



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

TERA

July 10, 1980

Docket No. 50-213

Mr. W. G. Council, Vice President  
Nuclear Engineering and Operations  
Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company  
Post Office Box 270  
Hartford, Connecticut 06101

Dear Mr. Council:

SUBJECT: CONTROL ROD GUIDE THIMBLE WEAR ISSUE (B-14) -  
HADDAM NECK PLANT

The NRC staff has been reviewing the subject of control rod guide thimble wear in pressurized water reactors. The enclosure to this letter describes our review and makes an assessment of this problem in facilities with fuel assemblies designed by Westinghouse.

Based on our review, we have concluded that this issue is resolved for the 15x15 fuel assemblies designed by Westinghouse for the Haddam Neck Plant.

Sincerely,

*Dennis M. Crutchfield*  
Dennis M. Crutchfield, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #3  
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:  
Evaluation of Control Rod  
Guide Thimble Wear

cc w/enclosure:  
See next page

8007250672

P

July 10, 1980

cc w/enclosure:  
Day, Berry & Howard  
Counselors at Law  
One Constitution Plaza  
Hartford, Connecticut 06103

Superintendent  
Haddam Neck Plant  
RFD #1  
Post Office Box 127E  
East Hampton, Connecticut 06424

Mr. James R. Himmelwright  
Northeast Utilities Service Company  
P. O. Box 270  
Hartford, Connecticut 06101

Russell Library  
119 Broad Street  
Middletown, Connecticut 06457

Board of Selectmen  
Town Hall  
Haddam, Connecticut 06103

Connecticut Energy Agency  
ATTN: Assistant Director  
Research and Policy  
Development  
Department of Planning and  
Energy Policy  
20 Grand Street  
Hartford, Connecticut 06106

Director, Technical Assessment  
Division  
Office of Radiation Programs  
(AW-459)  
U. S. Environmental Protection  
Agency  
Crystal Mall #2  
Arlington, Virginia 20460

U. S. Environmental Protection  
Agency  
Region I Office  
ATTN: EIS COORDINATOR  
JFK Federal Building  
Boston, Massachusetts 02203

EVALUATION OF CONTROL ROD GUIDE THIMBLEWEAR IN FACILITIES DESIGNED BY WESTINGHOUSE

A degradation of control rod guide thimble/tube walls has been observed during post-irradiation examinations of irradiated fuel assemblies taken from several operating pressurized water reactors. Subsequently, it has been determined that coolant flow up through the guide tubes and turbulent cross flow above the fuel assemblies have been responsible for inducing vibratory motion in the normally fully withdrawn ("parked") control rods position. When these vibrating rods are in contact with the inner surface of the guide tube wall, a fretting wear of the wall occurs. Significant wear has been found to be confined to the relatively soft Zircaloy-4 guide tubes because the control rod claddings--stainless steel for Westinghouse-NSSS designs--provide a relatively hard wear surface.\* The extent of the observed wear is both time and NSSS-design dependent and has, in some non-Westinghouse cases, been observed to extend completely through the guide tube walls, thus resulting in the formation of holes.

Guide thimble/tubes function principally as the main structural members of the fuel assembly and as channels to guide and decelerate control rod motion. Significant loss of mechanical integrity due to wear or hole formation could: (1) result in the inability of the guide thimble to withstand their anticipated loadings for fuel handling accidents and condition 1-4 events; and, (2) hinder scramability.

In response to the staff's attempt to assess the susceptibility and impact of guide thimble wear in Westinghouse plants, two meetings were held with Westinghouse and information was submitted (References 1 and 2) on their experience and understanding of the issue. This information consisted of guide thimble wear measurements taken on irradiated fuel assemblies from Point Beach, Units 1 and 2 (two-loop plants using 14 x 14 fuel assemblies). Also described was a mechanistic wear model (developed from the Point Beach data) and the impact of the model's wear predictions on the safety analyses of plant designs.

Westinghouse believes that their fuel designs will experience less wear than that reported in some other NSSS designs because the Westinghouse designs use thinner, more flexible, control rods that have a relatively more lateral support in the guide thimble assembly of the upper core structure. Such construction provides the housing and guide path for the rod cluster control assemblies (RCCAs) above the core and thus restricts control rod vibration due to lateral exit flow. Also, Westinghouse believes that their wear model conservatively predicts guide thimble wear and that even with the worst anticipated wear conditions (both in the degree of wear and the location of wear) their guide thimbles will be able to fulfill their design functions.

The staff concluded that the Westinghouse analysis probably accounts for all of the major variables that control this wear process. However, because of the complexities and uncertainties in (a) determining contact forces, (b) surface-to-surface wear rates, (c) forcing functions, and (d) extrapolations of these variables to the new 17 x 17 fuel assembly design, the staff required several near-term OL applicants to submit to a surveillance program. For acceptability, the minimum objective of such program was to demonstrate that there is no occurrence of hole formation in rodged guide thimbles.

\*Plants using Westinghouse HIPAR fuel assembly designs (stainless steel guide thimble tubes) are not considered susceptible to significant wear.

To satisfy this request for confirmation of the Westinghouse analytical predications, a cooperative owners group was established which is now sponsoring a program to obtain post-irradiation examination (PIE) data from the Salem, Unit No. 1 facility. This PIE program will examine all guide thimbles in six rodged fuel assemblies having either one or two cycles of burnup. It is our expectation that the program will confirm Westinghouse predictions, and therefore this issue should be considered resolved for all Westinghouse plants using the newer 17 x 17 fuel assembly design.

The relevant primary system design differences in plants fueled with the 15 x 15 fuel assemblies as compared with those of plants fueled with 14 x 14 fuel assemblies are minimal. And certainly the extrapolation of wear prediction is less than that associated with the extrapolation to the newer plants using 17 x 17 fuel assemblies. Thus it is reasonable to conclude that the wear in 15 x 15 fuel assemblies should be equivalent to that experienced and measured in 14 x 14 fuel assemblies, and therefore these designs are not likely to experience significant wear to the degree that the design capabilities will be impaired. Therefore, we conclude that the information that has been provided is sufficient to resolve the issue of guide thimble/tube wear in plants fueled with 14 x 14 and 15 x 15 fuel assemblies.

#### References

1. Letter from L. M. Mills, Tennessee Valley Authority, to L. S. Rubenstein, NRC, Dockets 50-327 and 50-328, dated November 27, 1979.
2. Letter from T. M. Anderson, Westinghouse, to H. R. Denton, NRC NS TMA-2238, dated April 29, 1980.