



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

October 30, 1989

The Honorable Robert S. Walker
United States House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Walker:


I am responding to your letter of October 3, 1989, to Mr. Dennis Rathbun regarding our Solicitation Number RS-PER-90-321 for NRC's upcoming Senior Executive Service Training Conference.

We have re-evaluated our requirement and conclude that the facility location for the conference was too restrictive. As a result, we issued an amendment to the solicitation on October 10, 1989, deleting this restriction.

Please be assured that we will fairly consider all sources who respond to this solicitation and will evaluate each proposal against the evaluation criteria specified. (See Sections L.13 and M.3 of the enclosed solicitation document which address the required technical proposal content and evaluation criteria). Final selection will be based on that offeror whose technical/cost relationship is most advantageous to the Government, with technical merit being a more significant factor.

We appreciate your taking the time to address this issue and trust that our actions are satisfactory.

Sincerely,


James M. Taylor
Acting Executive Director
for Operations

Enclosures:

1. Amendment to Solicitation
2. Solicitation RS-PER-90-321

FULL TEXT ASCII SCAN

B911140164 B91030
PDR ORG NE ED
PDR

CC52
11

October 30, 1989

The Honorable Robert S. Walker
United States House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Walker:

I am responding to your letter of October 3, 1989, to Mr. Dennis Rathbun regarding our Solicitation Number RS-PER-90-321 for NRC's upcoming Senior Executive Service Training Conference.

We have re-evaluated our requirement and conclude that the facility location for the conference was too restrictive. As a result, we issued an amendment to the solicitation on October 10, 1989, deleting this restriction.

Please be assured that we will fairly consider all sources who respond to this solicitation and will evaluate each proposal against the evaluation criteria specified. (See Sections L.13 and M.3 of the enclosed solicitation document which address the required technical proposal content and evaluation criteria). Final selection will be based on that offeror whose technical/cost relationship is most advantageous to the Government, with technical merit being a more significant factor.

We appreciate your taking the time to address this issue and trust that our actions are satisfactory.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By:
James M. Taylor

James M. Taylor
Acting Executive Director
for Operations

Enclosures:

1. Amendment to Solicitation
2. Solicitation RS-PER-90-321

DISTRIBUTION:

JMTaylor	EJWiggins
HLThompson	DUmbel
PGNorry	SECY89-1095
ELHalman	EDO R/F
DKRathbun	EDO R/F (0004804)
MJMattia	CNB2 R/F
	CA

*See previous concurrences

FFICE:ADM:DCPM:CNB2*	:ADM:DCPM:CN2*	:ADM:DCPM:DD*	:ADM:DCPM:D*	:ADM:D
URNAME:DMUmbel:km	:EJWiggins	:MJMattia	:ELHalman	:PGNorry
DATE:10/25/89	:10/25/89	:10/ /89	:10/25/89	:10/24/89
FFICE:DEDS	:EDO	:GPA/CA	:	:
URNAME:HLThompson	:JMTaylor	:DKRathbun	:	:
DATE:10/27/89	:10/27/89	: DR 10-30	:	:
ONGRESS/PER90321				