U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION OFFICE OF INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT REGION IV

Report No. 99900398/80-01

Program No. 51400

Company: General Electric Company Wire and Cable Business Department 1285 Boston Avenue Bridgeport, Connecticut 06602

Inspection Conducted: May 5-9, 1980

Inspector: <u>K. M. Lunnicett</u> W. E. Foster, Contractor Inspector Components Section II Vendor Inspection Branch

Observer: L'Ma Alexanicatt A. B. Bennett, Senior Electrical Engineer Division of Reactor Construction Inspection

5/20/80

5/20/80 Date

5/20/80

Approved by: 10 m Hunnicutt, Chief

Components Section II Vendor Inspection Branch

Summary:

Inspection on May 5-9, 1980 (99900398/80-01).

Areas Inspected: Implementation of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B criteria, and applicable codes and standards; including follow-up on inspector identified unresolved item; manufacturing process control; and change control. The inspection involved forty-four and one-half inspector-hours on site by two NRC inspectors.

Results: In the three areas inspected, no unresolved items were identified; the following three deviations were identified:

Deviations: Manufacturing Process Control - practices were not consistent with Criterion V of Appendix B to 10 CFR 50; paragraphs 1.1, 1.3.3, and 2.11.6.1 of Section 1.4, Issue A, dated March 7, 1966, co the Product Design Engineering Manual (See Notice of Deviation, Item A); and Bridgeport Manufacturing Instructions No. 8, Section 2, dated October 8, 1975 (See Notice of Deviation, Item B).

8007250594

Change Control - practices were not consistent with Criterion V of Appendix B to 10 CFR 50; and paragraph 2.1 of Section 1.9, Issue A, dated October 19, 1964, of the Product Design Engineering Manual (See Notice of Deviation, Item C).

Unresolved Items: None.

۰,

DETAILS SEC.ION

A. Persons Contacted

*A. C. Bruhin, Manager - Product Development
*D. G. Connelly, Manager - Quality Control
*H. J. Cunha, Manager - Requisition and Specification Engineering
*J. W. Fillmore, Manager - Bridgeport Cable Plant
J. R. Galloway, Manager - Industrial and Utility Sales
*W. J. Gartin, General Manager
*S. Hamilton, Manager - Engineering
*C. Hayner, Manager - Manufacturing
R. Hopkins, Engineer - Quality Control, Wire Mill
E. R. Kingsbury, Engineer - Product Development
T. K. Kurien, Engineer - Quality Control, Compounding and Incoming Material
M. Mosley, Engineer - Product Development and Test
R. N. O'Donoghue, Engineer - Test and Quality Assurance
*J. E. Sweeney, Engineer - Senior Quality Control

*Attended Exit Interview.

B. Follow-up on Inspector Identified Problems and Unresolved Items

1. Objectives

The objectives of this area of the inspection were to verify that inspector identified problems and unresolved items, during previous inspections, had been corrected and resolved satisfactorily.

2. Methods of Accomplishment

The preceding objectives were accomplished by:

- a. Reviewing General Electric Company, Wire and Cable Business Department's (GECo WCBD) letters, dated April 21 and 29, 1980, to Bechtel Power Corporation; Subject: San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station Unit Nos. 2 and 3.
- Discussing acceptability/non-acceptability of splicing with cognizant personnel.
- c. Reviewing Bechtel Power Corporation's letter (Log BA-5777), dated April 22, 1980, to GECo WCBD; Subject: Southern California Edison Company San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3 and its enclosure entitled - Supplier Deviation Disposition Request, Bechtel SDDR No. 2053 (GECo WCP') No. 33) dated April 22, 1980.

- d. Reviewing Franklin Research Center's Final Report No. F-C5285-1 (PRELIMINARY); Subject: Qualification Tests of Electrical Cables in a simulated Loss-of-Coolant (IOCA) Environment.
- e. Reviewing Franklin Research Cent r's letter, dated February 27, 1980 to GECo WCBD; Subject: Qualification Testing of EP Neoprene flectrical Cables, FRC Project C5285.
- f. Reviewing GECo WCBD's Product Development Report No. PD-7-80, dated March 11, 1980, which addressed thermal tests of reworked conductors and insulation.

3. Findings

(Closed) Unresolved Item (Inspection Report No. 79-01): The inspector verified that Note No. 8 of the Contingent Purchase Order Release had been modified to read: "Single and/or multiconductor cable lengths will not be joined to obtain shipping cable length requirements except in accordance with Bridgeport Manufacturing Instruction #13, Section 7-0."

4 ______ cher Related Findings

- a. The Franklin Research Center's letter of February 27, 1980, indicated that two of the five specimen failed to maintain the electrical load during the 33-day exposure to the qualification test environment. This failure is attributed to probable faulty vessel penetrations.
- b. Power cable (600 volts) with vulkene supreme insulation will be supplied to Bechtel/Southern California Edison Company for San Onofre Nuclear Generating Stations, Unit Nos. 2 and 3. The change of insulation material is identified in Contingent Purchase Order Release No. 304-11-024 and Supplier Deviation Disposition Request (SDDR) No. 2053. Bechtel has approved the SDDR and is scheduled to issue Memorandum of Change No. 19 to Purchase Order No. D4103051. Qualification testing had been successfully accomplished on lengths of cable insulated with the vulkene supreme material. The test specimens were constructed with brazed joints and patched insulation.

