6-16-15

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE COMMISSION

In the Matter of

THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY and
THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING
COMPANY
(Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station,

THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY, ET AL.

(Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2)

and

THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY, ET AL. (Davis-Besse Power Station, Units 2 and 3) NRC Docket No. 50-346A

NRC Docket Nos. 50-440A 50-441A

NRC Docket Nos. 50-500A 50-501A

MOTION BY NRC STAFF TO AUTHORIZE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD TO CONSIDER AND RULE ON CONSOLIDATION

By Memorandum and Order dated January 21, 1974 1/2 the Atomic Energy Commission ("Commission") designated an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board ("Board") to assume jurisdiction and to rule on the petition of the City of Cleveland for a hearing and for leave to intervene in the above captioned Davis-Besse 1 proceeding. At the same time, the Commission denied the petition by American Municipal Power - Ohio, Inc. (AMP-O) for a hearing and intervention in the said Davis-Besse 1 proceeding.

The Commission also directed the Board to rule on all petitions to intervene filed in the above captioned <u>Perry</u> proceeding and to decide

1/ RAI 74-1, pp. 15-18.

whether consolidation of that proceeding with the <u>Davis-Besse 1</u> proceeding would be in order.

Pursuant to that delegation, the Board, on April 15, 1974, ruled as follows: (1) that a hearing was warranted in the <u>Davis-Besse 1</u> proceeding with the City of Cleveland as sole intervenor; (2) that a hearing as requested by the Department of Justice in its advice letter 2/was warranted in the <u>Perry</u> proceeding. Intervention in the <u>Perry</u> proceeding granted to the City of Cleveland and AMP-O. In addition, the State of Ohio's petition to participate as a matter of right pursuant to 10 CFR §2.715(c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice was also granted in the <u>Perry</u> proceeding.

By Memorandum and Order dated March 15, 1974, the Board concluded that in order "to eliminate waste of time and in order to reduce cost and duplication of effort, the Board agrees [with the parties] that consolidation should be effected" in the <u>Davis-Besse 1</u> and <u>Perry</u> proceedings.

By Board Order dated July 25, 1974 with respect to the consolidated

Davis-Besse 1 and Perry proceedings certain issues and matters in controversy
were admitted as issues in that consolidated proceeding.

II. DAVIS-BESSE 2 & 3

On April 9, 1975, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board was established to rule on petitions and/or request for leave to intervene in the <u>Davis-Besse Power Station</u>, Units 2 & 3 proceeding, Docket Nos. 50-500A, 50-501A.

^{2/} Letter from Hon. Thomas E. Kauper to Howard K. Shapar, Esq. dated December 12, 1972.

The Order designated the Board in the <u>Davis-Besse 2 & 3</u> proceeding with the same membership as the Board presiding over the <u>Perry</u> and <u>Davis-Besse 1</u> proceeding. By Order dated May 6, 1975 the <u>Davis-Besse 2 & 3</u> Board ruled that an antitrust hearing be held and granted petitions for leave to intervene filed by the City of Cleveland and the State of Ohio (the latter pursuant to 10 CFR 2.715(c)).

By Order dated May 22, 1975 and subsequent to a prehearing conference in which all the parties participated, the Board in the <u>Davis-Besse 2 & 3</u> proceeding adopted as the issues in controversy in that proceeding the identical issues as in the consolidated <u>Perry 1 & 2</u> and <u>Davis-Besse 1</u> proceeding. <u>3/</u> At that prehearing conference Staff indicated its intention to request the Commission to consolidate the <u>Davis-Besse 2 & 3</u> proceeding with the previously consolidated <u>Perry 1 & 2</u> and <u>Davis-Besse 1</u> proceeding.

III.

Accordingly, Staff notes that the <u>Davis-Besse 2 & 3</u> proceeding will address the identical issues, with essentially the same parties participating, and with the same Board membership as in the previously consolidated <u>Perry 1 & 2</u> and <u>Davis-Besse 1</u> proceeding. The Applicants for all of the units are the same (although the lead Applicant may be different) and counsel for each party remains the same in both proceedings.

^{3/} At the prehearing conference, all parties indicated that they were in a position to proceed in the <u>Davis-Besse 2 & 3</u> proceeding with the <u>Perry 1 & 2</u> issues, although certain objections to these issues were noted for the record.

