la



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

June 23, 1980

Docket No. 50-313

Mr. William Cavanaugh, III Vice President, Generation and Construction Arkansas Power & Light Company P. O. Box 551 Little Rock, Arkansas 72203

Dear Mr. Cavanaugh:

In our review of the proposed Appendix I Technical Specifications for Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit No. 1 (ANO-1) and in our discussions with your staff on this issue we have become concerned about the present operability status of the ANO-1 waste gas system. Accordingly, we request the information identified in the enclosure within 30 days on receipt of this letter.

Sincerely,

Thomas M. Novak, Assistant Director for Operating Reactors Division of Licensing

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/enclosure: See next page

8007080 371

Arkansas Power & Light Company

cc w/enclosure(s):

Mr. David C. Trimble Manager, Licensing Arkansas Power & Light Company P. O. Box 551 Little Rock, Arkansas 72203

Mr. James P. O'Hanlon General Manager Arkansas Nuclear One P. O. Box 608 Russellville, Arkansas 72801

Mr. William Johnson U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 2090 Russellville, Arkansas 72801

Mr. Robert B. Borsum Babcock & Wilcox Nuclear Power Generation Division Suite 420, 7735 Old Georgetown Road Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Troy B. Conner, Jr., Esq. Conner, Moore & Corber 1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Arkansas Polytechric College Russellville, Arkansas 72801

Honorable Ermil Grant Acting County Judge of Pope County Pope County Courthouse Russellville, Arkansas 72801

Mr. Paul F. Levy, Director Arkansas Department of Energy 3000 Kavanaugh Little Rock, Arkansas 72205 Director, Technical Assessment Division Office of Radiation Programs (AW-459) U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Crystal Mall #2 Arlington, Virginia 20460

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region VI Office ATTN: EIS COORDINATOR 1201 Elm Street First International Building Dallas, Texas 75270

Director, Bureau of Environmental Health Services 4815 West Markham Street Little Rock, Arkansas 72201

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

CONCERNING

THE WASTE GAS SYSTEM

FOR

ARKANSAS NUCLEAR ONE, UNIT NO. 1

DOCKET NO. 50-313

- Per previous telephone conversations it was the NRC staff's understanding that Arkansas Power and Light Company (AP&L) was about to complete a thorough evaluation of the ANO-1 waste gas system. Provide the details of the findings of this evaluation, the proposed changes or modifications which are planned, the schedules for implementation of the changes and the expected operating results of the changes.
- Provide an operational description of the hydrogenated portion of the waste gas system (i.e., the surge tank/decay tank train) and the performance history of the waste gas system, specifically addressing the following:
 - a. oxygen and hydorgen levels design versus actual
 - b. waste gas holdup times design versus actual
 - c. system availability
 - d. problem areas
 - e. modification history.
- 3. Per the ANG-1 FSAR, aerated gases are separated from all gases associated with primary system water (which contains hydrogen gas) to prevent the formation of an explosive mixture <u>anywhere</u> in the gaseous waste system. In this regard, provide the following information:
 - a. the means available for monitoring (or measuring) the oxygen concentration at specified locations in the system
 - b. monitoring (measuring) frequency
 - c. means available for corrective action, requirements for taking corrective action, and history of any such past action
 - d. in lieu of oxygen monitoring capabilities to demonstrate that explosive mixtures do not exist <u>anywhere</u> in the system, provide analyses to this effect.

If oxygen levels in the waste gas system have been, or are suspected of having been, higher than trace levels (say greater than 100 ppm), please provide the following information, in order that we may perform our own evaluation of the potential for a hydrogen explosion, specifically addressing the following points:

- a. sources of oxygen inleakage
- b. data taken to evaluate this problem
- actions taken to insure that explosive conditions do not exist within the system
- d. what assurance do you have that explosive conditions do not exist at the local points of air inleakage; particularly at the degasifier/waste gas system interface
- e. what corrective actions have been taken, to dat, to minimize oxygen inleakage into the system
- f. what corrective actions are planned in this regard, and provide a schedule for their implementation.