

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

John F. Wolf, Esq., Chairman  
Dr. Oscar H. Paris, Member  
Frederick J. Shon, Member



In the Matter of )  
METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY, ET AL. ) Docket No. 50-320-OLA  
(Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, ) (Temporary Modification  
Unit 2) ) of License to Allow  
Purging of the Reactor  
Building)

TRANSCRIPT OF CONFERENCE CALL  
June 30, 1980

A conference call in the above-entitled matter was held beginning at 1:35 p.m. to discuss requests for a public hearing on the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's "Order for Temporary Modification of License" issued on June 12, 1980. The call was initiated by the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board appointed to rule on petitions and to preside over a hearing should a hearing be ordered:

John F. Wolf, Esq. Chairman  
Dr. Oscar H. Paris, Member  
Frederick J. Shon, Member

Other participants:

On behalf of the Licensee, Metropolitan Edison Company:

George F. Trowbridge, Esq.  
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge  
1800 "M" Street, N. W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036

On behalf of the Regulatory Staff:

Lawrence J. Chandler, Esq.  
Office of the Executive Legal Director  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

DS02  
S  
1/1

8007140006

Petitioners for a hearing, participating pro se:

Steven C. Sholly  
304 South Market Street  
Mechanicsburg, Penna. 17055

Karen Lee Miller  
The Tap Root Botique  
414 North Third Street  
Harrisburg, Penna. 17101

Mary H. Douglas  
2721 Mill Road  
Grantham, Penna. 17027

RECORDED CONVERSATION

CHAIRMAN WOLF: This conference call concerns the Commission's "Order for a Temporary Modification of License" and its "Memorandum and Order" both dated June 12, 1980. We intend for the call to be in the form of a prehearing conference.

First, before we get into that, I want to explain that the Board is recording this conversation, and we will make available, after it is over, the transcript of the recording. I take it no one has any objection to that.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: None from me - Mr. Trowbridge.

MR. CHANDLER: The Staff has no objection.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Right.

MR. SHOLLY: Mr. Sholly has no objection.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Right. Ms. Miller?

MS. MILLER: Tap Root doesn't mind.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: and Mary Douglas? Ms. Douglas?

MS. DOUGLAS: No objection.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Right. As you know, the Order said that a request for a hearing will not stay the effectiveness of the Order, and this poses a problem as to just how we shall proceed. We looked at the three petitions that have been filed, and we find in the ones filed by Mary Douglas and Karen Miller a request for a public hearing on the Commission's Order. It is not clear exactly what relief you are seeking in that connection. Are you seeking a temporary injunction? You, of course, know that the venting is, at least has started whether or not it is going on today. I'm not informed but it could well be, unless some action is taken or

some hearing is held immediately, almost, any results of the effort would come to nought. The problem would be mooted by the completion of the venting, and so I pose the question as to what relief you are asking. It seems to me that Mr. Sholly has made a formal demand for an adjudicatory hearing and that may well be. It may well be his idea that he would like a temporary restraining order. I'm not certain and the Board is not certain, and we want to have a discussion of this matter and attempt to set times, if we can, and lay out some course of action. Before we begin that, I would like to ask the other members of the Board: first, Mr. Shon, if you want to add anything at this time.

MR. SHON: No, I can think of nothing I would want to add right at the present.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: And Dr. Paris?

DR. PARIS: I have nothing at this moment.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Well, they can come in later after we hear discussion from the parties who have filed the petitions.

MR. CHANDLER: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Yes?

MR. CHANDLER: If I may, before the parties address the various correspondence that they have directed to one or more of the other, the Commission or the Licensee, I would like to note that I have, the Staff has received one letter directly from Ms. Miller.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Right.

