

APR 09 1976

Locket No.: 50-313

LICENSEE: Arkansas Power & Light Company

FACILITY: Arkansas Nuclear One - Unit 1

SUMMARY OF MEETING WITH ARKANSAS POWER & LIGHT COMPANY REGARDING
APPENDIX I REQUIREMENTS

On March 17, 1976, representatives of the Arkansas Power & Light Company (AP&L) met with the NRC staff to discuss the methods and analyses to be used by AP&L to evaluate the ANO facility (Units 1 and 2) with respect to the requirements of 10 CFR 50, Appendix I. A list of the meeting attendees is attached.

AP&L requested the meeting in response to our February 19, 1976 letter to the utility. Significant points discussed and questions raised are summarized below:

1. AP&L is working primarily on the gaseous effluent pathway at this time. R. Cook of their staff presented a summary of AP&L's March 3, 1976 letter to the staff. A question and answer period ensued.
2. AP&L believes they can meet the Appendix I requirements. They have the required codes and are presently collecting the necessary input information described in their March 3 letter.
3. AP&L believes they will be able to supply the information required by the staff by June 4, 1976. We will require a statement to that effect (which was omitted from their March 3, 1976 letter) or a description of the information that will be supplied by June 4, 1976.
4. The NRC staff had no basic problems with the methodology described by AP&L. The meteorological code to be used conforms to Regulatory Guide 1.23, and is not a direct application of the new Regulatory Guide 1.DD. The NRC staff will comment later on the acceptability of this proposal. AP&L stated that they believe their code provides a conservative approach compared to Regulatory Guide 1.DD.

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS
POOR QUALITY PAGES

OFFICE →							
SURNAME →							
DATE →						8004280	728 P

5. The NRC provided AP&L with the new Regulatory Guides which have been published to replace the draft guides. Other codes to have the gaseous and liquid pathways were also discussed and available to the licensee.
6. Administrative procedures for submittals of the required information were discussed. AP&L proposed to submit the information in letter for Unit 1 and in the form of an amendment to the Environmental Report for Unit 2. This was acceptable to the staff.
7. AP&L questioned the necessity of supplying information required by the staff to run a plant-specific cost benefit analysis. The staff confirmed the statement in our February 19, 1976 letter that such information would not be required at present. This position, however, is subject to change pending a court ruling on the Peach Bottom 2 and 3 case.

Original signed by
 William E. Converse
 William E. Converse
 Operating Reactor Branch #2
 Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosure:
 List of Attendees

cc: Arkansas Power & Light Company
 ATTN: Mr. J. D. Phillips
 Senior Vice President
 Production, Transmission,
 and Engineering
 Sixth and Pine Streets
 Pine Bluff, Arkansas 71601

OFFICE	DOR:ORB #2	DOR:ORB #2			
SURNAME	WEConverse:ah	DLSiemann			
DATE	4/7/76	4/8/76			

LIST OF ATTENDEES

MARCH 17, 1976

MEETING WITH AP&L

AP&L

R. M. Cook
D. A. Rueter
A. G. Mansell

NRC

W. Converse
R. Gotchy
E. F. Hawkins
F. P. Cardile
J. N. Donohew
W. C. Burke
J. A. Long
J. Collins
L. Andrews
F. Miraglia
D. L. Ziemann

OFFICE ➤					
SURNAME ➤					
DATE ➤					

MEETING SUMMARY DISTRIBUTION:

Docket
NRC PDR
Local PDR
ORB #2 Reading
NRR Reading
B. Rusche
E. Case
V. Stello
K. R. Goller
D. Eisenhut
T. J. Carter
R. A. Purple
G. E. Lear
R. W. Reid
L. C. Shao
R. L. Baer
A. Schwencer
B. K. Grimes
Project Manager
OELD
OIG&E (5)
RMDiggs
R. Fraley, ACRS (16)
T. B. Abernathy
J. R. Buchanan
NRC Participants: