NOTE TO FILES

TELECON WITH DUKE POWER COMPANY (CANADY, MILLER) AND LICENSING (LANGE, HOU, BRAMMER, PELTIER) - OCONEE MEB CONCERNS - SEPTEMBER 20, 1972

This conference call was made to clear up a couple of remaining concerns that MEB raised during the Oconee 2/3 review.

- Duke committed to placing peak recording accelerometers on major equipment in the reactor building other than piping as now stated in the application. This satisfies MEB that equipment with damping factors greater than 1/2% will be surveyed.
- 2. Duke committed to stating in its application that Units 2/3 will have the same forcing functions and response to vibration as Unit 1 and that any corrective actions taken on Unit 1 will be taken on Units 2/3. Duke will also state that the test program on the main steamline is typical of Duke's practice for all systems suspect (from operating reports) of having a vibration or deflection problem. MEB finds this acceptable.

I. A. Peltier, Project Manager Pressurized Water Reactors Branch No. 4 Directorate of Licensing

cc: A. Schwencer

D. Lange

I. Peltier

8001090647 A