

From: [mary.alumbaugh](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:00:02 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
mary alumbaugh
Pismo Beach, CA 93448

From: [ANNEMARIE WEIBEL](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 10:58:37 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
ANNEMARIE WEIBEL
Albion, CA 95410

From: [Marilynn B Church](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 10:51:26 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Marilynn B Church
Boys, MD 20841

From: [Nancy Bush](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 10:45:54 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nancy Bush
Lamy, NM 87540

From: [Donna Lozano](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 10:41:09 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Donna Lozano
Harlingen, TX 78552

From: [Kathy Strijek](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 10:39:14 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kathy Strijek
Palm Springs, CA 92262

From: [Jan Parham](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 10:33:25 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jan Parham
Wylie, TX 75098

From: [Tana Cahill](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 10:29:21 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Tana Cahill
Portland, OR 97202

From: [William Laird](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 10:22:06 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
William Laird
Omaha, NE 68105

From: [Stewart Hinze](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 10:11:13 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Stewart Hinze
Toledo, OH 43615

From: [John Hill](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 10:07:00 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
John Hill
San Antonio, TX 78240

From: [Deborah Nixon](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 10:02:01 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Deborah Nixon
Dallas, TX 75231

From: [Michael Gutleber](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 10:00:00 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Michael Gutleber
South Ozone Park, NY 11420

From: [Jacqueline Birnbaum](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 9:51:02 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Birnbaum
Bronxville, NY 10708

From: [Javier Rosado](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 9:40:15 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Javier Rosado
Sabana Grande, PR 00637

From: [Shannon Kresge](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 9:33:19 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Shannon Kresge
Trenton, NJ 08619

From: [Luke Metzger](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 9:29:04 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Sincerely,
Luke Metzger
Wichita, KS 67208

From: [BARRY WEINZVEG](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 9:28:18 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
BARRY WEINZVEG
Petaluma, CA 94952

From: [Roberto Esquivel Sr](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 9:28:05 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Roberto Esquivel Sr
San Antonio, TX 78212

From: [K Lasker](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 9:13:45 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
K Lasker
Philadelphia, PA 19111

From: [Edward Johnson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 9:02:26 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Edward Johnson
Indianapolis, IN 46228

From: [Sally Barney](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 8:55:24 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sally Barney
Pembroke, MA 02359

From: [Warren Kerrigan](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 8:54:33 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Warren Kerrigan
Berea, OH 44017

From: [Robert Cook](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 8:51:05 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Robert Cook
Lapeer, MI 48446

From: [Lori Rochette](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 8:48:26 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lori Rochette
Detroit, MI 48228

From: [Brunilda Betancourt](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 8:45:57 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Brunilda Betancourt
Tallahassee, FL 32309

From: [J. Allen Feryok](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 8:43:59 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
J. Allen Feryok
Monessen, PA 15062

From: [Richard Jones](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 8:41:55 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Richard Jones
San Diego, CA 92130

From: [Katherine Slawinski](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 8:39:26 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Katherine Slawinski
New York, NY 10003

From: [Tim Milam](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 8:37:56 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Tim Milam
Mission, TX 78572

From: [Margaret O'Malley](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 8:33:28 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Margaret O'Malley
Gloucester, MA 01930

From: [William Fensterer](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 8:21:21 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
William Fensterer
Fairfield, CT 06824

From: [Robert Cassinelli](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 8:17:32 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Robert Cassinelli
Sacramento, CA 95821

From: [Dennis Underwood](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 8:12:06 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dennis Underwood
Tacoma, WA 98404

From: [Stephen Blakely](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 8:12:03 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Stephen Blakely
Lutz, FL 33559

From: [Carmen Blakely](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 8:11:39 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carmen Blakely
Lutz, FL 33559

From: [Gray Anderson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 8:09:47 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Gray Anderson
White Plains, NY 10603

From: [Joseph Cox](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 8:05:46 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Joseph Cox
Reno, NV 89523

From: [Ruby C Brown](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 8:04:24 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ruby C Brown
Los Angeles, CA 90043

From: [Karl Johnson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 8:01:42 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Karl Johnson
AUSTIN, TX 78748

From: [James Harshfield](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 8:00:27 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
James Harshfield
Newport, OR 97365

From: [John Lamb](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:59:17 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
John Lamb
Sierra Madre, CA 91024

From: [JoAnn Polley](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:57:40 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
JoAnn Polley
Poulsbo, WA 98370

From: [Pia Gregan](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:56:12 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Pia Gregan
Redding, CA 96002

From: [Clyde Willson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:55:46 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Clyde Willson
Oakland, CA 94606

From: [Peter Burchard](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:43:54 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Peter Burchard
Fairfax, CA 94930

From: [Diane Brown](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:42:00 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Diane Brown
Saint Paul, MN 55109

From: [Glenna Dowling](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:37:56 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Glenna Dowling
San Francisco, CA 94115

From: [Bonnie McGill](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:35:46 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Bonnie McGill
Conneaut Lake, PA 16316

From: [Stephanie Trudeau](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:34:21 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Trudeau
West Palm Beach, FL 33406

