

AUG 16 1972

R. C. DeYoung, Assistant Director for Pressurized Water Reactors, L

RESPONSE TO TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST - OCOONEE NUCLEAR STATION, REVIEW OF DRAFT INDUSTRIAL SECURITY PLAN

As requested informally, work has been completed as indicated in the block that follows. Our conclusions are contained in the attached report.

Original Signed by
Donald F. Knuth

Donald F. Knuth, Assistant Director
for Reactor Safety
Directorate of Licensing

Enclosure:
As stated

Plant Name:	Ocoonee Nuclear Station Units 1, 2, & 3
Licensing Stage:	OL
Docket No.:	50-269, 270, 287
Branch and Project Leader	
Requesting Assistance:	PWR-4, I. A. Peltier
Requested Completion Date:	Not given
Description of Review:	Review Draft Industrial Security Plan
Review Status:	Complete

cc w/encl:

S. H. Hanauer, DRTA
J. M. Hendrie, L:TR
I. A. Peltier, L:PWR-4
A. Schwencer, L:PWR-4
D. Thompson, L:OSB
C. R. Van Niel, L:OSB

cc w/o encl:

W. McDonald, L:OPS

OFFICE ▶	L:OSB	L:OSB	L:TR			
SURNAME ▶	CRVanNiel	DThompson	DFKnuth			
DATE ▶	8/15/72	8/15/72	8/ /72			

Draft Industrial Security Plan
Oconee Nuclear Station
Docket Nos. 50-269, 270, 287

I have reviewed the draft Industrial Security Plan, undated, submitted informally by Duke Power Co. with a memo dated August 2, 1972. The plan is unacceptable as presented. It is incomplete in that several areas of concern are not covered, and of those areas addressed, many are lacking the required detail.

Using the draft 33 criteria as a guide, the following additional information will be required:

<u>Criterion</u>	<u>Deficiency/Additional Information Required</u>
3	Specify the size of the guard force on shift.
9	State and justify the time for deployment of the security force.
12	What provisions have been made to secure the doors to the Service Building and the south end of the Turbine Building?
13	Criterion not specifically addressed.
16	Criterion not specifically addressed.
17	State that personnel access will be controlled because of security requirements, in addition to HP requirements.
18	Criterion not specifically addressed.
21	Criterion not specifically addressed. Also see comment for criterion 12.
22	Are any intrusion alarms planned for the site? If so,
23	
24	explain.
28	Criterion not specifically addressed.

- 29 Criterion parts a and b not specifically addressed.
- 31 More than one procedure will be required to fully implement the plan.

It is recommended that the plan be reorganized and rewritten to provide the additional information requested.