Jerome S. Kalur, Esq. Jamison, Ulrich, Burkhalter

* Hesser

1425 National City Bank Building
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
In the Matter of Toledo Edison Company and The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station Docket No. 50-346

Dear Mr. Kalur:
This is in response to your letter of October 27, 1971, in which you advised that you wished to present opposing comments on the statement submitted to the Commission by the applicants in the captioned matter as to why construction should not be halted during our NEPA review. You also advised that your conments would be subraitted within 30 days from the date of your letter and requested that the Comission make no decision on the matter until your comnents were received and evaluated.
Your comments $x i l l$ receive careful consideration if you can submit them promptily so that we have them prior to car determination as to suspension vel non. In any event, you will have an opportunity, in accordance with paragraphs 4 (a) and (b) of Section E, 10 CFR 50, Appendix $D$, to submit your client's contentions and demand a hearing on the suspension question.

Sincerely,
(signed) L. Manning Muntzing
L. Manning Muntzing

Director of Regulation
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