MR. CHANDLER: We are also in receipt of a somewhat, just slightly different version of that same letter which apparently Ms. Miller directed, I think, to the Licensee, and this morning I obtained yet a third letter which is addressed to the Office of the Executive Legal Director. So I have three letters here before me, only one of which is served on the Legal Director, Office of the Legal Director.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Well in that regard let me say, Mr. Chandler, that I'm preparing a Memorandum and Order that will be published in the Federal Register that will point out that all of the petitions have to be filed in the usual manner, with Docket Section, and directed to us.

MR. CHANDLER: More important than just service, Mr. Chairman, we have, not until this morning, as a matter of fact within a half-an-hour - this afternoon really, obtained copies of Ms. Miller's third letter. I have just also received a copy of the Licensee's response to the letter of Mary H. Douglas, which is the first time I have seen this letter, appended to Licensee's response. And I also for the first time picked up a copy of Mr. Sholly's formal demand for an adjudicatory hearing prior to venting gases from Three Mile Island, Unit 2. Not having, having just walked into the office two minutes before the phone call, I find we have not had an opportunity to read the substance of these.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: Mr. Wolf? This is Mr. Trowbridge.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Yes?

MR. TROWBRIDGE: May I inquire whether the Board members, and, for that matter, the other parties on the phone received copies of our response to the letter from Ms. Miller?

CHAIRMAN WOLF: The Board did, yes.

MR. CHANDLER: The Staff has also. Indeed that's how the Staff became aware of the slightly different version of, one slightly different version of Ms. Miller's letter and Ms. Douglas' letter.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: We treated the letters as requests to the Commission to reconsider its Order, and looking at Mr. Sholly's...

CHAIRMAN WOLF: I am sure that it can read that way, but we have the parties on the phone now and I'd like them to give us their explanation and tell us, if they will, what relief they are going to seek. Also whether or not anyone of them is represented by an attorney, and whether or not they propose to submit affidavits in connection or support of their petitions. Would you start out Mr. Sholly, and explain what your position is, please?

MR. SHOLLY: Yes sir, I'm not really sure at this point which document you have before you because there have been a number of them on this issue, some of which originated with me and one or two of which may have originated with an attorney named Daniel Sheehan.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Yes.

MR. SHOLLY: I'm not really sure which one you have before you.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Well, the one I have, Mr. Sheehan signed down at the, after the name of Hossler: "by Daniel Sheehan".

I guess he signed for you, too, on the one I have.

MR. SHOLLY: I haven't seen the text of that, although I'm generally familiar with the intent there, and it was my intent to try, under whatever circumstances, to see if we could have a hearing on this discreet issue as soon as possible. Certainly it would have been my goal to have that before the venting started, which, of course, is a moot issue at this point. You may or, may not know that Mr. Sheehan had taken legal action on my behalf, and on behalf of a group from Middletown, to enjoin the Commission from permitting this venting to take place until after a 30-day comment period for a request for hearing had expired. Of course, the, their request had been turned down by the D.C. Court of Appeals.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Is this now the D.C. Court of Appeals upheld the Commission's Order to Vent. Is this something in addition to that Mr. Sholly?

MR. SHOLLY: As I understand it, yes. It's a request for a hearing pursuant to that Order.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Yes. Was that filed today or Saturday?

MR. SHOLLY: I believe that would have been filed Friday.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Friday? We do not have a copy of that, although we've been orally informed that such a filing was made.

MR. SHOLLY: It would seem to be somewhat pointless at this point. In fact, I pointed out that, that put in our petition to reconsider before the Commission that their Order to combine this issue with the tech specs hearing, because that hearing as near as I can tell won't take place until some time later this summer

or early fall, at which point any questions on the venting would be, as you said, moot.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Just a moment, I want to correct what I said. The document signed by Mr. Sheehan on your behalf and Mr. Hossler's behalf apparently is the formal demand for a hearing prior to the venting. Now, is that the only one, or is there another one?

MR. SHOLLY: I have not filed anything other than the request for reconsideration.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: I see. Alright.

