U. S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION DIRECTORATE OF REGULATORY OPERATIONS

REGION III

RO Inspection Report No. 050-346/74-03

Licensee: Toledo Edison Company

Edison Plaza

300 Madison Avenue Toledo, Ohio 43652

Davis Besse Nuclear Power Station

Oak Harbor, Ohio

License No. CPPR-80

Category: A

Type of Licensee:

PWR (B&W) 872 Mwe

Type of Inspection:

Second Corporate Management Meeting

Date of Inspection: May 14, 1974

Dates of Previous Inspection: February 13-15, 1974 (Construction)

Principal Inspector: M. W. Dickerson

5-30-74 (Date)

Accompanying Inspectors: D. W. Hayes

R. C. Knop

5-30-14 (Date)

5 - Z2-74 (Date)

Other Accompanying Personnel: None

Reviewed By:

Senior Reactor Inspector

Reactor Construction Branch

6-1-74 (Date)

COPY SENT TO: PDR

LPDR v

NSIC

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Enforcement Action

Not applicable.

Licensee Action on Previously Identified Enforcement Matters

Not applicable.

Design Changes

Not applicable.

Unusual Occurrences

Not applicable.

Other Significant Findings

None.

Management Interview

Not applicable.

REPORT DETAILS

1. Persons Contacted

The following persons were in attendance during the meeting.

Toledo Edison Company (TECO)

- L. E. Roe, Vice President, Power Group
- J. G. Evans, Plant Superintendent
- E. C. Novak, Chief Mechanical Engineer
- L. C. Stalter, Technical Engineer
- T. D. Murray, Operations Engineer
- D. W. Briden, Chemist and Health Physicist
- B. R. Beyer, Maintenance Engineer
- W. R. Green, Station Technical Assistant
- J. D. Lenardson, Quality Assurance Engineer
- G. L. Hurrell, Assistant Engineer
- D. (NMI) Dean, Office Supervisor
- G. W. Eichenauer, Quality Assurance Field Representative
- K. M. Cantrell, Quality Assurance Engineer

Babcock and Wilcox Company (B&W)

G. C. Schieck, Test Program Supervisor

Bechtel Corporation (Bechtel)

M. P. Parenteau, Project Startup Engineer

2. Meeting Agenda

The following agenda was used to expedite discussions.

- a. Introduction of attendees.
- b. Functional description of the regulatory organization and RO:III interfaces with the licensee.
- c. Discussion of the outstanding construction activity inspection program.
- d. Discussion of the RO inspection program, relative to the preoperational testing and power ascension testing programs.
- e. Scheduling of documentation and implementation of crucial reactor programs.

- f. Discussion (by the licensee) of their quality assurance program, operations, staffing, and procedures preparation and approval.
- g. RO:III licensee communications scheme.
- 3. Inspection Program Coverage During the Preoperational and Startup
 Phase

The RO representative stated that the inspections will cover the following major areas:

- a. Completion of Construction Activities
- b. Environmental Monitoring Program
- c. Emergency Plans and Procedures
- d. Security Plans and Procedures
- e. Nuclear Materials Safeguard Program
- f. Health Physics Program
- g. Technical Specification Implementation
- h. Operational Readiness
- 1. Operational Quality Assurance Program
- j. Preoperational Test Program
- k. Fuel Load Program
- 1. Power Ascension Program

Within these programs, the RO representative stated the licensee program will be inspected for the following:

- a. Administrative procedures for control of the programs, as specified in the AEC regulations and the Final Safety Analysis Report, including RO guides commitments.
- b. Procedure completeness and adherence to the administrative procedures.
- c. Performance of the tests in accordance with the administrative procedures.

- d. Review of tests results and evaluation.
- e. Control of retest and test deviation.

4. Completion of Crucial Reactor Programs

The RO representative reiterated that the accomplishment of the scheduled dates for completion of the crucial reactor programs, as detailed in the Yellow Book, would have an impact on the date on which a decision could be made on the licensing of the Davis Besse Nuclear Power Plant.

5. Discussion

The meeting was concluded without significant, outstanding issues or problems. The licenses expressed an understanding of the Regulatory Program and recognized the need facilitating the program discussed in accordance with the AEC rules and regulations.