

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

June 19, 1980

COMMISSION DETERMINATION REGARDING PUBLIC DISCLOSURE UNDER THE GOVERNMENT IN THE SUNSHINE ACT OF:

Transcript of Discussion of Tarapur

Export License
Tuesday, May 13, 1980

Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.108(c), the Commission, upon the advice of the General Counsel has determined that the subject transcript should be released to the public. Λ

Samuel J. Chilk Secretary of the Commission

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

(CLOSED MEETING)

DISCUSSION OF TARAPUR EXPORT LICENSE

Nuclear Regulatory Commission Room 1130 1717 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

Tuesday, May 13, 1980

The Commission met, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 a.m.

BEFORE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

COLDSTEIN

is

JOHN. F. AHEARNE, Chairman of the Commission

VICTOR GILINSKY, Commissioner

PETER A. BRADFORD, Commissioner

JOSEPH M. HENDRIE, Commissioner

NRC STAFF PRESENT:

LEONARD BICKWIT

HOWARD K. SHAPAR

JOHN STEPHENS

J. SHEA

J. DEVINE

20

21

22

23

24

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: This is a meeting on the discussion for Tarapur export license. First I would like to vote to hold on less than one week's notice. (Chorus of ayes.)

Second I would like to vote to close it on exemption I, classified information. (Chorus of ayes.)

MR. BICKWIT: You might want to consider closing it on the basis of Exemption 9B also. I am not recommending that but let me point out the practical distinction. If you close it on the basis of classified information the transcript will be made available in its entirety with the exception of the discussion of the classified information and it will be made available as promptly as practicable.

If you close it on the basis of 9B, I believe you can hang on to that transcript until the conclusion of the debate on this issue in the Congress.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: Or such other time as the Commission may set.

MR. BICKWIT: I don't recommend it in that I think it is a close legal question though I think you can do it but from my vantage point -- I don't have a good vantage point on the recommendation because I am not clear on what the Commissioners want to discuss in this session and the Commissioners may want to make use of that exemption depending on how they see the discussion going.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: I propose we just add it to the list of bases for closing the meeting and I think in part one of the thing -- I would hope our views here are not greatly different and that we might be able to set here a direction both

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

for drafting guidance to you and a understanding as to the sort of approach that we will take in testimony eventually on the Hill, because I have no doubt that we will, in Gods good time, testify on this subject all over the other end of town.

I think it would be reasonable then to preserve the discussion here which I hope will lay the basis for that until after that testimohy is given.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Is 9B the one one uses for that testimony?

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: That is all right with me. CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Also add 9B, then.

The main purpose I think is to try to see where we are, to -- as Joe said -- aim at giving some guidance to perhaps general counsel and to I guess get some sense of what additional work, if any, has to be done by any of the staff, Commission staff or Jim Shea for example, or Howard.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: Are you willing to come reasonably rapidly to grips, John?

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: I was about to say what I was going to do.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: I was prepared to say what I was going to do.

CHAIRMAN AHPARNE: I will circulate and give to general counsel a short memorandum and order which I had drafted which essentially says we can no longer find that these license applications meet the criteria and we refer them to the President.

Then I guess it is about a two page -- my own comment -- which essentially says that although last time around I find I could,

I can no longer.

COMMISSIONER GALINSKY: Where does that leave you on

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: I disagree with the Executive Branch interpretation on 128, that the March 28 deadline meant only --COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I presume your order covers that.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: The order says -- I am suggesting none of +hese are met, the criteria are not met. It does not meet the criteria.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Well, I think you have to say 127, 128 -- 128 adds a criteria.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: What I am saying is it does not meet the criteria in 109, 127 and 128.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I guess that's where I come out.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: That is where I come out too and my inclination is as I commented to one of you, is to reject in as general a fashion as possible the State Department's thrust on the dates, on the 128. I can't buy that but I see no reason to be obnoxious about not accepting the argument either, and then simply to say that I don't find that, I don't find that I can find the criteria met and pass it on in effect without prejudice to the President forwhatever he may want to do in view of the much broader set of things that he has to take account of in whatever he may do.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: The law allows him to waive both sets of criteria. He would have to make separate exemptions I guess.

MR. BICKWIT: He would not have to waive 128 criteria

under a separate rationale.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I think the rationale is the same but he would have to separately waive, wouldn't he?

MR. BICKWIT: No. He can choose not to waive under the separate provision and treat the 128 criterion as any other criterion.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: In other words just say --MR. BICKWIT: Just say send it to Congress, the criteria have not been met according to NRC. 128 should be considered in the same manner.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: Just as all the others, the 7th criterion

MR. BICKWIT: Right.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: So he makes one finding.

MR. BICKWIT: He has that option.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Does he have two possible courses?

MR. BICKWIT: He did before the NRC acts. He could waive the 128 criteria in a way that NRC would not even be permitted to consider it.