MR. SHOLLY: Mr. Sheehan would have filed that on my behalf. I was aware that he was doing that, and I assume that it is in transit in the mail and I will have a copy of it in the next day or two.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Right.

MR. SHOLLY: We discussed the general idea set forth in the...

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Tell me, are you seeking a temporary injunction? Is that...

MR. SHOLLY: That's what we have sought, yes.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Well Mr. Sheehan, I'm sure, could advise you as to how you could proceed in that matter.

MR. SHOLLY: Yes. Well, we've been in contact today.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Yes. And it would require affidavits or some basis for a determination by the Board as to the validity of the request, and I think time is of the essence. If you are



going to do something, you've got to come forth within the next little while, it seems to me, if its to be effective.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Yes, Mr. Trowbridge.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: I think we all should make sure that we all understand the numerous pieces of paper. Mr. Sholly has filed with the Commission two pieces of paper. One early last week with the Motion for Reconsideration which the Commission acted on and denied on Thursday.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Yes. Right.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: The second is this one you have before you, filed, I believe, Friday evening.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Yes.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: In addition to that, as you may be aware, petitions for temporary restraining orders were filed with the Court of Appeals.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Yes.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: And the Court of Appeals denied that on Thursday. The Commission denied motions for reconsideration, rehearing and reconsideration on Friday. And the third request on Saturday. I just wanted to make sure of that.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Yes, I appreciate that. I think that helps because I must say we haven't several of the papers that you mentioned here. Well Mr. Sholly, what can you add to what you have already said regarding your intent here?

MR. SHOLLY: Very little, other than that the intent was to try and get the Commission to agree to a hearing before the

venting started which, as I've said already started. And if its going to be held, if its going to be meaningful at all it would have to be held very shortly. I'm not real sure what relief the Board could grant on that due to the nature of the Commission's Order which more or less requires that the hearing on the venting issue should be combined with the tech specs hearing.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Well, I don't think that they have to be joined as joint hearings. They are before the same Board. It seems to me the matter of delaying the venting is entirely different in nature from the other matters before us in the other hearing.

MR. SHOLLY: Well, if I understand it, the issue of the Board dealing with the delaying of the venting, I wasn't aware that the Board would have that authority.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Well, the..I guess the only way you could find out is to, if you want to make the request, you make it and we will see what we can do about it. We've been given jurisdiction in the matter.

MR. SHOLLY: O.K. We will do that as soon as possible.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: We will pass upon it as quickly as we have some evidence to pass upon.

MR. SHOLLY: O.K.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: But, I would think that you would have your attorney attempt to draw up the necessary papers, if that's what you are seeking, and get them to us so that we can make a judgment as to whether or not such relief as you desire can be granted.

MR. SHOLLY: Well, sir, I'll take care of that as soon as we are off this call.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Now, Karen Miller, what's your position in this matter, in the light of the discussion I've had with Mr. Sholly?

KAREN MILLER: It was from a personal point of view and concerned with friends living so close to the towers.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Well, I'm not asking you what generated your interest, but rather what you are seeking? What is it you desire this Board to do, since we've been given jurisdiction of the petitions that have been filed in this matter regarding the venting? Do I make it clear, or...

KAREN MILLER: When I wrote these letters, and the next day I talked to some folks that said, "Well, you know, you are causing more panic. People want the venting to happen so it goes away". I just want to know, you know, when it is, and if they need women to leave the area. It's something that is so unnerving that people are just pretty panicky around this area. It really got to you.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Well, yes, we're trying to be of service to you. The Commission has given us this responsibility and we are willing to hear what you have to offer, but if you feel that to continue would add to what you describe as the concerns of the people there, we respect that right too.

KAREN MILLER: You need a hearing to get to use the other process rather than venting it?

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Yes, we have to have a hearing or have evidence submitted in the form of affidavits, most likely, to support the plea for temporary halt of the venting until a more final or permanent injunction would issue.

