

FEB 7 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: Karl R. Goller, Assistant Director for Operating Reactors, DOR

FROM: Darrell G. Eisenhut, Assistant Director for Operational Technology, DOR

SUBJECT: AMENDMENT TO OCONEE UNITS 1, 2, 3 APPENDIX B TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS RE: pH OF WATER AND CHEMICALS DISCHARGED FROM THE STATION

PLANT NAME: Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3
 DOCKET NUMBERS: 50-269, 50-270, and 50-287
 RESPONSIBLE BRANCH: Operating Reactors Branch No. 1
 PROJECT MANAGER: D. Neighbors
 REVIEW STATUS: Review Complete

This memorandum responds to two separate requests for amendments to the Oconee Nuclear Station non-radiological environmental technical specifications. The first proposed amendment involved an increase in the pH of water allowed to be discharged from the wastewater collection basin from 8.5 to 9.0, and was made in a letter from W. Parker of Duke Power Company dated May 13, 1976. The second proposed amendment involved a change in the method of control of station chemical effluents by instituting a monitoring program for chemical concentrations discharged from the station and eliminating the chemical inventory program for chemical usage. This proposal was made in a letter from W. Parker of Duke Power Company dated September 1, 1976.

When we reviewed the proposal dated May 13, 1976 we found that we could not complete the review without more information. In memoranda dated August 2, 1976 and November 10, 1976 we specified the information that was needed. In letters from W. Parker of Duke Power Company dated September 29, 1976 and December 2, 1976 the licensee submitted this information and an assessment of the impact likely to occur because of this change. We have reviewed the licensee's submittals and found them to be adequate.

Our review of the proposed amendment dated September 1, 1976 indicated that, except for certain minor modifications which we have made, it is acceptable. The licensee has agreed to our modifications.

Contact: W. Pasciak, EEB/DOR
 27903

MBHO
4

OFFICE →						
SURNAME →						
DATE →						

7912300 157 P

Our reviews indicate that with regard to both of these proposed amendments, that they will not change the analyses of the environmental impact of operation of the facility previously made by the staff. As no change in environmental impact is expected to occur a Negative Declaration is appropriate for this action. Enclosure I contains the modified amendments and our basis for a Negative Declaration.

KS

Darrell G. Eisenhut, Assistant Director
for Operational Technology
Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosure:
As stated

cc: V. Stello
G. Lear
D. Neighbors
B. Grimes
E. Adensam
W. Pasciak

DISTRIBUTION:
Central Files and Files 1-2-4
EEB Reading
D. Eisenhut
D. Davis

both proposals involved

OFFICE →	EEB/OT/DOR	EEB/OT/DOR	EEB/OT/DOR	AD/OT/DOR		
SURNAME →	WPasciak:br	EAdensam	BGrimes	DEisenhut		
DATE →	12/17/76	12/17/76	12/17/76	12/17/76		