From: [Erika Wanenmacher](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:33:07 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Erika Wanenmacher
Santa Fe, NM 87505

From: [Kate Reid](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:30:57 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kate Reid
Cambridge, NY 12826

From: [Patrick Burke](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:30:48 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Patrick Burke
Barre, VT 05641

From: [David Starke](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:28:25 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
David Starke
Vancouver, WA 98684

From: [Larise Boughner](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:26:43 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Larise Boughner
Cedar Park, TX 78613

From: [Edmund Levering](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:20:30 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Edmund Levering
Fort Collins, CO 80525

From: [BRENDA MARTIN](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:19:49 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
BRENDA MARTIN
North Pole, AK 99705

From: [Beverly Castricone](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:17:00 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Beverly Castricone
Holiday, FL 34691

From: [maggie dunford](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:14:06 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
maggie dunford
Bridgeport, CT 06604

From: [Myra Fedyniak](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:12:40 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Myra Fedyniak
Albany, NY 12210

From: [Peter Hartzman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:10:20 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Peter Hartzman
Sunnyvale, CA 94087

From: [Dave Crawford](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:07:29 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dave Crawford
White Bear Lake, MN 55110

From: [Alice Slater](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:06:27 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Alice Slater
Ny, NY 10028

From: [Nancy Heintz](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:05:52 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nancy Heintz
Chandler, AZ 85248

From: [Bill Rogers](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 7:04:49 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Bill Rogers
Bulverde, TX 78163

From: [Miriam Cantor](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 6:53:29 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Miriam Cantor
Los Angeles, CA 90019

From: [Peter Wolf](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 6:52:45 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Peter Wolf
Sebastopol, CA 95472

From: [barbara akre](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 6:51:36 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
barbara akre
coralville, IA 52241

From: [Karen Dushek](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 6:51:29 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Karen Dushek
West Bend, WI 53095

From: [Anita Youabian](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 6:50:40 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Anita Youabian
Los Angeles, CA 90024

From: [Ralph and Rita Greenberg](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 6:50:13 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ralph and Rita Greenberg
Ipswich, MA 01938

From: [noella schum](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 6:50:11 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
noella schum
Rochester, NY 14607

From: [Robert Marler](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 6:50:09 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Robert Marler
Mesa, AZ 85203

From: [Timothy Stoesz](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 6:50:03 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Timothy Stoesz
San Diego, CA 92104

From: [Carole Osborn](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 6:49:58 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carole Osborn
Winsted, CT 06098

From: [Mary Johannsen](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 6:49:54 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mary Johannsen
Minneapolis, MN 55411

From: [STACIE CHARLEBOIS](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 6:49:53 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
STACIE CHARLEBOIS
Sebastopol, CA 95472

From: [Sherry Vatter](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 6:49:50 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sherry Vatter
Los Angeles, CA 90034

From: [Anitra House](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 6:49:42 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Anitra House
Bloomington, IN 47408

From: [Allen LaLiberty](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 6:49:35 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Allen LaLiberty
Middletown, RI 02842

From: [Jordan Antonelli](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 6:49:33 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jordan Antonelli
Portland, OR 97202

From: [Corina Aragon](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 6:49:25 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Corina Aragon
Denver, CO 80238

From: [Andrea Ford](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 6:49:16 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Andrea Ford
Cameron Park, CA 95682

From: [Veena Singwi](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 6:49:14 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Veena Singwi
Evanston, IL 60202

From: [Rev. Meredith Anderson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 6:49:11 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rev. Meredith Anderson
Orwell, VT 05760

From: [Mary Shaffer](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 6:49:03 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mary Shaffer
San Francisco, CA 94117

From: [Rosaria Haugland](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 6:49:03 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rosaria Haugland
Palo Alto, CA 94301

From: [Ruth Handewith](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 5:59:09 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ruth Handewith
Seattle, WA 98115

From: [Jeffry Smallwood](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 5:58:40 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jeffry Smallwood
Arnold, MD 21012

From: [Andrew Wadsworth](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 5:51:42 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Andrew Wadsworth
Reading, PA 19606

From: [Claire M. Cohen](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 5:49:41 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Claire M. Cohen
Pittsburgh, PA 15206

From: [Dominic Percopo](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 5:48:10 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dominic Percopo
West Haven, CT 06516

From: [HEYWARD NASH](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 5:41:28 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
HEYWARD NASH
MPLS, MN 55404

From: [Diane Fails](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 5:40:02 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Diane Fails
Fremont, OH 43420

From: [Ed Pool](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 5:35:57 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ed Pool
bandon, OR 97458

From: [Christopher Welch](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 5:33:16 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Christopher Welch
Providence, RI 02904

From: [Noreen Duszynski](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 5:30:33 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Noreen Duszynski
Hyattsville, MD 20781

From: [Janice Dlugosz](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 5:28:37 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Janice Dlugosz
Beachwood, NJ 08722

From: [Tim Palmer](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 5:24:32 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Tim Palmer
Wrightstown, NJ 08562