KAREN MILLER: And so I must submit affidavits, if that is what I believe.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: You will submit affidavits, you think?

DR. PARIKH: She asked you a question. She asked if she had to.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Well, if you are going to continue it seems to me you've got to submit something other than the petition than the petition that you filed. We can't just act on the petition without more, what I'm trying to tell you, without some support for it. We would have to weigh it against what the Commission has done and what they've considered, and that sort of thing.

MR. SHOLLY: Mr. Chairman, this is Mr. Sholly. When you are speaking in terms of affidavits, now, would you be speaking of affidavits directing themselves to actual errors that may have been made, or additional information that was not considered? Is that the sort of thing?

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Yes, that's the sort of thing, yes.

MR. SHON: This is Mr. Shon. Bear in mind Mr. Sholly, and I think we might mention this for all of you who might be thinking of asking for some sort of injunctive relief, we would need to be able to see some evidence, preferably in addition to what the Commission has considered, because the Commission has considered this twice and has looked at many things that you have

submitted and decided that they were not grounds for delaying the release of the containment atmosphere. If there is anything that you have: recently developed facts, events at TMI, things that you know about that you can get some one to attest to or call our attention to. You would want to include those in any request to injunctive relief. Do you see what I mean?

MR. SHOLLY: Yes, sir. That explanation helps.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Well, Mary Douglas can we hear from you now?

MARY DOUGLAS: Yes, the thing that prompted me to write to you was hearing Dr. Franke; hearing of the great concern about particulates being released, vaporized and released, and released with the krypton, and apparently something of this sort happened on Sunday and caused the shutdown. It seems to me this is something new to be taken into consideration. Also, I began to think for the first time of the global effect that this will have. The krypton will have until 2050, the interaction with beta and gamma rays, and that those are new things that I had, that I had felt of the particulates because Dr. Carl Johnson told us, "We don't know what particulates were released on March 28 and 29 in '79 and we probably already have a lot of those in our food chain, and this release of particulates: carbon, cobalt, plutonium, cesium, strontium. This is of much more importance than the releasing of the krypton, although, if the release of krypton causes one extra cancer, that is bad. And so, I felt that we had almost come to the conclusion that if we would accept the released krypton and concentrate on not

having the water released with the tridium getting into the Susquehanna, that after hearing an expert talk by Dr. Franke, was convinced that you had not taken into enough consideration the release of these particulates which are going to get into our food chain. It means nothing that families of Met. Ed. people have come here for these days of venting. The time is going to be after these particulates get into our food chain. So that's the reason, it seemed to me, that it was important to make one last try.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Well, now, you could follow that up if you wish by submitting the affidavits that have been discussed here regarding both the happening, since you mentioned the happening at the beginning of the venting and the alarm, and the question of the particulates and whether or not they will carry with them a possibility of injury and so forth.

MARY DOUGLAS: Governor Thornberg had almost convinced us that UCS had said that there would be no direct affect, but when I heard Dr. Franke say that UCS had also said there is time to consider the alternatives of absorption or freezing, so...

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Well, is it your position, now, that you would like to request a hearing on a temporary injunction? Does that sum up what you are saying to me?

MARY DOUGLAS: I imagine by talking with Mr. Sholly I could learn more about how to do that.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Alright.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: This is Mr. Trowbridge.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Just a moment please, Mr. Trowbridge.

(Board consulting)

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Yes, Mr. Trowbridge?

MR. TROWBRIDGE: As I have mentioned before, I had, up to this point looked at all three documents as asking for Commission action essentially.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Yes.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: If they are to be looked at as a request to this Board to do something, then we will want to reply.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Yes.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: And we will want to reply to any affidavits that are submitted. I'm ready to move as quickly as possible, but we do need to formulate your full answers and produce affidavits some of which we already have, because of the Court of Appeals.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Now, Mr. Sholly, how soon do you think that you would be able to move.