CHAIRMAN AH EARNE: Isn't it that before we act, since our time has run out, he could just take it from us and say the NRC has not acted, therefore he is now acting?

MR. BICKWIT: That is right. But with respect to the specific waiver provision on 128, he clearly, prior to NRC action or inaction, has the authority to just drop the 128 criterion from the picture.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: In which case we could not consider it.

2

3

4

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

MR. BICKWIT: That is right, either directly or in your inimicality judgment. Whether what you are saying is he now has the option to use that provision, I am not clear.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: But he can simply say I find that whatever the law requires pecifically is met, therefore the export can move forward.

MR. BICKWIT: I would take his not having used that special waiver provision as an indication that he does not intend to on this export and will simply treat the 128 criteria as the seventh criterion.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: If he formally drops the 128, Congress would use that determination separately from the license.

MR. BICKWIT: Not separately from the license, but there are different rules. There are different practical conse- " quences associated with congress's overriding or failure to override.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Independent of any of those -- the President has the option -- I don't believe those options are that significant to us either.

MR. BICKWIT: I don't believe they are.

MR. SHAPAR: Have you all said up to now that the criteria have been met or you are unable to find that they have been met? I think the 19 wording has political consequences and sensitivities.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Presumably you use the language of the law.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: I am unable to find it.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Peter, I notice you had large tomes there of of being brought back.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: To re are two matters. There is the fuel shipment. We also have a bunch of components.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: I want to talk about the components.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: On the fuel the direction you suggested seems perfectly all right to me although I want to see the order, of course, but the basic direction ---

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: Is it possible to build into the order just an outline of some of the basic reasons ---

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Why don't we simply attach general counsel's brief. I would propose you have a two paragraph order that everyone can agree on quickly. In fact since Commissioner Kennedy is not here why don't I say that we should be able to do this today.

(Laughter.)

CHAIFMAN AMEARNE: John, you I think were prepared to comment for Commissioner Kennedy.

MR. STEPHENS: I think the direction you are taking presents no problem for us. The only problem that I may have with timing is Commissioner Kennedy will have some additional views of his own to attach too. Whether or not I will have those today is at this point problematical -- I don't know. By Thursday I am sure I would have. By tomorrow probably. I would suspect each of you would want a little time to have read them and see if there is anything in them that prompts a comment from you.

I an hopeful to circulate that this afternoon.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: I would propose to treat both of the fuel packages in the same way. We have got two sets of fuel and I don't see any real reason to not treat them both at the same time.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: I think we ought to handle all we've got. We have two fuels and three components, and I think ---

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: There are more components now; Apparently State has just dredged up a new set of components.

MR. SHEA: Three sets of additional components just came in.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Can you explain to me what

criteria apply to the components?

MR. SHEA: Three criteria. Safeguards, peaceful uses and retransfer, but not the other two.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: In the same form they are in Section 127?

MR. SHEA: Right. Those are identical.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I would put them all in one package. I think I know what you are going to say, that they are a different sort of export.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: The staff has decided that they are not able to find, for the components, that the three applicable criteria are met. The one obviously -- no full scope safeguards.

MR. DEVINE: That does not apply to components.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: Just safeguards on the what?

Device for which the component is ---

MR. SHEA: That is right.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: What is it that fails the test then, inadequate assurances of no explosion?

MR. SHEA: On all three of these the uncertainty comes about because of the provisions of the Indian agreement and the uncertainty that that will endure in the future. At the present time they are applied but uncertainty in the future is the prospective application and that makes them doubtful, and a question from the Staff's view.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Isn't one of them for the research

4

7

8

10

11

12

16

17

18

20

23

25

facility: Bhabha or whatever, I found great difficulty in meeting the peaceful uses.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: One is for Bhabha Research Institute. That is a famous place.

MR. SHEA: John, correct me, all the ones we have before us are for Tarapur. The one for Bhabha is still with the Executive Branch, hasn't been approved.

> These are all Tarapur. COMMISSIONER HENDRIE:

MR. SHEA: Straight Tarapur. There are five total. Three just came in. We had two.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: Your argument then about the assurances is the one that because the Indian agreement says if we don't send fuel they feel they can breach even though we don't.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: It is not argument but it is that argument plus an element that was not present when it was 14 made before which the March 10 is now behind us and the conditions for cutoff are much more imminent.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: It is the old Indian agreement argument plus the post-March 10th. It seemed to me I had to look for some condition that was different than my situation on the last occasion since it seems to me I rejected that argument on the last occasion and I wondered why I now find it appealing.

MR. SHEA: Staff went through that same reasoning on the components in January and we had an analysis which said what we need here.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: On components I may attach a remark saying it is less clear to me that the criteria -- that

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

I would be mable to find a criteria met for the components -there does seem to me a trifle of a nasty element to it. We sold
them the reactor and now we don't want to send them spare parts
that might allow them to run it safely. That seems a trifle
dog-in-the-marger issue. Nevertheless the law is the law.

