THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS APRIL 29, 1980

Distribution: L.Chandler S.Treby HKS/TFE/ESC ELD FF (2) Chron.

Docket No. 50-320

Captain Robert Hayhurst 466 Island Boulevard Sunbury, PA 17801 Captain Jamer Dryer 10315 Kingfisher Road West Bradenton, FL 33529

Gentlemen:

This is to confirm our phone conversation last week, regarding U.S. Air Flight No. 170 on January 24, 1980 from Pittsburgh to Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, of which, I understand, you were in command.

As I explained, an NRC hearing was held in Harrisburg on February 25, 1980 concerning the Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 2, in particular, regarding aircraft crash probabilities. At this hearing, the Intervenors presented a witness, Dr. Judith Johnsrud, who testified about her experience on the above flight, stating that on the approach into Harrisburg International Airport, the plane flew <u>directly over</u> the Three Mile Island Unit 2 facility. Her visual impression of this over flight was confirmed, she asserted, by the pilot, with whom she spoke after the plane arrived in Harrisburg. A copy of her testimony on this point is enclosed for your information.

Of concern to the NRC Staff is (1) whether inquiry was made of you as stated on lines 11-12 of page 608 of the enclosed transcript and (2) whether, if fact, you did fly directly over the nuclear power plant during the above landing.

Your prompt reply to the above is requested. In responding, please be as direct and complete as you believe necessary to assure a fair understanding of this alleged occurrence.

Should you have any further questions regarding sy request, please contact me at (301) 492-8658.

Sincerely,

Lewrence J. Chandler Counsel for NRC Staff

ELD.

LChandler:am

04/20/80

STreby 04/10/80

Enclosure

cc w/o enclosure: Marvin S. Kahn, Esq. Vice President - Corporate

Washington, DC 20001

Washington National Airport

8007030372 US Air, Inc.

DATE

NRC FORM 318 (9-76) NRCM 0240

OFFICE

SURNAME

TU.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1979-289-369

1	
	607
1	MR. CHANDLER: Having made that objection, Mr.
2	Chairman, I'm willing to proceed.
3	Thank you, That That
4	saves the Board some time.
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY DR. REPFORD:
7	Q Dr. Johnsrud, could you describe your trip into
8	Harrisburg International Airport?
9	A Yes. I have a very short statement:
10	On January 24th I returned from Pittsburgh to
11	Harrisburg
12	Q That's 1980.
13	A 1980, yes. January 24th, a month ago yesterday.
14	Q Would you pull the microphone a little closer to
15	you, please.
16	THE WITNESS: Surely. Dr. Buck, is this better?
17	DR. BUCK: Pull the, the, your left-hand micro-
18	phone up towards that's the one. That's it. Thank you.
19	THE WITNESS: On January 24th, 1980, I flew ficm
20	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, to Harrisburg of U.S. Air flight 170
21	scheduled to leave Pittsburg at 110, delayed due to mechanical
22	
23	difficulties and appparently snow on the field as well, for
24	approximately an hour and a half.
	The flight coming into Harrisburg International
25	Airport along a path akin to those that have been described

9

(50

and sketched on the U.S. Geological Survey Topographic sheet earlier today.

Having a certain familiarity with the topography and an expectation of seeing Three Mile Island, I was of course looking for it, sitting on the left side of the plane, such as to be able to view it. And to my amazement, saw the north end of the island, the bridge, but the reactor apparently was directly below me.

I was extremely surprised in view of my knowledge of the transcript of the previous Hearings here. And therefore, as I deplaned, I asked the pilot if he had flown directly over Three Mile Island, Unit 2; and his response was, "Yes, I thought I would give you all your daily dose of radiation," at which point I got off the plane, very much surprised, and inquired of a flight crew member, "This was a DC-9?"

And that in essence is what I have to say, this being a flight subsequent to the accident. U.S. Air, according to the pilot, as well as to my -- the best of my observ tion, directly over the reactor.

BY DR. KEPFORD:

When the pilot remarked to you that he thoug't he gave you your "daily dose of radiation," is it possible that he might have been facetious or sarcastic?

25

A

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

At the time I would have thought so. Subsequent

608

C S MARTINE

Particken and the state

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

information concerning continuing releases of krypton gas would canaetme to wonder if he was werious.

MR. CHANDLER: Mr. Chairman, I object.

(Pause.)

MR. CHANDLER: I made an objection. This is not an opportunity to deliver speeches or to speculate on matters that Dr. Johnsrud may wish to deliver.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Yes, I think that objection is well takan. Let's just confine ourselves to the, to the facts. DR. KEPFORD: Mr. Chairman, does that mean the entire question and answer? -- have been objected to? Or some particular part? Or what?

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Well, I think --

MR. CHANDLER: Both, Mr. Chairman. The question calls for conjecture on the part of the witness as to the state of mind of the pilot when the statement was made. The response was not responsive in the sense that it involved a speech on matters extraneous to matters before this Board.

The objection goes to both.

CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: I think we'll allow to stand only the statement which Dr Johnsrud made, that she asked the pilot the question she posed to the pilot, and the response she'd gotten from him.

> DR. KEPFORD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN ROSENTHAL: Any further questions?

609