MR. SHOLLY: I would hope to have 24 or 48 hours, if not sooner.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Are you going to, I take it from what Ms. Mary Douglas said that she's going to discuss the matter with you. Are we going to have a consolidation of the petitioners here? Is that...

MARY DOUGLAS: This is a new, this will be a new idea to Mr. Sholly. I'll talk to him about this.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Yes.

MARY DOUGLAS: But, I just know because of his engineering and his connection with the research center that he will know more about it than I do.

MR. SHOLLY: I guess there will be a possibility but, as she said, nothing's been discussed.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Yes. Very well. To the Staff, what is your observation at this point?

MR. CHANDLER: We have not yet responded to any of the letters. As I said, we had only received one. And the due date was yet to come. Our initial view was, I think, more along the lines that Mr. Trowbridge had indicated. That it was rather a request for a reconsideration of the Commission's Order rather than a request for a hearing under the Order for Temporary Modification of the License. If the individuals are going to request from their experts further documentation, it would seem that it would be more appropriate with the use of everyone's time if we were to await receipt of the further supplement set of petitions or affidavits before acting on any reply.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Well, I'm not, I know you have to look at them, but if we are going to move at all we have to move without delay. So its not a question of giving you five days or ten days. It's a question of getting in responses right away, and I think you have to be prepared to do that.

MR. CHANDLER: Oh sure, we're prepared to respond as expeditiously as possible, but, as I say, we haven't had an opportunity to read what has been submitted so far. That had just been



picked up within the last hour.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: I think that it is a matter that you have to spend the time tonight doing, you know.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: Yes, sir, we recognize that.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: It's not something that anyone can sit on their hands about. We feel similarly our responsibility to the Commission. The Commission has told us that we have this jurisdiction and we are going to see that we carry it out as expeditiously as we can. If you can't get in the reply, that will be your problem, not ours, you know.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: I didn't suggest we were going to have a problem, sir.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: O.K.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: This is Mr. Trowbridge again. I can assure you we'll answer things as promptly as possible. We will be able to move fast, but there is one point which we want to make very quickly. It is not our view that the Commission meant to confer on the Board that authority, to issue a temporary conjunction.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Yes, I understand, and you surely will get the opportunity to state your position thoroughly.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: Right.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Now I'm still anxious about the time. Mr. Sholly, is 24 hours long enough for you?

MR. SHOLLY: I certainly hope it is. It will be a matter of contacting a number of people. If we could get affidavits from them as rapidly as possible. We have letters, but, of course,

they are not sworn to or attested to. They would need to get them in the form of an affidavit. I don't want to present them to you if that's what's required. I could anticipate that it may take 2 or 3 days until we could get a hold of them.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: No, I don't think you have 2 or 3 days. Where are these people located, physically?

MR. SHOLLY: Do you mean Dr. Karl Morgan, at Georgia Tech; Dr. Irwin Bross of Roxville Park Memorial Institute in Buffalo and Dr. Franke, if we can get hold of him before he leaves the country?

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Well, all of those people's positions have been considered by the Commission, is that not true?

MR. SHOLLY: I don't believe that Karl Morgan's position has been considered.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Which, where is he from?

MR. SHOLLY: Georgia Tech, the Institute of Technology.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Well, I think, what I'm trying to convey to you is the fact that time is of the essence, and that if you wait a week, you know, the whole venting could possibly be over.

MR. SHOLLY: Yes, sir, I'm aware of that.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: And the question would be moot at that point, and we wouldn't be able then to decide anything at all, really.

MR. SHOLLY: I'm painfully aware of the time constraints.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: For us to provide you with the relief you request, you've got to submit enough evidence to convince us that there are grounds for such a temporary restraining order.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: Mr. Sholly, this is Mr. Trowbridge again.

