Docket No. 50-312

Sacramento Municipal Utility District ATTN: Mr. David Boggs, General Manager P.O. Box 15830 Sacramento, CA 95852-1830

Gentlemen:

By application dated September 22, 1989, you requested a partial exemption from the FY 1989 annual fees of 10 CFR 171 for the Rancho Seco reactor. You requested that a portion of fees already paid be refunded because you had been charged for research which had not been performed.

As you are aware, Part 171 user fees are assessed on the basis of budgeted costs, not actual obligations. Since it is expected that there will be some deviations between the funds budgeted for a specific activity and those actually obligated, exemptions will rarely be granted. However, in unusual situations where there is a very significant variation between the budgeted obligations and the actual obligations, an exemption may be considered.

We have completed our review of the exemption request filed by your attorneys. Based on the fact that very significant budgeted costs for two B&W researchrelated activities, namely, "Prevent Reactor Core Damage - Plant Performance -B&W Testing" and "Reactor Accident Risk Analysis - Assessment of Plant Risks," were not totally obligated for these specific activities in FY 1989, but rather were expended for other activities relating to all power reactors, we have determined that a partial exemption is appropriate. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 171.11, a partial exemption is hereby granted for the Rancho Seco reactor. The annual fee is reduced from the FY 1989 rate of \$1,581,000 to \$1,309,000; accordingly, an appropriate refund will be sent to you shortly. Enclosed is a document showing how the refund has been calculated.

If there are questions regarding this exemption, please contact Mr. Lee Hiller, Deputy Controller. on 301-492-7351.

> Sincerely, Original Signed By: James M. Taylor James M. Taylor Acting Executive Director for Operations

> > EDO

10

Enclosure: Refund Calculation

OFC

00

8910180218 891005 PDR ADOCK 05000312 PDC

NAME : CJHO Doway: js

DATE : 10/4 /89

See attached for distribution.

crepu

/89

OGC

: 10/5

Gel object

00

89

LHV

101

DFOR

1

1

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

gins

/89

: RMSGNO

10

DISTRIBUTION JMTaylor, Acting EDO EDO R/F License Fee Debt Collection 171 Fee File Docket File OC Part 171 Exemption File CJHolloway, CC (2) LHiller, OC RMScroggins, OC OC R/F / GDJohnson, OC/DAF EKBlack, OC/DAF DBDandois, OC/LFDCB JDWeiss, OC/LFDCB TRothschild, OGC RSmith, OGC MS EARLING CAUDIL, According MARL STOP NO27 SAME NODredy AS Beyss

PPR

## COMPUTATION OF PROPOSED ADJUSTED ANNUAL FEE TO BE ASSESSED FOR EIGHT BABCOCK AND WILCOX (B&W) REACTORS FY 1989 BUDGET

Part 171 Work by Research	PTS	FTE
Original Budget Base		
Nuclear Steam Supply System - Large Dry Containment (B&W only)		
<ol> <li>Prevent Reactor Core Damage - Plant Performance - B&amp;W Testing</li> </ol>	\$3,500,000	1.8
<ol> <li>Reactor Accident Risk Analysis - Assessment of Plant Risk</li> </ol>	475,000	.7
2.5 FTE x \$150,900/FTE = Subtotal	\$3,975,000	2.5
Total Costs Total B&W Reactors	<u>\$4,352,000</u> -	\$544,000 per reacto
Revised Budget Base (Sums Obligated)		
<ol> <li>Prevent Reactor Core Damage - Plant Performance - B&amp;W Testing</li> </ol>	\$1,309,000	1.6
<ol> <li>Reactor Accident Risk Analysis - Assessment of Plant Risks</li> </ol>	-0-	-0-
1.6 FTE x \$150,900/FTE =	\$1,309,000 241,000	1.6
Subtotal Revised Costs	\$1,550,000	
Recap		
\$4,352,000 Original Costs 1,550,000 Revised Costs		
\$2,802,000 Costs Applicable to All Plants 106 Reactors		
= \$26,400 x 8 B&W Reactors =	211,000	
Total B&W Revised Costs Total B&W Reactors	<u>\$1,761,000</u> =	\$220,000 per reactor
\$544,000 - \$220,000 = \$324,000 x .84(M Factor) = \$2	72,000 Amount of	Each Refund

ENCLOSURE 1