COMMISSIONER BRADFCRD: The point is the components then also go to the President who if that reasoning appeals to him ---

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: Really, one of the incentives is to firm up this package with all deliberate haste and move it along rather than have it just ---

MR. SHAPAR: 128 does not apply to the components.

CHAIRMAN BRADFORD: But the Presidental waiver as to **

the 127 criteria is in all respects the same for the components
as it is for the fuel. Your opinion then it is in shape to
be circulated?

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Yes. When I did it I just had two sets of the components. I did not have these other three that have just come over.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: This is just a straight forward order, two pages or something.

CHAJRMAN AHEARNE: Yes.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I would think we could circulate that pretty fast.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Right.

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: It seems to me each of us can attach a page or two or whatever. John said something about we may object to having a comment on that. It seems to me in a way that that time has passed. We have been through all these things

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

and laid out the criteria and a way of looking at the problem and the question comes down to whether the criteria laid out formulating the problem conceptually are met or are not met, given what has occurred. That is something each of us has to decide for ourselves, but I don't expect there will be a lot of back and forth on that.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: I don't see any reason why ---

MR. STEPHENS: I didn't mean to invite comment. I just wanted you to know you had opportunity.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: Dick is not going to be back for ---

MR. STEPHENS: Until next Wednesday.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: But we ought to be able to get his comments is what you are saying by tomorrow?

MR. STEPHENS: I am not saying the Commission ought to be waiting to vote or to get an issue by then.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: My point is I would like to -- I know I will have mine ready by this afternoon or first thing tomorrow because there are about three pages and I just need to tune it a little more. I would like if possible to get the whole thing out by Thursday but I don't know. How about you guys?

COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: It is all right with me.

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: I have no plans to either

do a separate comment -- I may change my mind but it won't be

anything that can't be done by Thursday.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: We could target on I guess it would be an affirmation or just meet to vote and lay out papers together either Thursday afternoon or Friday morning.

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

1 COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: It is just a laying of the papers together. Since this is a delegated function I am not sure that we technically have an item to affirm. MR. BICKWIT: I think this is an action of the Commis-4 sion. What is delegated is issuance of the license. 5 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Let me understand. Is Commissioner Kennedy in accord with the conclusions of this two-page order which no ; of us have seen? PHENS: To the extent everyone else can commit 8 to it I think 1 mit him to it. COMMISSIONER GILINGKY: Assuming it has what we think it 10 has in it. 11

MR. BICKWIT: I think I know the answer to this issue but I feel it ought to be raised. You have an inimicality judgment which can be the basis for an action to send the license to the President. I don't feel you are obligated to reach it. Nonetheless it is on the same footing as your judgments with respect to the criteria.

CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: I have not even gotten to that because I reached the conclusion I couldn't find the regular set of criteria met so therefore that ---

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: I suspect from the President's standpoint it would be helpful if we didn't reach it.

> AHEARNE: I didn't find it necessary. CHAIRMAN

MR. SHAPAR: From the President's standpoint the easiest thing would be to knoc it down to the 128 without reaching the others.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: You have to go beyond that for the components and once you go beyond it for the components it sure applies to the fuel.

2

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: No, no. I think it very important to 3 get the whole thing. The reason State's letters were hustled over here was so we could deal with it as a package. I think it would be very poor to have to have the President going to Congress with a whole string of these things down through the summer. COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: There is another point as John pointed out. We have no notion what may happen. If the President, following the tinding on our part solely on 128 were to waive 128 we would have the whole thing back in our laps to do 127 anyway so we might as well have it done. MR. DEVINE: Components are probably more urgent than 12 fuel from a safety point of view. 13 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Is your paper public because I 14 saw something about it in Energy Daily? 15 MR. SHEA: It was unclassified. 16 COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: Is it in a public document? 17 MR. SHEA: It goes into the public document room. 18 MR. DEVINE: Unless there are compelling reasons not to put it in., it is three days after you receive it. COMMISSIONER GILINSKY: I don't have any particular 20 feeling about it. 21 MR. BICKWIT: That is the practice on staff papers. 22 MR. SHAPAR: On closed meetings? 23

specific to exports.

25

COMMISSIONER BRADFORD: More strongly.

MR. BICKWIT: You could delya your finding on the components.

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: On exports. It is a rule

MR. SHEA: No distinction -- close or open meetings --

just the the classified or not classified. COMMISSIONER HENDRIE: Specific to exports though. CHAIRMAN AHEARNE: Any other points (Thereupon, at 10:25 a.m. 'ne hearing was adjourned.) is ends 300 7TH STREET, S.W., REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345