AUG 2 1 1978	RSBoyd RCDeYoung DBVassallo FJWilliams JFStolz	RPDenise D TE(3) WHaass PMatthews VLeung GVissing RReid FAllenspach
ison Company Lowell E. Roe ce President, Facilities Development iza n Avenue hio 43652	LEngle EHylton RJMattson DRoss JKnight RTedesco DMuller VAMoore RHVollmer MLErnst	TBAbernathy JRBuchanan ACRS(16)

Docket No. 50

Toledo Edi ATTN: Mr. Vic 0 Edison Pla 300 Madisu Toledo, Oh

Gentlemen:

SUBJECT: FIRE PROTECTION REVIEW, PERSONNEL AND QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM (Davis-Besse, Unit No. 1)

We have evaluated Sections A-1 (Personnel) and C (Quality Assurance Program) of Table 4-1 of the Fire Hazard Analysis Report, Revision 1 for the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 1. Our requests for additional information required to complete our review of these matters is provided in the Enclosure to this letter.

We request that your response be submitted by September 23, 1978 in order that our review of these matters may be completed in time to interface with the issuance (December 31, 1978) of our Safety Evaluation Report regarding the Fire Hazard Analysis Report, Revision 1 to Davis-Besse. Unit No. 1.

Please call us if you have any questions regarding these matters.

Sincerely.

Original Signed by John F. Stolz John F. Stolz, Chief Light Water Reactors Branch No. 1 Division of Project Management

An 3

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

	DPM-TUR 1	DPM: LWR #1	
	LEngle:ab	JFStol AS	
DATE	8/21/78	8/178	

# AUG 2 1 1978

- 2 -

#### Toledo Edison Company

ccs: Mr. Donald H. Hauser, Esq. The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company P. 0. Box 5000 Cleveland, Ohio 44101

> Gerald Charnoff, Esq. Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge 1800 M Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20036

Leslie Henry, Esq. Fuller, Seney, Henry and Hodge 300 Madison Avenue Toledo, Ohio 43604

		1	1	1	and the second se
		 			a a an a san an a
DATE	a an	 			

NRC FORM 318 (9-76) NRCM 0240

TO U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 1976 - 628-824

#### ENCLOSURE

### REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

## DAVIS BESSE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 1

- 1. The Quality Assurance (QA) program for fire protection should be under the management control of the QA organization. This control consists of (1) formulating or verifying that a fire protection QA program incorporates suitable requirements and is acceptable to management responsible for fire protection and (2) verifying the effectiveness of the QA program for fire protection through review, surveillance, and audit. Revise your submittal contained in Revision 1 to the Fire Hazard Analysis Report for the Davis Besse Station to clarify that the QA program for fire protection is under the management control of QA, or provide an alternative position for the staff's evaluation.
- 2. Mr. Vassallo's letter of August 29, 1977 on fire protection provides supplemental guidance on QA. Modify your submittal contained in Revision 1 to the Fire Hazard Analysis Report for the Davis Besse Station to be responsive to the latest supplemental guidance on QA for fire protection or provide an alternative for the staff's evaluation. We note that if the fire protection QA program criteria are met as part of the QA program (Chapter 17 of FSAR) which meets Appendix B to 10 CFR 50, it is not necessary to submit a detailed description for NRC review.
- 3. Your description in Section A, Table 4-1, of your submittal relative to your compliance with the "Guidelines" in Appendix A to BTP 9.5-1 does not provide adequate information on your fire protection organization for us to complete our review. Therefore, please provide the following information:
  - a. Describe the upper level offsite management position(s) that has the overall responsibility and the direct responsibility for the formulation, implementation, and assessment of the effectiveness of the fire protection program.
  - b. Describe the onsite management position that has overall responsibility for the fire protection program.
  - c. Describe the reporting chain for the Fire Marshal.
  - d. Describe the responsibility of your "shift" Fire Captain relative to that of the shift Foreman for activities that might affect safety-related equipment, systems, or components.