Docket No. 50-317 50-318

Baltimore Gas and Electric Company ATTN: Mr. George C. Creel Vice President Nuclear Energy Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant MD Rts 2 & 4, P.O. Box 1535 Lusby, Maryland 20657

Gentlemen:

Subject: Inspection Nos. 50-317/89-16 and 50-318/89-17

This refers to your letter dated September 14, 1989, in response to our letter dated August 15, 1989.

Thank you for informing us of the corrective and preventive actions documented in your letter. These actions will be examined during a future inspection of your licensed program.

Your cooperation with us is appreciated.

Sincerely,

Ovininal Signed By:

Ronald R. Bellamy, Chief Facilities Radiological Safety and Safeguards Branch Division of Radiation Safety and Safeguards

cc w/encl:

W. J. Lippold, General Supervisor, Technical Services Engineering

T. Mayette, Administrator, Nuclear Evaluations

J. Lemons, Manager, Nuclear Outage Management L. Russell, Manager, Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant

J. Walter, Engineering Division, Public Service Commission of Maryland

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Public Document Room (PDR) Local Public Document Room (LPDR)

Nuclear Safety Information Center (NSIC)

NRC Resident Inspector State of Maryland (2)

RL BG&EC 89-16/17 - 0001.0.0 10/17/89

bcc w/encl: Region I Docket Room (with concurrences) Management Assistant, DRMA (w/o encl) J. Wiggins, DRP L. Tripp, DRP
D. Limroth, DRP
K. Lathrop, DRP
S. McNeil, NRR
PAO (24) SALP Reports Only
J. Dyer, EDO

66 RI:DRSS Gordon/mk

Lazarus

RI: DRSS Bellamy

10/18/89

10/23/89 10/2 /89 OFFICIAL RECORD COPY RL BG&EC 89-16/17 - 0002.0.0 10/17/89



CHARLES CENTER - P. O. BOX 1475 - BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21203

GEORGE C. CREEL VICE PRESIDENT NUCLEAR ENERGY (301) 260-4455

September 14, 1989

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

ATTE TION:

Document Control Desk

SUBJECT:

Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant

Unit Nos. 1 & 2; Docket Nos. 50-317 & 50-318

Response to NRC Combined Inspection Report Nos. 50-317/89-16;

50-318/89-17

Gentlernen:

This transmits our response to Appendix A of the subject inspection report, which cites a violation of NRC requirements concerning adequate methods, systems, and equipment for assessing and monitoring actual or potential offsite consequences of a radiological emergency condition. Enclosure (1) details our response.

Should you have any further questions regarding this matter, we will be pleased to discuss them with you.

Very truly yours,

GCC/DLS/dlm

Enclosure

cc: D. A. Brune, Esquire

J. E. Silberg, Esquire

R. A. Capra, NRC

S. A. McNeil, NRC

W. T. Russell, NRC

V. L. Pritchett, NRC

T. Magette, DNR

8909220170-2pp

ENCLOSURE (I)

REPLY TO NRC INSPECTION REPORT 50-317/89-16; 50-318/89-17

VIOLATION

"10 CFR 50.47(b)(9) requires that 'Adequate methods, systems, "d equipment for assessing and monitoring actual or potential offsite consequences a radiological emergency condition are in use.' Contrary to the above, the Interim Radiological Assessment Director in the Control Room was not able to perform dose assessment from radiological releases which may result from steam generator tube rupture due to lack of a procedure or training."

REASON FOR THE VIOLATION

This violation occurred because the development of our Emergency Response Plan and Implementing Procedures did not consider the radiological assessment of the postulated walk-through scenario to be an Interim Radiological Assessment Director function. A fuel failure of the magnitude given by the scenario, plus a simultaneous Steam Generator tube rupture and loss of the condenser (causing all activity to be released through atmospheric dump valves), was not postulated within the interim response phase. Hence, it was assumed that adequate time would be available to augment the interim staff and to implement Main Steam Radioactivity Release Estimate Procedure (ERPIP 4.1.3.6) in the Technical Support Center.

CORRECTIVE STEPS

Both of our Units are shut down. We have initiated development of an interim response phase methodology for implementation in the Control Room for an unmonitored release of radioactivity from the steam system. If either Unit operates in MODE 1 (Power Operation) before development of this methodology is complete, a Radiological Assessment Director will be staffed on a 24-hour basis until development is complete.

FULL COMPLIANCE

With the action plan discussed above, we are in full compliance now.