

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION III 799 ROOSEVELT ROAD

GLEN ELLYN, ILLINOIS 60137

Docket No. 50-329, Docket No. 50-330

Consumers Power Company ATTN: Mr. Stephen H. Howell Vice President 1945 West Parnall Road Jackson, MI 49201

Gentlemen:

This refers to the inspection conducted by Messrs. K. R. Naidu, C. M. Erb, and R. J. Cook on August 30 to September 2, and 13-15, 1977, of activities at Midland Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2, authorized by NRC Construction Permits No. CPPR-81 and No. CPPR-82 and to the discussion of our findings with Messrs. T. C. Cooke, J. L. Corley, and others of your staff at the conclusion of the inspection.

The enclosed copy of our inspection report identifies areas examined during the inspection. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of a selective examination of procedures and representative records. observations, and interviews with personnel.

During this inspection, certain of your activities appeared to be in noncompliance with NRC requirements, as described in the enclosed Appendix A.

This notice is sent to you pursuant to the provisions of Section 2.201 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations. Section 2.201 requires you to submit to this office within thirty days of your receipt of this notice a written statement or explanation in reply, including for each item of noncompliance: (1) corrective action taken and the results achieved; (2) corrective action to be taken to avoid further noncompliance; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved.

In accordance with Section 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, a copy of this letter, the enclosures, and your response to this letter will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room, except as follows. If the enclosures contain information that you or your contractors believe to be

8006120

days of your receipt of this letter, to withhold such information from public disclosure. In publication must include a full statement of the reasons for which the in reation is considered proprietary, and should be prepared so that proprietary information identified in the application is contained in an enclosure to the application.

We will gladly discuss any questions you have concerning this inspection.

Sincerely,

R. F. Heishman, Chief Reactor Construction and Engineering Support Branch

Enclosures:

1. Appendix A, Notice of Violation

2. IE Inspection Report
No. 50-329/77-09
and No. 50-330/77-12

cc w/encl:
Central Files
Reproduction Unit NRC 20b
PDR
Local PDR
NSIC
TIC
Ronald Callen, Michigan Public
Service Commission
Dr. Wayne E. North
Myron M. Cherry, Chicago

OFFICE	RIII	RIII	RIIL	RIII/7716	RIII	RIII (77%)
SURNAME >		Ercm 6	Copy	Danielson	Hayes 1744	Heishman
DATE	10/3/77			14/3/27	10/4/27	10/4/27