

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS
POOR QUALITY PAGES

NOV 26 1976

Distribution

- NRC PDR
- Local PDR
- Docket File
- LWR #4 File
- DBVAsaallo
- EJWilliams
- SAVarga
- MService
- RPowell
- RHeineman
- DRoss
- JKnight
- HDeaton
- VAMoore
- RHVollmer
- MLErnst
- LE (3)
- ACRS (16)
- Wheike
- JRBuchanan
- TBAbernathy

Docket Nos. 30-329
and 30-330

Consumers Power Company
ATTN: Mr. S. S. Howell
Vice President
212 West Michigan Avenue
Jackson, Michigan 49221

Gentlemen:

MIDLAND PLANT UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2 QA PROGRAM

This letter is in response to your request for our review and evaluation of the proposed "Quality Assurance Program" and exceptions to specific QA Regulatory Guides and American National Standards Institute (ANSI) standards submitted with your letter dated November 9, 1976. Our staff has completed its review and evaluation of this proposed Quality Assurance Program for the Midland Plant. This QA program incorporates the QA Topical Reports of the Consumers Power Company (CPC-1A-Revision 4) and Decatur (DC-101-1 Revision 1A) which have been previously accepted by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the revised Babcock and Wilcox QA Topical Report (BAW-10096A Revision 3) which is expected to be found acceptable by December 30, 1976. The alternatives and exceptions to specific QA Regulatory Guides and ANSI standards described in your QA program submitted on November 9, 1976 have been reviewed and are acceptable. Based upon the expected acceptance of Revision 3 to the BAW-10096A topical report, we find that your proposed QA program for the Midland Plant Unit Nos. 1 and 2 which when fully implemented, will address each of the criteria of Appendix B to 10 CFR 50 in an acceptable manner. Thus, subject to the above, we find your proposed QA program submitted with your November 9, 1976 letter acceptable for the design and construction phase of the Midland Plant Unit Nos. 1 and 2.

Based on this review, we have concluded that implementation of the proposed QA program provided with your November 9, 1976 letter does not constitute a change to the principal architectural and engineering criteria which formed the basis for issuance of the construction permits for the Midland Plant, and that such implementation does conform to the General Design Criteria of Appendix A to 10 CFR 50.

OFFICE					
SERIAL	8006180735 A				
DATE					

Consumers Power Company

-2-

It is requested that you document the proposed QA program submitted with your November 9, 1976 letter by appropriate amendments to the Midland application and revisions to the Preliminary Safety Analysis Report.

Sincerely,

A. A. Vargo, Chief
Light Water Reactors
Branch No. 4
Division of Project Management

ccr
See next page

OFFICE	DPM/LWR 7A	DR - DPM 7	DPM/LWR 7B		
SURNAME	RPowell; pav	WBelke	SAVard		
DATE	11/24/76	11/24/76	11/24/76		

Consumers Power Company

- 3 -

Myron M. Cherry, Esq.
Jenner and Black
1 IBM Plaza
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Harold F. Reis, Esq.
Lowenstein, Newman, Reis & Axelrad
1025 Connecticut Avenue
Washington, D. C. 20036

Honorable William H. Ward
Assistant Attorney General
Topeka, Kansas 66601

Irving Like, Esq.
Reilly, Like and Schneider
200 West Main Street
Babylon, New York 11702

James A. Kendell, Esq.
135 N. Saginaw Road
Midland, Michigan 48640