It is Staff's position that these factors taken together constitute good cause for consolidation.

Accordingly, pursuant to 10 CFR Section 2.716 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, and in furtherance of the proper dispatch of the Commission's business and the ends of justice, Staff hereby respectfully moves the Commission to direct and authorize the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board to decide whether consolidation of the Davis-Besse 2 & 3 proceedings with the ongoing Perry 1 & 2 and Davis-Besse 1 proceedings would be in order.

Inasmuch as the discovery phase of the <u>Perry</u> proceeding is scheduled to be completed by July 1, 1975 with an evidentiary hearing to commence on October 23, 1975, Staff also requests that the Commission act on his Motion in advance of the July 1 date, if answers to this Motion have been filed by Applicants, the Department of Justice, the City of Cleveland, and the State of Ohio.

Respectfully submitted,

Benjamin H. Vogler Assistant Chief Antitrust

Counsel for NRC Staff

Roy P. Lessy, Jr. / Counsel for NRC Staff

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 16th day of June 1975.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE COMMISSION

In the Matter of

THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY and
THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING
COMPANY
(Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station,
Unit 1)

THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY, ET AL. (Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2)

and

THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY, ET AL. (Davis-Besse Power Station, Units 2 & 3) NRC Docket No. 50-346A

NRC Docket Nos. 50-440A 50-441A

NRC Docket Nos. 50-500A 50-501A

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of MOTION BY NRC STAFF TO AUTHORIZE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD TO CONSIDER AND RULE ON CONSOLIDATION, dated June 16, 1975, in the captioned matter, have been served upon the following by deposit in the United States mail, first class or air mail, this 16th day of June 1975:

Douglas V. Rigler, Esq.
Chairman
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
Foley, Lardner, Hollabaugh
and Jacobs
Schanin Building
815 Connecticut Avenue N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20006

John H. Brebbia, Esq. Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Alston, Miller & Gaines 1776 K Street N. W. Washington, D. C. 20006

Mr. John M. Frysiak
Atomic Safety & Licensing Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555 Melvin G. Berger, Esq. P. O. Box 7513 Washington, D. C. 20044

Docketing and Service Section Office of the Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

John Lansdale, Esq. Cox, Langford & Brown 21 Dupont Circle, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Joseph J. Saunders, Esq. Steven Charno, Esq. Antitrust Division P. O. Box 7513 Washington, D.C. 20044

Reuben Goldberg, Esq. David C. Hjelmfelt, Esq. 1700 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20006 Robert D. Hart, Esq. Department of Law 1201 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44114

John C. Engle, President AMP-0, Inc. Municipal Building 20 High Street Hamilton, Ohio 45012

Donald H. Hauser, Esq. Managing Attorney The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company 55 Public Iquare Cleveland, Ohio 44101

Leslie Henry, Esq. Fuller, Henry, Hodge & Snyder 300 Madison Avenue Toledo, Ohio 43604

Thomas A. Kayuha Executive Vice President Ohio Edison Company 47 North Main Street Akron, Ohio 44308

Thomas J. Munsch, Esq. General Attorney Duquesne Light Company 435 Sixth Avenue Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219

Wallace L. Duncan, Esq. Jon T. Brown, Esq. Duncan, Brown, Weinberg & Palmer 1700 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

David McNeil Olds Reed, Smith, Shaw & McClay Union Trust Building Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230

Frank R. Clokey, Esq. Special Assistant Attorney General Room 219, Towne House Apartments Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105 Edward A. Matto Assistant Attorney General Chief, Antitrust Section 30 East Broad Street, 15th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

George Chuplis
Commissioner of Light & Power
City of Cleveland
1201 Lakeside Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44114

Deborah Powell Highsmith Assistant Attorney General Antitrust Section 30 East Broad Street, 15th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

Christopher R. Schraff, Esq. Assistant Attorney General Environmental Law Section 361 East Broad Street, 8th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

Mr. Raymond Kudukis, Director of Public Utilities
City of Croveland
1201 Lakeside Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44114

Gerald Charnoff, Esq.
Brad Reynolds, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge
910-17th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Richard M. Firestone Assistant Attorney General Antitrust Section 30 E. Broad Street, 15th Floor

Roy PJ Lessy Jr. V Counsel for Nkc Staff