

Nuclear Information and Records Management Association Inc. 210 5th Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10010

DOCKET NUMBER PR 50,72,170
PROPOSED RULE PR 19379)

NIRMA, President

SOCKE THE PROPERTY OF THE

October 10, 1989

Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Attention: Docketing and Service Branch Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject: RRS #89-15

Following review by mombers of the Regulations Review Subcommittee and consideration by the NIRMA Board of Directors, I submit the following comments to the subject document:

o Supporting Statement - 72.232 (c)

The proposed amendment raises the question of how the vendor's QA program assures that the casks are used in accordance with the applicable quality assurance measures.

Estimate of Burden

Probably minimal.

o Supporting Statement - 72,234 (d) (1) (3) and (f)

The proposed amendment requires the vendor to keep a record for the life of the cask. As such, a reporting mechanism would have to be developed and implemented from the user to the vendor.

Estimate of Burden

The record keeping burden could doubth.

Thank you ser providing MIRMA the apportunity to respond to this document. We appreciate the apportunity to clarify and strengthen the regulations which

wovern our industry.

Waren L. Ledbetter, CRM

President, Nuclear Information

and Records Management

Association

Enclosure: Comments

8910190272 891010 PDR PR 50 54FR19379 PDR 2510

Date	:	6/	16 /	89
RRS		89-	15	

NUCLEAR INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION REGULATIONS REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE REVIEW SHEET

I.	Title of	Attachment:	OMB Supporting Statement		Licensing	Requirements
----	----------	-------------	--------------------------	--	-----------	--------------

for the Independent Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel and High Level - Radioactive Waste.

- II. Instructions: The sections of the attached proposed regulation listed in Part III have been identified for you as having potential impact on recordkeeping and information collection. Please follow these instructions when commenting on the proposed regulation.
 - 1. Read the entire proposed regulation first.
 - Carefully reread each section itemized in Part III and prepare a comment.
 - 3. If you agree with the itemized section of the proposal, write
 - 4. If you disagree with the itemized section, write UNACCEPTABLE and state why in the comment space provided.
 - Write any additional comments in the space provided on the reverse side of this sheet.
 - 6. Return your completed review to designated Subcommittee Member

ROBERT E. FINCH
WASHINGTON PUBLIC POWER SUPPLY SYS.
3000 GED WASHINGTON WAY
P.O. BOX 968 MAIL DROP 185
RICHLAND, WA 99352

11.	Com	ents:
	1.	Section 72.212 , page(s) 2-4 , paragraph(s) 7 Somment: Acceptable-No comment
	2.	Section 72.216 , page(s) 4 , paragraph(s) 2 Comment: Acceptable-No comment

	Acceptable-No comment	agraph(s)	<u>'</u>
Comment	72.232 , page(s) _ s _ , par Unacceptable- How can the Ve	endors QA proc	ram assure
	k is used in accordance with t easures?		
Section	72.234 , page(s) 566 , par Unacceptable- (2nd paragraph	agraph(s)	3 (d)()
	sm will be required from the		
	72.236, page(s)		
	Acceptable-No comment		
	THE RISK SCHOOL SELECTION SECTION SECTION SECTIONS SECTION SEC	w manufacture and reconstruction of the second seco	