Docket Nos. 50-329 and 50-330

> THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS POOR QUALITY PAGES

APPLICANT: CONSUMERS POWER COMPANY

FACILITY: MIDLAND PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2

SUMMARY OF MEETING WITH THE CONSUMERS POWER COMPANY (CPC) AND RECULATORY STAFF TO CONSIDER PROSEBLE MAXIMUM FLOODING (PMF) FOR THE MIDLAND PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2

BACKGROUND

At the time the construction permit (CP) was issued for the Midland Plant. Units 1 and 2, the staff raviewed and accepted the applicant's calculated Tittabawasses River PMF pask discharge of 262,000 cubic feet per second (cfs) and the associated stillwater elevation of 631.0 feet mean sea level (ms1). This level, plus that resulting from windwave action (not then determined) was to provide the design basis for PMF protection.

In Amendment 25, the applicant submitted a report entitled, "Probable Maximum Flooding Near the Midland Site" wherein proposed changes in calculational techniques yielded a postulated PMF discharge of 188,000 efs and an associated stillwater level of 625.7 feet mel at the plant site. The Regulatory staff reviewed Amendment 25 and conducted their own independent analysis using data requested by the staff and supplied by the applicant. The starf's analysis and results indicated that the applicant's proposed (Amendment 25) design basis stillwater level of 625.7 feet mel was not conservative, and furthermore, substantiated the original proposed probable maximum stillwater elevation of 631.0 feet mel as appropriate and conservative. The staff's position was sent to the applicant with the applicant subsequently requesting a meeting to discuss the Midland PMF. The meeting was held in Bethesda, Maryland on December 6, 1974 and the significant items and conclusions resulting from this meeting are summarized below. An attendance list for those participating (CPC, Beehtel, and Regulatory Staff) is provided in Enclosure 1.

DAM FAILURE

The applicant had evaluated the effect of four upstream dam failures at the time of PMF as input in their calculations for determining maximum water level at the plant site. The worst condition would be if the four dam levels were to fail sucessively downstream. To allow for a

OFFICE >	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
DATE		18065	7 1		67.535

N U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICEI 1874-528-188

Summery of Meeting with CPC

possible "domino" effect, the applicant had assumed total maximum storage behind all four dans would be treated as concentrated at the Sanford Dam furthest downstream. A Sanford Dam Failure mode was developed and a failure hydrograph (Discharge versus Time) constructed. The staff indicated that the applicant's approach to dam failure on the Tittabawassee River was conservative and acceptable.

COMBINED PROBABLE MAXIMUM FLOOD

The applicant stated that studies of the topography upstream of the plant had identified an extensive flood plain between the Sanford Dam and the plant site (See Enclosure 2). A PMF combined with a Sanford Dam failure would cause inundation of the flood plain area and analysis had shown both a reduced flow and stillwater level of 183,000 cfs and 625.7 feet msl, respectively.

The Regulatory staff stated that evidence available from very large floods in the Ohio and Mississippi River Basins indicated that flood plain areas do not act as reservoirs in determining downstream flows. Large floods tend to follow the original river channels downstream. The applicant was informed that a detailed flood analysis including a two-dimensional unsteady flow analysis between Sanford Dam and the plant site would be required to substantiate any reduction in the staff position for a PMF stillwater level of 631.0 feet mel. The staff indicated that they did not think a detailed unsteady flow analysis would significantly lower the PMF stillwater level at the plant site.

WIND WAVE RUN-UP CALCULATIONS

The applicant provided detailed maps and cross-sections for safety related structures. Based on this new information, the staff concluded that wind wave run-up at safety related structures would not be severe with the exception of the Service Water Pump house.

ALTERNATIVE ACTIONS

From the discussions between applicant and the staff, two alternatives were presented for the applicant's consideration.

OFFICE.	
SURNAME	
DATE	

Summary of Meeting with CPC

- 1. Accept the 631 foot mel elevation as the design basis stillwater level and evaluate the resulting wind wave run-up on each safety related structure (currently designed for waterproofing to elevation 63% feet msl) and provide either additional hardened flood protection or waterproofing as needed including a technical specification describing a safe shutdown procedure.
- 2. Provide a detailed flood analysis for an unsteady flow analysis between Sanford Dam and the plant site which would account for storage affacts in the designated flood plain area.

The applicant indicated that the would assess what course of action they would pursue and notify the staff in the near future.

Original Signed by

L. B. Engle, Project Manager Light Water Reactors Branch 2-3 Directorate of Licensing

Enclosures (2)

OFFICE*	x7886/LWR 2-3	
SURNAME	LBEngle: ra	Transition of
DATE	12/ /74	
Enem APC 319 / Par (CIV APPAR ON (A	

ENGLOSERS I ACCORDANCE LIST o. Recley B. Riviord J. O'Sullivan Ares Co Tearny Corression L. Entle A. S. S. Locar C. Tuci

ENGLOSURE 2

DISTRIBUTION OF MEETING SUMMARY

DATED DEC 1 3 1974

Consumers Power Company
Attn: Mr. S. H. Howell
Vice President
212 West Michigan Avenue
Jackson, Michigan 49201

Honorable F. Reis, Esquire Newman, Reis, Axelrad 1025 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Honorable William H. Ward Assistant Attorney General Topeka, Kansas 66601 Howard J. Vogel, Esquire Knittle and Vogel 814 Flour Exchange Building 310 Fourth Avenue South Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415

Irving Like, Esquire Reilly, Like & Schneider 200 West Main Street Babylon, New York 11702

Myron M. Cherry, Esquire Jenner and Block 1 IBM Plaza Chicago, Illinois 60611 James A. Kendall, Esquire 135 N. Saginaw Road Midland, Michigan 48640

Docket Files AEC PDR LPDR L Reading (M. Groff) LWR 2-3 Reading File VAMoore RCDeYoung DMuller KGoller. DSkovholt RDenise JStolz KKniel ASchwencer DVassallo OParr WButler BYoungblood WRegan GDicker GKnighton GLear RPurple DZiemann PCollins. WHouston RVollmer RIreland RClark

DEisenhut FSchroeder RMaccary HDenton RTedesco VStello JKnight SPawlicki LShao BGrimes WGammill MSpangler JKastner RBallard CLong GLainas VBenaroya TNovak TIppolito DRoss OGC RO (3) RS (3) EGoulbourne ACRS (16) LEngle JHulman TJohnson ASchreiber GTuri

SVarga