MR. SHOLLY: Yes, Mr. Trowbridge.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: We offer, Mr. Sholly, our services in getting documents serviced. If you will get the documents to John Wilson, Mr. Sholly, he will see that we get them in a hurry, and I will offer to be a courier as well, to get them to the Board. We will reproduce it and, are all the Board members in Washington?

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Yes, they are.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: You get them to John Wilson, Mr. Sholly, and we will distribute them by messenger to the Staff and to the Board.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: That will be helpful, Mr. Trowbridge. We appreciate your cooperation in that regard. What about a possible date for a hearing?

MR. SHOLLY: Would this be a hearing on the request for a temporary halt of venting?

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Yes. We have the structure, that we have to get the papers together, and get filed responses, and so forth. But I think all of you are aware of the necessity of moving as quickly as possible, if this proceeding is to have any meaning at all. And I appreciate very much the willingness of each one of you to do your best and cooperate. We could have a call later in the week, perhaps Wednesday, to see if we can come up with a date at that time. Do either of the Board members want to make a comment?

MR. SHON: No, I don't think so.

DR. PARIS: No, I don't.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Anyone else have any comments at this time?

MR. CHANDLER: This is Mr. Chandler. We have nothing to add. I would comment that we also, as I indicated earlier, have a logistic concern, and that we do have Staff at the site who must be consulted before completing any responses to the affidavits that might be served, and we will make every effort to expeditiously file responses to them.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Can you tell me, Mr. Chandler, if the venting is going on now?

MR. CHANDLER: I understand there has been some venting today.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: That is also my understanding.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Is it going to go on the rest of the week, or depend on the weather, or what?

MR. CHANDLER: Yes, depending on the weather; if suitable meteorology is available they will continue to vent.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: And how about over the 4th of July weekend?

MR. CHANDLER: I do not know explicitly, perhaps Mr. Trowbridge may have the...

MR. TROWBRIDGE: I don't know explicitly but I am making the assumption that they will vent at any time the weather permits.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: O.K. Well I...

MS. MILLER: I hope that they don't vent on the 4th of

July because there's an awful lot of people going to be on the banks of the Susquehanna River, and I wish that people would be told when it is going to happen on the radio, press releases and people just want to be informed, want to know when they should drive 50 miles away. A lot of people can't because they can't afford it. That's why I wondered about funding for pregnant women and infants that are here.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Can we set up a call for Wednesday at this time? 1:30 p.m.?

CHAIRMAN WOLF TO BOARD MEMBERS: Would that be alright with you?

BOARD MEMBERS: Fine.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: 1:30 on Wednesday afternoon, if you will all hold yourself in readiness, we'll put through a call to you.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Pardon me.

(Board consulting)

MR. TROWBRIDGE: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Yes?

MR. TROWBRIDGE: What is going to happen with our July 7 prehearing.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Oh. This morning I denied your motion, Mr. Trowbridge, and it is in the process of being sent out now. So the hearing will go on as far as that...

MR. TROWBRIDGE: The hearing will go on on July 7th?

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Yes.

(Board consulting)

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Well, I guess you know that we ask that the parties be available on the 8th also.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: No, I did not know that.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: In the order setting up the hearing it says that, "if necessary", if it is necessary. Well, I take it then that we'll be able to communicate with you at 1:30 on Wednesday and everyone will move as quickly as they can on their assignments between now and then?

MR. SHOLLY: That's fine with me.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Mr. Chandler?

MR. CHANDLER: That's acceptable, sir, yes.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Mr. Trowbridge?

MR. TROWBRIDGE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Ms. Douglas?

MS. DOUGLAS: (no answer)

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Ms. Miller?

MS. MILLER: (no answer)

MR. SHON: Ms. Miller? Ms. Douglas are you still with us?

MS. MILLER: Yes, I can be reached on Wednesday. I guess Ms. Douglas hung up.

CHAIRMAN WOLF: Oh. Very well. Alright, thank you very much.

FINAL CHORUS: Thank you.