

POOR ORIGINAL

DISTRIBUTION  
Docket File  
QAB Reading

Docket No. 50-346

SEP 6 1974

A. Schwencer, Chief, PWR Project Branch 2-3, L

STAFF POSITIONS: DAVIS BESSE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, QUALITY ASSURANCE

Plant Name:	Davis Besse Nuclear Power Station
Licensing Stage:	OL
Docket Number:	50-346
Responsible Branch and Project Manager:	LWR 2-3, I. Peltier
Requested Completion Date:	September 6, 1974
Description of Response:	FSAR Amendment
Review Status:	Awaiting Information

We have reviewed the Toledo Edison Company (TE) description of their Quality Assurance (QA) Program for Operations as presented in Amendment Number 22 in Section 17.2 of the FSAR for the Davis Besse Nuclear Power Station. TE did not include an acceptable description of a QA Program for Operations which satisfies all the requirements of Appendix B, 10 CFR Part 50. The areas of deficiency are as noted in the enclosed Position Statement.

TE should be requested to amend Section 17.2 of the FSAR for the Davis Besse Nuclear Power Station to satisfy the enclosed staff positions.

This additional information is required by October 14, 1974.

Richard H. Vollmer, Chief  
Quality Assurance Branch  
Directorate of Licensing

Enclosure:  
Position Statement

cc: w/o enclosure  
A. Giambusso  
W. McDonald

OFFICE ▶	w/enclosure	J. Gilray	R. Klecker	H. Thornburg (2)		
SURNAME ▶	J. Carter	D. Skovholt	I. Peltier	SCummins:bp	JGilray	RH Vollmer
DATE ▶	S. Cummins	D. Eisenhut	V. Moore	9/5/74	9/ /74	9/6/74

41-1

41.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE

The Toledo Edison Company (TE) has not adequately described their Quality Assurance (QA) Program for Operations for the Davis Besse Nuclear Power Station in Amendment Number 22, which revised Section 17.2 of the FSAR. TE is requested to amend Section 17.2 of the FSAR for the Davis Besse Nuclear Power Station to satisfy the following staff positions.

- 41.1(RSP)  
(17.2.1) We require TE to show the Station Review Board and the Company Nuclear Review Board on Figure 17-2 and indicate the reporting levels of both of these boards.
- 41.2(RSP)  
(17.2.2.1) We require TE to identify the management position responsible for the final review and approval of the Davis Besse QA Program and QA Manual.
- 41.3(RSP)  
(17.2.2.1) We require TE to describe those provisions established for communicating to all responsible organizations and individuals that quality policies, manuals, and procedures are mandatory requirements which must be implemented and enforced.
- 41.4(RSP)  
(17.2) We require TE to demonstrate the framework for the implementation of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, by cross referencing each QA procedure in Table 17-4 to the criteria of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B.
- 41.5(RSP)  
(17.2.2) We require TE to identify the individuals or groups responsible for reviewing and approving prior to use those QV/QC documents and procedures addressed in Section 17.2.
- 41.6(RSP)  
(17.2.10) TE has not adequately described the independence requirements imposed on inspection personnel. We require TE to fully describe the independence requirements imposed on those individuals or groups performing acceptance inspection or verification on safety related activities associated with major maintenance, modifications, and repairs and identify the group primarily responsible for performing this acceptance inspection.

POOR  
ORIGINAL