

NRC DISTRIBUTION FOR PART 50 DOCKET MATERIAL

TO:
Mr. John F. Stolz

FROM:
Toledo Edison
Toledo, Ohio
Lowell E. Roe

DATE OF DOCUMENT
11/30/76

DATE RECEIVED
12/3/76

LETTER
 ORIGINAL
 COPY

NOTORIZED
 UNCLASSIFIED

PROP

INPUT FORM

NUMBER OF COPIES RECEIVED
One signed

DESCRIPTION

Ltr. re our 11/16/76 ltr. and 10/28/76 meeting....regarding separation criteria for wireways and conduit, also concerning our request for amdt. to FSAR.

(1-P)

PLANT NAME:

Davis-Besse

ENCLOSURE

ACKNOWLEDGED
COPIES REMOVED

SAFETY

FOR ACTION/INFORMATION

ENVIRO 12/9/76 RJL

ASSIGNED AD:		ASSIGNED AD:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BRANCH CHIEF:	Stolz (2)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BRANCH CHIEF:	Wrighton (LTR)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PROJECT MANAGER:	Engle	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PROJECT MANAGER:	P. Cota
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LIC ASST:	Hylton (LTR)	<input type="checkbox"/> LIC ASST:	

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> REG FILE	SYSTEMS SAFETY	PLANT SYSTEMS	SITE SAFETY &
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NRC PDR	HEINEMAN	TEDESCO (LTR)	ENVIRO ANALYSIS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I & E (2)	SCHROEDER	BENAROYA	DENTON (LTR)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OELD		LAINAS	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GOSSICK & STAFF	ENGINEERING	IPPOLITO	ENVIRO TECH.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MIPC (LTR)	MACCARRY	KIRKWOOD	ERNST
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CASE (LTR)	KNIGHT		BALLARD
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HANAUER	SIHWELL	OPERATING REACTORS	SPANGLER
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HARLESS	PAWLICKI	STELLO	
			SITE TECH.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PROJECT MANAGEMENT	REACTOR SAFETY	OPERATING TECH.	GAMMILL (2)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BOYD	ROSS (LTR)	EISENHUT	STEPP (LTR)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> P. COLLINS	NOVAK	SHAO	HULMAN (LTR)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HOUSTON	ROSZTOCZY	BAER	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PETERSON	CHECK	BUTLER	SITE ANALYSIS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HELTEMES		GRIMES	VOLLMER
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SKOVHOLT (LTR)	AT & I		BUNCH
	SALTZMAN	DEYOUNG (LTR)	J. COLLINS
	RUTBERG		KREGER

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LPDR: Pt. Clinton, Oh	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAT LAB: ANL (2)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BROOKHAVEN NAT LAB:	CONTROL NUMBER 12255
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TIC:	REC. VIE	ULRIKSON (ORNL)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NSIC:	LA PDR		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ASLR:	CONSULTANTS		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACMS: CYS SENT ACRS CAT " "			

REGULATORY DOCKET FILE COPY

Docket No. 50-346

November 30, 1976


Serial No. 164



LOWELL E. ROE

Vice President
Facilities Development
4191 259-5242

Director of Nuclear Regulation
Attn: Mr. John F. Stolz, Chief
Light Water Reactors Branch No. 1
Division of Project Management
United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555



Dear Mr. Stolz:

Your letter of November 16, 1976, transmitted the NRC summary Enclosure of our meeting in Bethesda on October 28, 1976, regarding Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station Unit 1 separation criteria for wireways and conduit. You also requested that we amend the FSAR to conform with resolutions stated in the summary, and respond by November 30.

The following lists the disposition of the like numbered items in your summary:

1. To be addressed by revised Figures 8-20A, B,C, and D in FSAR Rev. 24.
2. Addressed on page 8-22, section 8.3.1.2.21 of FSAR Rev. 23
3. To be addressed by revised Figure 8-20D in FSAR Rev. 24.
Please note that in item 3a, our commitment was to "42 inches" rather than the listed "46 inches".
Also, in item 3b, our commitment was to provide the fire barrier "...over the open tray" rather than "...between the redundant wireways" as the summary indicates.
4. Please note that at our meeting we committed to "...approximately 1/2 inch beyond ..." rather than the listed "... approximately 1/2 inch beyond...". Revised Figure 8-21C of FSAR Rev. 23 addresses our commitment.
5. Cable routing in metal conduit was addressed in detail in my letter to you on November 23, 1976; our commitment will also be made in FSAR Rev.24.
6. To be addressed by revised Figure 8-20A and section 8.3.1.2.20 in FSAR Rev. 24

Revision 23 to the FSAR was submitted on November 23, 1976; Revision 24 is scheduled for submittal the week of December 6.

Yours very truly,

10255