

57-267

JUN 15 1972

Note to Files

TELECON WITH CANADY, DUKE POWER REGARDING JUNE 15, 1972 MEETING ON OCONEE 1
TECH SPEC ITEMS, JUNE 13, 1972

On June 13, 1972 I called Ken Canady to pass on information to him regarding the upcoming meeting with Duke on Oconee Unit 1 Technical Specifications. Our outstanding concerns with the Technical Specifications are in the following areas.

1. Electrical System - As a result of a discussion between Voss Moore, Al Schwencer, Bob Pollard and myself, several outstanding items regarding the Oconee electrical system will be discussed at the subject meeting. These items deal principally with the restricted and unrestricted conditions for startup and remaining at power of the off-site and on-site power systems, in particular the philosophy and logic behind the use of the Keowee hydro-units, the underground feeder line from the hydros, and the Lee Station gas turbine. Also the use of two 230 KV lines on the same transmission towers as a redundant off-site power source is questionable.
2. Administrative Controls - Discussions with Van Niel, Max Hildreth as well as Region II have resulted in some concern over both the substance and the format of the Oconee I Technical Specifications in the area of Administrative Controls. These areas principally involve Safety Guide 16, ANS 3.2 and Operational Safety Guide 11. It is the contention of the reviewers that the tech specs could be made clearer in their intent to meet these guides and standards.
3. Radioactive Effluents - Site Safety has indicated that the tech specs used should be the versions already developed which incorporated Appendix I criteria (Maine Yankee). It is our intent to discuss this with Duke and provide them with limited guidance. A major assistance effort will be required from Site Safety to adapt these specifications to Oconee 1 in time for licensing, although we had planned to do so for Unit 2.
4. Environmental Matters - It is our understanding that limiting conditions, monitoring and reporting of plant influences on the environment within the assumptions used in the environmental statement must be incorporated into the technical specifications. Environmental Projects is not prepared to offer guidance in this matter so we intend to merely inform Duke that these additions to the tech specs are coming.
5. Misc. - There are a number of miscellaneous editorial and minor revisions to the tech specs which will be covered at the meeting.

8001070 657

A

It is not anticipated that areas 1, 2 and 5 above will create any concern over the schedule for licensing Unit 1 and improvements made now in these areas will lessen the burden with regard to Unit 2 tech specs. However, the areas in 3 and 4 above can potentially cause delays in the approval of Unit 1 Tech Specs depending upon the extent of revision required to reach a satisfactory position.

I. A. Peltier
I. A. Peltier

cc: I. Peltier (2)
A. Schwencer
R. C. DeYoung
C. Van Niel
M. Hildreth
R. Clark
V. Moore
B. Pollard
H. Denton