From: [Amy Agigian](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 5:19:48 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Amy Agigian
Somerville, MA 02144

From: [Michael Butler](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 5:13:58 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Michael Butler
Clayton, CA 94517

From: [Cam Wolff](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 5:13:00 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Cam Wolff
Milwaukie, OR 97267

From: [Jeremy Hicks](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 5:11:22 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Hicks
Frederick, MD 21702

From: [Colin Bennett](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 5:06:53 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Colin Bennett
Louisville, KY 40204

From: [Shoshana Nejman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 5:03:18 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Shoshana Nejman
Grass Valley, CA 95945

From: [Elizabeth Henry](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 5:03:06 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Henry
Olathe, KS 66062

From: [Tacey Hellewell](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 5:01:19 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.
Tacey Hellewell
Registered voter
02909-1706

Sincerely,
Tacey Hellewell
Providence, RI 02909

From: [DONNA LITTLE](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:55:33 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
DONNA LITTLE
Goldfield, NV 89013

From: [Michele Leff](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:53:54 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Michele Leff
Gulfport, MS 39503

From: [Carolyn Khouri](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:53:00 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Khouri
Great Neck, NY 11021

From: [Robert Wroblewski](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:49:09 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Robert Wroblewski
Dearborn, MI 48126

From: [Nancy Carroll](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:45:23 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nancy Carroll
Cedar Mountain, NC 28718

From: [Daniel Slade](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:43:35 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Daniel Slade
san francisco, CA 94102

From: [Francisco Dacosta](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:43:09 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Francisco Dacosta
Willingboro, NJ 08046

From: [Kevin Fritz](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:40:11 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kevin Fritz
Ithaca, NY 14850

From: [k c](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:38:08 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
k c
vista, CA 92084

From: [Susan Babbitt](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:37:14 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Susan Babbitt
Philadelphia, PA 19107

From: [Christine Amisano](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:36:23 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Christine Amisano
Boston, MA 02129

From: [Gary Stackpole](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:33:26 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Gary Stackpole
North Haven, CT 06473

From: [JP Sammoury](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:33:03 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
JP Sammoury
Boulder, CO 80303

From: [Judith Mackenzie](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:31:19 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Judith Mackenzie
Minneapolis, MN 55403

From: [Christopher Pincetich](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:28:54 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Christopher Pincetich
Point Reyes Station, CA 94956

From: [Ronit Corry](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:27:02 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ronit Corry
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

From: [Russell Novkov](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:23:22 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Russell Novkov
Madison, WI 53705

From: [Maria Miller](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:23:21 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Maria Miller
Grand Rapids, MI 49505

From: [Herman Schiller](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:21:19 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Herman Schiller
New Bern, NC 28560

From: [Peter Frisbee](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:20:29 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Peter Frisbee
Chicago, IL 60622

From: [Jordan and Elizabeth Holtam](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:20:14 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jordan and Elizabeth Holtam
Weaverville, NC 28787

From: [Steve Schildwachter](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:11:11 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Steve Schildwachter
Winter Garden, FL 34787

From: [Naomi Kumar](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:09:34 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Naomi Kumar
Germantown, MD 20874

From: [Janet Gooch](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:09:19 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Janet Gooch
Norfolk, VA 23517

From: [Brenda Scott](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 4:06:12 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Brenda Scott
Atlanta, GA 30310

From: [Lenny Obolsky](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 3:58:48 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lenny Obolsky
Centennial, CO 80122

From: [Sandra French](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 3:58:41 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sandra French
New Albany, IN 47150

From: [Michelle Ash](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 3:56:14 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Michelle Ash
Lake City, MI 49651

From: [Joyce Harrington](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 3:55:17 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Joyce Harrington
South Bend, IN 46615

From: [Theresa Watson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 3:54:17 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Theresa Watson
Cherry Hill, NJ 08034

From: [Pascale Macleod](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 3:52:43 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Pascale Macleod
San Francisco, CA 94121

From: [Catherine Quigg](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 3:41:33 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Catherine Quigg
Arlington Heights, IL 60005

From: [susanne berntsson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 3:41:10 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
susanne berntsson
Eastvale, CA 92880

From: [Lindsey Simmer](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 3:38:02 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lindsey Simmer
Austin, TX 78756

From: [Christian Berg](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 3:34:41 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Christian Berg
Oakwood, OH 45419

From: [lyn capurro](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 3:33:32 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
lyn capurro
greatneck, NY 11021

From: [Ingeborg MacKay](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 3:31:09 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ingeborg MacKay
Petaluma, CA 94954

From: [Rizwana Ashraf](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 3:30:01 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rizwana Ashraf
Richmond, TX 77469

From: [Daphne Wong](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 3:29:28 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Daphne Wong
Monterey Park, CA 91754

From: [Rodney Busskohl](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 3:19:14 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rodney Busskohl
Blair, NE 68008

From: [Daniel Duller](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 3:10:19 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Daniel Duller
Kernersville, NC 27284

From: [Lucy J Swanson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 3:08:45 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lucy J Swanson
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

From: [Alison Pitale](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 3:03:28 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Alison Pitale
Beaverton, OR 97003

