	1::	8:	_	2	6	Ju	ne	1	980	0					_
									Do	00	kε	t	И	u	o d	er	:_															
									P. :	a	C E	9 (	of	-	r	00	ee	di	n g	:		Wa	sh	in	gt	on,	, D	. (	c.			
we: th	r	9	in e c	e ] :	., 0	or	2	h	e: e	0 6.	111	. e	0.0	5,5	: ::	s e	, c	ar.	d Ei	53	3.	t o n	tn.	is	-	5	th	e .	or	- 6 -	nal	. :
																			A	lf	re	đ	н.	W	ar	d						
																				0:	9	ic	ia	1	Re	20	rt	er	٢.	IY P	ed)	
																			in .		C.	1	1			1		11	(j.)		1	
																			Of		10			2 4				1	< :			

POOR ORIGINAL

## DISCLAIMER

This is an unofficial transcript of a meeting of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission held on June 26, 1980 in the Commission's offices at 1717 H Street, N. W., Washington, D. C. The meeting was open to public attendance and observation. This transcript has not been reviewed, corrected, or edited, and it may contain inaccuracies.

The transcript is intended solely for general informational purposes. As provided by 10 CFR 9.103, it is not part of the formal or informal record of decision of the matters discussed. Expressions of opinion in this transcript do not necessarily reflect final determinations or beliefs. No pleading or other paper may be filed with the Commission in any proceeding as the result of or addressed to any statement or argument contained herein, except as the Commission may authorize.