# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of	}
DUKE POWER COMPANY	Docket Nos. STN 50-488 50-489 50-490
(Perkins Nuclear Station Units 1, 2 and 3)	

NRC STAFF'S SUPPLEMENT TO ITS OPPOSITION TO INTERVENORS' MOTION TO RECONSIDER OR REOPEN THE RECORD

On June 26, 1980, the Staff filed its opposition to the Intervenors' motion to reconsider or reopen the record in the present proceeding. In that response, the Staff argued, <u>inter alia</u>, that the Intervenors' motion was untimely filed in that the ten day period prescribed by 10 C.F.R. §2.771 for the filing of motions for reconsideration had elapsed.

In its recent Memorandum and Order (ALAB-597) filed in this proceeding on June 20, 1980, the Appeal Board ruled upon a request by the Intervenors for an extension of time to file their exceptions to the February 22, 1980 partial initial decision of the Licensing Board in this case. LBP-80-9, 11 NRC 310. In that opinion the Appeal Board observed that:

In this regard, there is no substance to the Staff's argument to us that Intervenors' June 6 motion [for reconsideration] must be deemed untimely because not filed within 10 days of the rendition of the partial initial decision. The ten day limitation contained in 10 CFR 2.771(a) - upon which the staff relies - is in terms applicable solely to final Commission decisions (i.e., those decisions rendered upon ultimate appellate review of initial decisions (see 10 CFR 2.770)). ALAB-597, slip op. at 8, n. 9.

In view of the Appeal Board's ruling noted above, the NRC Staff withdraws the argument presented to the Licensing Board in its June 26, 1980 pleading and no longer asserts that the Intervenors' motion was untimely filed. The Staff does, however, reaffirm its position that the motion should be denied in that it fails to meet the standards for reconsideration or reopening.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles A. Barth

Counsel for NRC Staff

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

DUKE POWER COMPANY

(Perkins Nuclear Station Units 1, 2 and 3)

Docket Nos. STN 50-488 STN 50-489 STN 50-490

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of NRC STAFF'S SUPPLEMENT TO ITS OPPOSITION TO INTERVENORS' MOTION TO RECONSIDER OR REOPEN THE RECORD, in the above-captioned proceeding have been served on the following by deposit in the United States mail, first class, or, as indicated by an asterisk, through deposit in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's internal mail system, this 1st day of July, 1980.

\*Elizabeth S. Bowers, Esq., Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Donald P. deSylva
Associate Professor of Maring
Science
Rosenstiel School of Marine
and Atmospheric Science
University of Miami
Miami, Florida 33149

Dr. Walter H. Jordan 881 W. Outer Drive Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esq. Debevoise and Liberman 1200 Seventeenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 William A. Raney, Jr., Esq. Special Deputy Attorney General P.O. Box 629 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

William L. Porter, Esq.
Associate General Counsel
Duke Power Company
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

William G. Pfefferkorn, Esq. P.O. Box 43 Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27102

Mrs. Mary Davis
Route 4
Box 261
Mocksville, North Carolina 27028

Quinten Lawson, Esq. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Room F611 885 North Capitol, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20426 \*Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

\*Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

\*Docketing and Service Section
Office of the Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Counsel for NRC Staff