From: [MITCHELL GOLDBERG](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 3:02:52 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
MITCHELL GOLDBERG
PHOENIXVILLE, PA 19460

From: [John Campbell](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 3:01:59 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
John Campbell
Jupiter, FL 33469

From: [Robert Racine](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:58:37 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Robert Racine
Mesa, AZ 85201

From: [Carol Bergsma](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:58:15 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carol Bergsma
Springfield, OR, OR 97478

From: [Jason Miller](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:50:21 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jason Miller
Arlington, VA 22201

From: [Paul Singdahlsen](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:50:12 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Paul Singdahlsen
Santa Fe, NM 87507

From: [Charles Suggs](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:42:42 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Charles Suggs
Norman, OK 73026

From: [Richard Patenaude](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:41:02 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Richard Patenaude
Hayward, CA 94541

From: [David Aylward](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:39:34 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
David Aylward
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [Susan Rodriguez](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:38:08 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Susan Rodriguez
Dilley, TX 78017

From: [Tiffany Ray](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:37:41 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Tiffany Ray
Pineville, NC 28134

From: [Jacqueline Knable](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:37:40 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Knable
Hendersonville, NC 28791

From: [Kelsey Cramer](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:37:14 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kelsey Cramer
Minneapolis, MN 55415

From: [Gary Freeman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:35:27 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Gary Freeman
Fort Davis, TX 79734

From: [Mallory Musser](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:32:23 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mallory Musser
Bay City, MI 48708

From: [Diane Salsitz](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:31:10 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Diane Salsitz
Aiken, SC 29803

From: [Susan Norton](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:26:09 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Susan Norton
Salem, OH 44460

From: [Janver Derrington](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:25:23 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Janver Derrington
Grand Junction, CO 81504

From: [Rita Lethert](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:20:08 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rita Lethert
Fairborn, OH 45324

From: [Jane Stevens](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:19:01 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jane Stevens
Painesville, OH 44077

From: [Carol Brandt](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:13:41 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carol Brandt
Raymore, MO 64083

From: [Jim Holyoak](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:09:34 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jim Holyoak
Pac, CA 90272

From: [John Massman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:08:19 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
John Massman
Antioch, IL 60002

From: [Lyle J. Dykstra](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:06:08 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lyle J. Dykstra
Newark, DE 19702

From: [Margaret Toews](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:06:04 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Margaret Toews
Menlo Park, CA 94025

From: [Stephen Boletchek](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:06:03 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Stephen Boletchek
Apex, NC 27502

From: [William Schatz](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:06:03 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
William Schatz
Palm Coast, FL 32164

From: [Denise Spenard](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:06:01 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Denise Spenard
Marshall, CA 94940

From: [Donna Andes](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:05:31 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Donna Andes
READING, PA 19605

From: [p. lapcevic](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:05:25 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
p. lapcevic
Espanola, NM 87532

From: [Margaret Survance](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:05:25 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Margaret Survance
Medina, OH 44256

From: [Hanna Wolfinger](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:05:24 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Hanna Wolfinger
Fredericksburg, VA 22407

From: [Jared Cornelia](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:05:24 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jared Cornelia
Wilmington, DE 19804

From: [Susan Sontag](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:05:23 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Susan Sontag
Saint Louis, MO 63130

From: [Darlene Jakusz](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:05:23 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Darlene Jakusz
Amherst Jct., WI 54407

From: [Nikki Doyle](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:05:23 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nikki Doyle
Oakland, CA 94602

From: [monique la marca](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:05:21 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
monique la marca
Paris, TX 75016

From: [Karlene Gunter](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:05:16 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Karlene Gunter
Rochester, NY 14618

From: [George Schaefer](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:05:09 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
George Schaefer
Kinnelon, NJ 07405

From: [David Campbell](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:05:08 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
David Campbell
Shelby, NC 28152

From: [Mary Riley](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:05:06 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mary Riley
Hoquiam, WA 98550

From: [Sandra Mogg](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:05:05 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sandra Mogg
Saint Joseph, MO 64503

From: [Edna Elze](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:05:05 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Edna Elze
Thornton, WA 99176

From: [Hal Glidden](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:05:02 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Hal Glidden
Bellingham, WA 98225

From: [Marta McCracken](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:05:01 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Marta McCracken
Anchorage, AK 99508

From: [Thomas Holubeck](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:05:00 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Thomas Holubeck
Cincinnati, OH 45215

From: [Herbert Elwell](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:05:00 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Herbert Elwell
Lawrenceville, PA 16929

From: [Larry Georgeson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:04:52 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Larry Georgeson
Miami, FL 33181

From: [Kimberly Vaz](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:04:45 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kimberly Vaz
Wesley Chapel, FL 33543

From: [Rafaela Arias](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:04:41 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rafaela Arias
Asheville, NC 28806

From: [sara gothelf-bloom](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:04:33 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
sara gothelf-bloom
new york city, NY 10003

From: [Diana Crispi](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 2:04:31 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Diana Crispi
Los Angeles, CA 90034

From: [David Copper](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:57:52 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
David Copper
Staunton, VA 24401

From: [Carol Nau](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:56:09 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carol Nau
Jarrettsville, MD 21084

From: [Jane White](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:49:51 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jane White
Asheville, NC 28803

From: [Margot Lenhart](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:49:07 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Margot Lenhart
Brookline, MA 02445

From: [Paul Kesler](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:48:17 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Paul Kesler
Bridgeport, PA 19405

From: [Susan Proietta](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:47:12 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Susan Proietta
Philadelphia, PA 19111

From: [Brittany Porter](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:46:45 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Brittany Porter
SAINT ALBANS, VT 05478

From: [SUSAN WITKA](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:46:30 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
SUSAN WITKA
San Francisco, CA 94121

From: [Roberta Weiner](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:44:56 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Roberta Weiner
Altoona, PA 16602

From: [Clint Bahr](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:41:57 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Clint Bahr
New York, NY 10023

From: [Sally sherbina](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:39:03 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sally sherbina
Green Valley, AZ 85614

From: [Peg Lee](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:36:03 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Peg Lee
Carrboro, NC 27510

From: [Sigh O'Nara](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:35:52 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sigh O'Nara
Eugene, OR 97402

From: [Annette Garner](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:34:50 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Annette Garner
Washougal, WA 98671

From: [Darlene Satterwhite](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:34:40 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Darlene Satterwhite
North Hollywood, CA 91606

From: [Barbara Armstrong-Magwood](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:34:13 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Barbara Armstrong-Magwood
Panorama City, CA 91402

From: [Richard Peterson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:32:29 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Richard Peterson
Northbrook, IL 60062

From: [Victoria Mack](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:29:46 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Victoria Mack
East Windsor, NJ 08520

From: [James Derzon](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:26:27 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
James Derzon
Saranac Lake, NY 12983

From: [Cori Bishop](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:23:44 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Cori Bishop
Egg Harbor City, NJ 08215

From: [Susan Gottfried](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:21:31 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly OPPOSE the NRC's proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is “not generally acceptable for near surface disposal.” This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC’s proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Susan Gottfried
State College, PA 16803

From: [Randi Saslow](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:17:06 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Randi Saslow
Hamden, CT 06514

From: [Barbara Clark](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:14:20 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Barbara Clark
Danville, VA 24541

From: [Iris Edinger](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:13:59 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Iris Edinger
Woodland Hills, CA 91367

From: [Jill Godmilow](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:11:56 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jill Godmilow
New York, NY 10036

From: [Matt Myers](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:07:37 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Matt Myers
Austin, TX 78701

From: [Nola Becket](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:04:57 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nola Becket
Portland, OR 97203

From: [Dimitri Katsaros](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 12:02:59 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dimitri Katsaros
San Jose, CA 95129

From: [David Lock](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:55:43 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
David Lock
Ada, MI 49301

From: [David Lock](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:55:31 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
David Lock
Ada, MI 49301

From: [Melissa Shakman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:52:20 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Melissa Shakman
Chicago, IL 60637

From: [steve hopkins](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:49:43 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
steve hopkins
Rye, NY 10580

From: [Stephan Filsinger](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:49:40 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Stephan Filsinger
LAKELAND, FL 33809

From: [steve hopkins](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:49:08 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
steve hopkins
Rye, NY 10580

From: [Carroll & Susanne Barrymore](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:46:09 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carroll & Susanne Barrymore
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

From: [Chris Washington](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:43:08 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Chris Washington
New York, NY 10019

From: [Carroll & Susanne Barrymore](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:43:06 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carroll & Susanne Barrymore
Santa barbara, CA 93105

From: [Gina Hart](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:40:03 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Gina Hart
Maryland Heights, MO 63043

From: [Charles Fry](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:39:46 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Charles Fry
Sunnyvale, CA 94085

From: [Cheryl McGraw](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:38:31 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I STRONGLY OPPOSE the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Cheryl McGraw
Raleigh, NC 27606

From: [Peg Hewitt](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:36:54 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Peg Hewitt
Roxbury Crossing, MA 02120

From: [Lorraine Moore](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:35:31 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lorraine Moore
San Antonio, TX 78210

From: [James Scoville](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:34:53 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
James Scoville
Sturgeon Bay, WI 54235

From: [Thomas Crothers](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:33:55 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Thomas Crothers
Lexington, KY 40503

From: [Larry Karns](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:28:03 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Larry Karns
Shoreline, WA 98155

From: [Rosemarie Pace](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:27:37 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rosemarie Pace
Middle Village, NY 11379

From: [Paul Flynn](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:26:16 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Paul Flynn
Schenectady, NY 12345

From: [Sandra Van Horn](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:26:05 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sandra Van Horn
Laguna Woods, CA 92637

From: [Mary Bostick](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 12:33:00 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mary Bostick
Moscow, ID 83843

From: [Cinthya Miley](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 12:30:52 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Cinthya Miley
Walling, TN 38587

From: [Barry S. Finkel](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 12:28:33 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Barry S. Finkel
Chicago, IL 60643

From: [Edward Sullivan](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 12:24:31 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Edward Sullivan
San Francisco, CA 94121

From: [Alice Sather](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 12:03:30 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Alice Sather
Newfolden, MN 56738

From: [Richard Preston](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 11:54:53 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Richard Preston
Mono Hot Springs, CA 93642

From: [Laura Gandolfo](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 11:27:16 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Laura Gandolfo
Ridgewood, NY 11385

From: [Kristi McCollum](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 11:13:49 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kristi McCollum
DeWitt, MO 64639

From: [Elizabeth D.](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 11:10:25 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth D.
Morris Plains, NJ 07950

From: [Kathleen Conrad](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 10:53:50 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Conrad
North Yarmouth, ME 04097

From: [Michelle Sewald](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 10:42:36 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Michelle Sewald
Denver, CO 80202

From: [Thomas Mittelstaedt](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 10:41:09 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Thomas Mittelstaedt
Washburn, WI 54891

From: [Sara Langan](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 10:35:12 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sara Langan
Omaha, NE 68142

From: [Catherine Chambers](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 10:34:07 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Catherine Chambers
Los osos, CA 93412

From: [Randi Langas](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 9:58:29 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Randi Langas
Saint Petersburg, FL 33702

From: [Katharine Levensgood](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 9:57:24 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Katharine Levensgood
Philadelphia, PA 19147

From: [John Velner](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 9:15:28 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
John Velner
Adrian, MI 49221

From: [Matt Miksys](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 9:12:25 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I'm also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Matt Miksys
Westmont, IL 60559

From: [Laura Harrower](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 9:08:36 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Laura Harrower
Brattleboro, VT 05301

From: [Matthew Weaver](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 8:39:51 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Matthew Weaver
Genoa, OH 43430

From: [Elizabeth Roberts](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 8:13:15 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Roberts
Chicago, IL 60613

From: [Faith WeidnerMD](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 7:55:49 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste. Changing the label of highly dangerous nuclear material for business convenience does not change the danger of said material and must not change the proper long term disposal of such material.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Faith WeidnerMD
Simsbury, CT 06070

From: [Elizabeth Anderson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 6:44:14 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Anderson
Haverford, PA 19041

From: [Marian Shemberg](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 5:49:46 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Marian Shemberg
Columbus, OH 43235

From: [Gary Jones](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 5:25:27 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Gary Jones
san marino, CA 91108

From: [Linda Stock](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 4:34:55 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Linda Stock
Cypress, CA 90630

From: [Charlotte Connelly](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 4:34:05 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Charlotte Connelly
Dallas, TX 75233

From: [Linda Stock](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 4:32:23 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Linda Stock
Cypress, CA 90630

From: [mark.pinter](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 4:24:57 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
mark pinter
Batavia, IL 60510

From: [Pamela Scott](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 3:47:55 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Pamela Scott
Boulder Creek, CA 95006

From: [Allen Leinwand](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 3:33:10 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Allen Leinwand
San Jose, CA 95124

From: [K. Chung](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 3:11:01 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
K. Chung
Honolulu, HI 96816

From: [Madolyn Rogers](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 2:21:21 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Madolyn Rogers
Cross Plains, WI 53528

From: [Janet Moran](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 1:45:35 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Janet Moran
San Bernardino, CA 92408

From: [Nicole Poston](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 1:23:57 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nicole Poston
Canton, OH 44708

From: [Dorothy Saxe](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 1:20:59 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dorothy Saxe
Menlo Park, CA 94025

From: [Steven Rosenberg](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 1:20:04 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Steven Rosenberg
El Paso, TX 79938

From: [Kathy Shores](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 12:48:56 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kathy Shores
Tempe, AZ 85281

From: [Louise Fender](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 12:43:51 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Louise Fender
Richmond, CA 94801

From: [Wendy Rangel](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 12:43:40 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Wendy Rangel
Key West, FL 33040

From: [Hana Correa](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 12:39:19 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Hana Correa
La Quinta, CA 92253

From: [Charles Tribbey](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 12:31:40 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Charles Tribbey
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

From: [robert fleck](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 12:31:39 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
robert fleck
New York, NY 10009

From: [Raymond Intemann](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 12:31:32 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Raymond Intemann
cliffside park, NJ 07010

From: [Monica Atkinson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 12:31:29 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Monica Atkinson
Gonzales, TX 78629

From: [Bradford Smith](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 11:57:46 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Bradford Smith
Johnson, VT 05656

From: [Olga Strickland](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 11:50:16 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Olga Strickland
Mesa, AZ 85201

From: [Vita Weaver](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 11:43:05 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Vita Weaver
Olympia, WA 98501

From: [Deena Brazy](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 11:41:18 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Deena Brazy
Madison, WI 53711

From: [Jeanne Schlatter](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 11:33:25 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jeanne Schlatter
Coshocton, OH 43812

From: [Steven Woolpert](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 11:10:16 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Steven Woolpert
White Salmon, WA 98672

From: [Rachel Wynnberry](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 11:08:27 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rachel Wynnberry
Sarasota, FL 34235

From: [Sue Kane](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 11:04:10 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sue Kane
Tipton, MI 49287

From: [Margo Lesser](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:58:18 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." The proposal would upend established principles for the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years), and other types of nuclear waste. Only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be handled by a company that isn't licensed to handle such waste, allow it to be disposed of in a shallow pit, and near a large aquifer. This is a recipe for disaster!

