

DOCKET NUMBER PETITION RULE PRM 35-9 The University of Alabama at Birmingham (54 FR 38239)

LOLKLITT UMPC

University of Alabama Hospital Division of Nuclear Medicine 205/934-2140 Telex 8888826 UAB BHM

'89 DCT 16 P2:38

October 12, 1989

DOCKE BRANCH

Secretary of the Commission U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Docketing and Service Branch, Docket #PRM-35-9 Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Secretary:

I am writing to express my strong support for the Petition for Rulemaking filed by the American College of Nuclear Physicians and the Society of Nuclear Medicine. I am a practicing Nuclear Medicine physician at the University of Alabama Hospital in Birmingham, Alabama. I am deeply concerned over the revised 10 CFR 35 regulations (effective April, 1987) governing the medical use of byproduct material, as they significantly impact my ability to practice high quality Nuclear Medicine and prevent me from providing optimal care to the individual patients.

The NRC should recognize that the FDA does allow, and often encourages, other clinical uses of approved drugs, and actively discourages the submission of physician-sponsored INDs that describe new indications for approved drugs. The package insert was never intenduc to prohibit physicians from deviating from it. for other indications; on the contrary, Such deviation is necessary for growth in developing new diagnostic and therepeutic procedures. In many cases, manufacturers will never go back to the MDA to revise a package insert to include a new indication because it is not required by the FDA and there is simply no economic incentive to do so.

Currently, the regulatory provisions in Part 35 (35,100, 35.200, 35.300 and 33.17 (a) (4)) do not allow practices which are legitimate and legal under FL. regulations and State medicine and pharmacy luns. Three regulations therefore inappropriately interfere with the practice of medicine, which directly contradicts the NRCs Medical Policy statement against such interference.

Finally, I would like to point out that highly restrictive NRC regulations will only jeopardize public health and safety by: 1) restricting access to appropriate Nuclear Medicine procedures, 2) exposing patients to higher radiation absorbed doses from alternative legal, but non-optimal, studies, and 3) exposing hospital personnel to higher radiation absorbed doses because of unwarranted, repetitive procedures. The NRC should not strive to construct proscriptive regulations to cover all aspects of medicine, nor should it attempt to regulate radiopharmaceutical use. Instead, the NRC should rely on the expertise of the FDA, State Boards of Pharmacy, State Boards of Medical Quality Assurance, the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations, radiation safety committees, institutional quality assurance review

619 South 19th Street / Birmingham, Alabama 35233 Affirmative Action / Equal Opportunity Employer Secretary of the Commission U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission October 12, 1989 Page Two

procedures, and, most importantly, the professional judgment of physicians and pharmacists who have been well-trained to administer and prepare these materials.

Since the NRC's primary regulator focus appears to be based on the unsubstantiated assumption that misadministrations, particularly those involving diagnostic radiopharmaceuticals, pose a serious threat to the public health and eafety, I strongly urge the NRC to pursue a comprehensive study by a reputable scientific panel, such as the National Academy of Sciences or the NCRP, to assess the radiobiological effects of misadministrations from Nuclear Medicine diagnostic and therapeutic studies. I firmly believe that the results of such a study will demonstrate that the NRC's efforts to impose more and more stringent regulations are unnecessary and not cost-effective in relation to the extremely low health risks of these studies.

In closing, I strongly urge the NRC to adopt the American College of Nuclear Physicians/Society of Nuclear Medicine Petition for Rulemaking as expeditiously as possible.

Sincerely, Joseph Rhyer his

Joseph R. Logic, M.D., Ph.D.

JRL/njb

1