

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SEP 8 0 1976

CK3 FIJE

Docket Nos. 50-329 and 50-330

Consumers Power Company ATTN: Mr. S. H. Howell Vice President 212 West Michigan Avenue Jackson, Michigan 49201

Gentlemen:

FIRE PROTECTION EVALUATION - MIDLAND PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2

By my letter dated May 3, 1976, you were sent a copy of revised Standard Review Plan Section 9.5.1, "Fire Protection," dated May 1, 1976. This revised SRP 9.5.1 contained new guidelines for the NRC staff evaluations of fire protection in our review of nuclear power plant construction permit applications docketed after July 1, 1976. The letter stated (1) that to the extent reasonable and practicable the guidelines in the revised SRP 9.5.1 will be used by the staff in evaluating fire protection provisions of operating plants, applications currently under review for construction permits and operating licenses, and future applications for operating licenses for plants now under construction; and (2) that you would be kept informed of our progress as we developed more definitive criteria or acceptable alternatives for the application of the SRP 9.5.1 guidelines to the review of these plants.

Enclosure 1 is Appendix A to Branch Technical Position APCSB 9.5-1. "Guidelines for Fire Protection for Nuclear Power Plants Docketed Prior to July 1, 1976," which gives alternative guidance and criteria acceptable to the staff to be utilized in a re-evaluation of the fire protection provisions of the Midland Plant, Units 1 & 2.

We request that you conduct a re-evaluation of the fire protection program of your nuclear power plant and compare, in detail, the fire protection provisions currently proposed for your facility(ies) with the guidelines in Appendix A to Branch Technical Position APCSB 9.5-1. In order to begin such a re-evaluation, it is necessary that you perform a fire hazards analysis of your facility with the assistance

10/ 5 /76

DPM/LWR #4 Savarga 10/ 5 /76

and the technical direction from a qualified fire protection engineer. In order for us to evaluate your fire hazards analysis, we require that you submit the results of your fire hazards analysis in the form described in Enclosure 2, "Supplementary Guidance on Information Needed for Fire Protection Program Evaluation."

Your re-evaluation should:

- Identify the guidelines in Appendix A which are presently met and discuss how this is done;
- Identify the guidelines for which modifications, procedural changes, or enhanced training of personnel are underway or planned, such that the guidelines will be met, and the date you intend to meet Section B of Appendix A, "Administrative Procedures, Controls and Fire Brigade;"
- Indicate which of the guidelines you do not now meet or do not intend to meet in the future. For such items, you should provide a basis for your position; and
- 4. Provide proposed revisions to the Technical Specifications in the area of fire protection systems.

Please respond within 20 days of receipt of this letter to inform us when you will submit the requested information, forty (40) copies of which will be required for staff review.

This request for generic information was approved by GAO under a blanket clearance number 8-180225 (R0072). This clearance expires July 31, 1977.

Sincerely,

Roger S. Boyd, Director

Division of Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

 Appendix A to Branch Technical Position APCSB 9.5-1

 "Supplementary Guidance on Information Needed for Fire Protection Program Evaluation"

cc: See next page

Myron M. Cherry, Esq. Jenner and Black 1 IBM Plaza Chicago, Illinois 60611

Harold F. Reis, Esq. Lowenstein, Newman, Reis & Axelrad 1025 Connecticut Avenue Washington, D. C. 2003€

Honorable William H. Ward Assistant Attorney General Topeka, Kansas 66601

Irving Like, Esq.
Reilly, Like and Schneider
200 West Main Street
Babylon, New York 11702

James A. Kendell, Esq. 135 N. Saginaw Road Midland, Michigan 48640

IRC FORM 195	ON FOR PART 50 DOG		EGULATORY COMMIT	50-329/330
ro: R.S. BOYD	FROM:C	FROM:CONSUMERS POWER CO. HACKSON, MICHIGAN POWELL		DATE OF DOCUMENT 10-28-76
	TONELL		DATE RECEIVED	
DETTER DNOTOR			INPUT FORM	NUMBER OF COPIES RECEIVED
IRE BROTECTION PROGRAM AN IDLAND FIRE PROTECTION PROBLEM IN 1977 1 SIGNED CY. RECEIVED) 1 PAGE) PLANT NAME: MIDLAND AND STRIBUTION OF FIRE PROCESSES ASSIGNED TO VASSAL	ROVISIONS WITH APP JBMITTED BY SEPTEM 1 & 2 PTECTION INFO ON A LO PER H. SMITH 1	ENDIX BER LL 0-5-76		OWLEDGED OT REMOVE
	1	CTION/INFORM	ATION	SAB 11-2-76
CANCH CHIEF:	VASSALLO POWELL			
. ASST:	SERVICE			

VAL DISTRIBUTION

ANXLAXX SENT TO CAT"B"

CONTROL NUMBER

11015