C. Manufacturing Process Control

1. Objectives

The objectives of this area of the inspection were to verify that measures had been established and documented to control manufacturing, inspection and test activities. Also, to verify these activities had

2. Methods of Accomplishment

The preceding objectives were accomplished by:

- a. Reviewing the following documents to verify measures had been established and documented to control manufacturing, inspection and test activities:
 - Wire and Cable Business Department's Quality System Manual, Revision A, dated June 9, 1978; Sections 5.0; 8.0; 9.0; 10.0; 11.0; and 14.0.
 - (2) Product Design Engineering Manual, Section Nos. -
 - (a) 1.4, Issue A, dated March 7, 1966 Product Design Specifications,
 - (b) 1.4A, Issue A, dated February 22, 1977 Product Design Specifications - New Products, and
 - (c) 1.4B, Issue A, dated February 22, 1977 Product Design Specifications - Requiring Traceability.
 - (3) Bridgeport Manufacturing Instructions, Nos. -
 - (a) 1, Section 8-0, dated March 21, 1980 Clerical Procedures and Routines Inspection Status Routine,
 - (b) 1, Section 16, dated March 21, 1980 Clerical Procedures and Routines Inspection Plan Procedure.
 - (c) 6, Section 15, dated August 20, 1970 Wire Drawing WF-14 Intermediate Wire Drawing Machine,
 - (d) 2, Section 78, dated June 21, 1967 Operating Procedure for Quality Spark Test and Inspect Operations,
 - (e) 2, Section 90, dated December 9, 1975 Operating Procedure for Quality Electroplating Lines,
 - (f) 2, Section 98, dated October 30, 1975 Operating Procedures for Quality Niehoff M-5 Wire Drawing Machine,

- (g) 7, Section 4, dated February 20, 1975 Annealing Bell Annealing - 0,
- (h) 8, Section 2, dated October 8, 1975 Tinning Electroplating,
- (i) 25, Section 2, dated February 13, 1979 Testing Pr paration of Cable Ends for Power Cable Rated up to 35 KV;
- (j) 25, Section 35, dated December 11, 1979 Testing Insulation Resistance,
- (k) 25, Section 38C, dated February 11, 1980 Testing Conductor Resistance,
- 30, Section 16-0, dated August 23, 1965 Quality Control Inspection Procedure (QCIP) Determination of Cross-Section Area of Stranded Conductors,
- (m) 30, Section 19-0, dated March 26, 1963 QCIP Vulkene Cure Test,
- (n) 31, Section 12, dated July 14, 1970 Quality Control Laboratory Procedures for Sampling, Testing, and Releasing Vulkene Compound, and
- (o) 11, Section 81, sheet 11, dated August 23, 1979 -Tubing Operating Instructions Compound 3067.
- (4) Materials Analysis and Testing Procedure No. 010-A, dated December 5, 1969 - Determination of Cure with Monsanto Rheometer, and
- Temporary Standing Instruction No. T3067-25-01C, dated March 21, 1980.
- b. Observing the following activities to verify that tasks were being accomplished in accordance with established and documented measures: Engineering Control of Custom Order Product Design Specifications; Vire Drawing; Vire Annealing (Bell); Wire Tinning; and Testing of Vulkene Supreme Compound.

3. Findings

- a. Deviations From Commitment
 - (1) See Notice of Deviation, Item A.

- (2) See Notice of Deviation, Item B.
- b. Unresolved Items

None.

- D. Change Control
 - 1. Objectives

The objectives of this area of the inspection were to verify that measures had been established to control changes to software and hardware. Also, to verify the measures for software changes included provisions for review, approval, and distribution to and usage at the location where the prescribed activity is performed. An additional phase was to verify the measures had been implemented.

2. Methods of Accomplishment

The preceding objectives were accomplished by:

- a. Reviewing the following documents to verify measures had been established to control changes to software and hardware:
 - Wire and Cable Business Department's Quality System Manual, Revision A, dated June 9, 1978; Sections 3.0; 6.0; and 15.0.
 - (2) Product Design Engineering Manual, Section Nos. -
 - (a) 1.4, Issue A, dated March 7, 1966 Product Design Specifications,
 - (b) 1.5, Issue A, dated January 5, 1965 Product Design File,
 - (c) 1.9, Issue 1, dated October 19, 1964 Engineering Change Authorization, and
 - (d) 1.10, Issue A, dated March 16, 1965 Alteration Notice.
 - (3) Bridgeport Manufacturing Instructions Nos. -
 - (a) 1, Section 5-0, dated March 24, 1980 Clerical Procedures and Rovines Drawing and Change Control,

- (b) 20, Section 12, dated December 17, 1976 Quality Control Procedures Quality System Manual Control, and
- (c) 1, Section 12, dated April 11, 1980 Clerical Procedures and Routines Bridgeport Manufacturing Instructions.
- b. Reviewing the changes and attendant documentation on the following to verify that established measures had been implemented:
 - Section 1.7, page 5, Issue D, dated April 27, 1970; Section 1.8, Issue D, dated May 11, 1970; Section 7.1, page 7, Issue B, dated April 30, 1973.

The sections are part of the Product Design Engineering Manual.

(2) Engineering Change Authorization Logs for 1970, 1973, and 1980.

3. Findings

a. Deviation From Commitment

See Notice of Deviation, Item C.

b. Unresolved Items

None.

E. Exit Interview

- 1. The inspector met with management representatives denoted in paragraph A. at the conclusion of the inspection on May 9, 1980.
- 2. The following subjects were discussed:
 - a. Areas inspected.
 - b. Deviations identified.
 - c. Contractor response to the report.

The contractor was requested to structure his response under headings of corrective action, preventive measures, and dates for each deviation. Comments by management representatives were generally related to clarification of the findings.

· · · · ·