CETHON FILES

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION III 799 ROOSEVELT ROAD GLEN ELLYN, ILLINOIS 60137

MAR 4 1975

Docket No. 50-346

Toledo Edison Company
ATTN: Mr. Lowell E. Roe
Vice President, Power
Edison Plaza
300 Madison Avenue
Toledo, Ohio 43652

Gentlemen:

This refers to the special meeting held by Messrs. Keppler, Hunnicutt, and Hayes of this office with you and Messrs. Novak and Lenardson of your staff, and to our discussion with Mr. Williamson, at your corporate offices on February 12, 1975, relative to construction activities at the Davis-Besse sits authorized by Nuclear Regulatory Commission Construction Permit No. CPPR-80. This meeting was conducted in lieu of a formal management interview, following an inspection performed by Messrs. Sutton, Naidu, and Jablonski of this office at the Davis-Besse site on January 22 - 24, 1975, and documented in IE Inspection Report No. 050-346/75-01.

The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the effectiveness of the Davis-Besse Quality Assurance/Quality Control Program relative to the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B.

The meeting consisted of a review of the infractions listed under Enforcement Action in the Summary of Findings Section of the referenced report, a review of similar infractions identified during previous inspections at the Davis-Besse site over the past 12 - 18 months, and possible generic problems leading to these infractions. It was pointed out that, although none of the infractions taken individually is considered of a serious nature, collectively they indicate weaknesses in the Davis-Besse Quality Assurance Program. As discussed during the meeting, it is necessary that management action be taken promptly to assure that those personnel involved in the construction, fabrication, and installation of the Davis-Besse I plant understand the need for strict adherence to NRC requirements and the implementation of your quality assurance program as required by 10 CFR 50, Appendix B.

With regard to the issues raised during this meeting, we understand that you intend to: (1) review the effectiveness of the Bachtel Corporation project field and quality control engineers relative to



POOR ORIGINAL surveillance of contractor quality activities; (2) review and initiate indicated action to assure independence between quality assurance and project management groups; (3) strengthen the Toledo Edison Company site construction quality assurance group; (4) complete your review and identification of safety related structures, systems, equipment, and components consistent with the regulations; (5) increase Toledo Edison Company quality assurance audits of construction activities; and (6) promptly complete revisions to your quality assurance manual to clearly establish its applicability to ongoing construction activities at Davis-Bessa.

We plan to continue to conduct unannounced inspections to ascertain whether appropriate corrective action has been taken. The NRC will take responsible enforcement action, including the use of civil penalties or suspension of construction activities, if necessary, should these inspections fail to show substantial improvement in the implementation of your quality assurance program.

Sincerely yours,

James G. Keppler Regional Director

"POOR ORIGINAL

bcc: IE Chief, FS&EB
IE:HQ (4)
Licensing (4)
DR Central Files
IE Files
PDR
Local PDR
NSIC
TIC

J. P. O'Reilly, Region I N. C. Moseley, Region II E. M. Howard, Region IV R. H. Engelken, Region V