JAN 1 2 1973

R. C. DeYoung, Assistant Director for PWR's, L

RESPONSE TO TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST - OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, REVIEW OF INDUSTRIAL SECURITY PLAN

Plant Name:

Licensing Stage:
Docket Nos.:
Branch & Project Manager
Requesting Assistance:
Technical Review Branch Involved:
Requested Completion Date:
Description of Review:

Oconee Nuclear Station Units 1, 2 & 3 OL 50-269/270/287

L:PWR-4, I. A. Peltier L:OSB 1/12/73 Review Industrial Security Plan, Revision 1 dated 12/26/72 Revision required as specified.

Review Status:

As requested informally, work has been completed as indicated in the block above. Our conclusions are contained in the attached report.

Original Signed by Donald F. Knuth

D. F. Knuth, Assistant Director for Reactor Safety Directorate of Licensing

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl:
S. H. Hanauer, DRTA
J. M. Hendrie, L:TR
I. A. Peltier, L:PWR-4
A. Schwencer, L:PWR-4
R. W. Houston, L:OSB
C. R. Van Niel, L:OSB

cc w/o encl: W. McDonald, L:OPS POOR ORIGINAL

	1:0SB1	L:QSB,	L:TR	T.
OFFICE >		67/400	DFKnuth	were
SU AME >		RWHouston 1/11/73	1/124/3	
DATE	1/11/73			

Oconee Nuclear Station
Security Plan, Revision 1

We have reviewed the Oconee Nuclear Station Security Plan, Revision 1 (12/26/72) and find that deficiencies previously identified still exist. Our concerns are as follows:

00.	
Section	Concern/Deficiency
3.3	Locate on Drawing 0-3 the location of the CCTV for the intake structure.
3.3	Does the phrase "locked exterior doors on the west side of the station" include the several shipping and receiving doors, and the two fuel transfer area doors? The security measures for these doors are not shown on Figure 1-5.
- 3.3	Provide locks on the two main doors to each of the two control rooms (Figure 1-7).
3.3.1	Provide for the surveillance of vital equipment and facilities
4.4	State the average time required for the Oconee County Sheriff's Department to respond to a request for assistance.
	See ANS 3.3, Draft 4, Subsection 3.4.3.