The disposal site is the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. Yet the proposed rule change seems designed to allow exactly that inadequate and risky disposal.

Furthermore, there is major risk in the transport of GTCC waste across the country that has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk when the dangerous waste travels through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this proposal and to develop a genuinely safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class-C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Margo Lesser
Birmingham, MI 48009

From: [Jeanne Schlatter](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:55:36 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jeanne Schlatter
Coshocton, OH 43812

From: [Maureen Brett](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:50:12 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Maureen Brett
Cleveland, OH 44120

From: [Robert Rutherford](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:24:36 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Robert Rutherford
Healdsburg, CA 95448

From: [Patricia White](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:22:55 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Patricia White
Louisville, CO 80027

From: [Sheila Baker](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:19:30 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sheila Baker
Petaluma, CA 94952

From: [Bruce Zivley](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:15:08 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Bruce Zivley
Wimberley, TX 78676

From: [Paula Bongo](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:10:41 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Paula Bongo
Fayetteville, AR 72704

From: [Angela Cole](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:05:06 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Angela Cole
Erie, MI 48133

From: [Susan Dettloff](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 9:56:54 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Susan Dettloff
Houghton, MI 49931

From: [Lawrence Magliola](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 9:51:52 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lawrence Magliola
SEQUIM, WA 98382

From: [Emily Moran](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 9:45:04 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Emily Moran
Merced, CA 95340

From: [Howard Fernandez](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 9:40:13 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Howard Fernandez
San Francisco, CA 94118

From: [K.C](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 9:27:32 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
K C
toledo, OH 43611

From: [Joann Ramos](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 9:24:33 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Joann Ramos
Iselin, NJ 08830

From: michael.stewart-abernathy
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 9:18:47 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
michael.stewart-abernathy
russellville, AR 72801

From: [Deborah Eisenberg](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 9:17:46 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Deborah Eisenberg
New York, NY 10011

From: [Ruth Anthony-Gardner](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 9:05:56 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ruth Anthony-Gardner
Bensalem, PA 19020

From: [Sherilyn Moe](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:59:31 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sherilyn Moe
Minneapolis, MN 55421

From: [Shan Albert](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:55:49 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.
Stop playing fast and loose with our children's future!!!!

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Shan Albert
Studio City, CA 91604

From: [Lisa Garrett](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:50:53 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lisa Garrett
Brooklyn, NY 11211

From: [Genevieve Miller](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:36:01 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Genevieve Miller
Vienna, VA 22180

From: [Aurora Grabill](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:35:32 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Aurora Grabill
Framingham, MA 01701

From: [Stephen MacNish](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:34:06 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Stephen MacNish
Pleasant Valley, NY 12569

From: [James Phelps](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:31:54 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
James Phelps
Saint Louis, MO 63130

From: [M.J. Schuon](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:29:52 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
M J Schuon
Lansing, MI 48910

From: [Angie Dixon](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:20:24 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Angie Dixon
Clinton, WA 98236

From: [Chadidjah McFall](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:12:37 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Chadidjah McFall
Berkeley, CA 94702

From: [jason weinstock](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:06:00 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
jason weinstock
spokane, WA 99201

From: [Rev. Meredith Anderson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:01:12 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rev. Meredith Anderson
Orwell, VT 05760

From: [Karl Lohrmann](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 7:59:51 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Karl Lohrmann
El Segundo, CA 90245

From: [Sam You](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 7:58:45 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sam You
San Antonio, TX 78233

From: [elisabeth solomon](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 7:36:43 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
elisabeth solomon
chicago, IL 60626

From: [Kenneth Smith](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 7:34:46 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kenneth Smith
Austin, TX 78754

From: [Jason Moore](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 7:34:45 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jason Moore
Portland, OR 97229

From: [Elizabeth Edinger](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 7:34:44 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste. An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years), and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.
Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Edinger
North Hollywood, CA 91601

From: [Catherine Raymond](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 7:34:36 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Catherine Raymond
Penn Valley, PA 19072

From: [Randy Nies](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 7:34:17 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Randy Nies
Minneapolis, MN 55408

From: [Bruce Howell](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 7:34:14 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Bruce Howell
Morton, IL 61550

From: [Gina Turner](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 6:59:00 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Gina Turner
Memphis, TN 38122

From: [Margaret Neumann](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 6:58:28 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Margaret Neumann
Waterford, MI 48327

From: [Edward Pitassi](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 6:32:36 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Edward Pitassi
Coraopolis, PA 15108

From: [Becca Greenstein](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 6:31:21 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Becca Greenstein
Chicago, IL 60660

From: [BILLY WOODS](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 6:15:28 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
BILLY WOODS
Chaffee, MO 63740

From: [Ann Bein](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 6:08:01 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ann Bein
Los Angeles, CA 90064

From: [Michelle Orengo-McFarlane](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 6:03:48 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Michelle Orengo-McFarlane
El Sobrante, CA 94803

From: [Susan Kutz](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 5:59:57 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Susan Kutz
Las Cruces, NM 88012

From: [patricia.covington](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 5:58:08 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
patricia.covington
Corpus Christi, TX 78404

From: [Margaret Osbakken](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 5:56:25 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Margaret Osbakken
Belvidere, IL 61008

From: siochai.oconnor
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 5:55:33 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
siochai.oconnor
Eugene, OR 97401

From: [anne heaney](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 5:52:21 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
anne heaney
nyc, NY 10014

From: [Laura Garro](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 5:42:50 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Laura Garro
Davenport, IA 52806

From: [Jan Vough](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 5:33:43 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jan Vough
Bristol, CT 06010

From: [Douglas Sedon](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 5:28:26 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Douglas Sedon
Jefferson, MD 21755

From: [D.H](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 5:25:21 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
D H
Fort Pierce, FL 34949

From: [Carolyn Cooper](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 5:18:40 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Cooper
Philadelphia, PA 19138

From: [Robert Glover](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 5:16:02 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Robert Glover
Fresno, CA 93726

From: [Patricia Wong](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 5:00:45 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Patricia Wong
Yorba Linda, CA 92887

From: [David Broadwater](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 4:37:54 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
David Broadwater
Atascadero, CA 93422

From: [Charlene Woodcock](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 4:29:44 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Charlene Woodcock
Berkeley, CA 94709

From: [Rhonda Peters](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 4:24:07 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rhonda Peters
Lakewood, CO 80228

From: [Marcus Straub](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 4:18:31 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Marcus Straub
Grand Junction, CO 81507

From: [Cynthia McKeen](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 4:14:22 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Cynthia McKeen
Saint Paul, MN 55102

From: [Betty G.](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 4:14:21 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Betty G.
Yarnell, AZ 85362

From: [Kathleen Oliver](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 4:13:03 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Oliver
Cayucos, CA 93430

From: [Linda Dodson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 4:00:39 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Linda Dodson
Seattle, WA 98104

From: [Roger Clyne](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 3:56:51 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Roger Clyne
Homer, AK 99603

From: [Julie Mansfield-Wells](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 3:27:12 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Julie Mansfield-Wells
Morro Bay, CA 93442

From: [Dorothy and Richard Chamberlin](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 3:01:17 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dorothy and Richard Chamberlin
Colorado Springs, CO 80905

From: [Lindsay Ward](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 2:40:18 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lindsay Ward
Lynnwood, WA 98036

From: [Robin Cottrell](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 2:40:08 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Robin Cottrell
Captain Cook, HI 96704

From: [A.L. Steiner](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 2:40:03 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
A.L. Steiner
Los Angeles, CA 90063

From: [Vicki Duggin](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 2:39:55 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Vicki Duggin
Kenai, AK 99611

From: [Cynthia Hart](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 2:39:52 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Hart
Cuyler, NY 13158

From: [edith gnasso](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 2:39:43 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
edith gnasso
calimesa, CA 92320

From: [Julia Stein](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 2:39:41 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Julia Stein
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

From: [mike kehl](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 2:39:26 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
mike kehl
San Francisco, CA 94133

From: [Elaine Holder](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 1:58:10 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elaine Holder
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

From: [Matt Haddock](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 1:55:51 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify this waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Matt Haddock
Indianapolis, IN 46231

From: [Mark Hargraves](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 1:48:31 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mark Hargraves
Sebastopol, CA 95472

From: [Ba Miller](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 1:33:58 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ba Miller
San Antonio, TX 78217

From: [Claudia Miller](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 1:16:54 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Claudia Miller
Oroville, WA 98844

From: [Margaret Fertschneider](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 1:09:16 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Margaret Fertschneider
Atascadero, CA 93422

From: [Ann Sligar](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 1:05:49 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ann Sligar
Carthage, MO 64836

From: [santos rivera](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:56:13 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
santos rivera
Kissimmee, FL 34758

From: [James Silberschlag](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:51:58 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
James Silberschlag
Waukesha, WI 53188

From: [LII D](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:51:57 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
LII D
Albany, CA 94706

From: [Abigail Bline](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:51:01 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Abigail Bline
Los Angeles, CA 90034

From: [Roberta Jackson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:48:12 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Roberta Jackson
Portland, OR 97229

From: [Jasper Dionisio](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:47:33 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jasper Dionisio
Englewood, NJ 07631

From: [Michael Iltis](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:46:24 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Michael Iltis
Madison, WI 53713

From: [Alex Balboa](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:40:08 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Alex Balboa
Bel Air, MD 21015

From: [Stew Fishelman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:33:48 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Stew Fishelman
Hanover, PA 17331

From: [Andrei Smarandoiu](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:31:42 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Andrei Smarandoiu
Somerville, MA 02145

From: [Sandy Silver](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:28:18 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sandy Silver
Santa Cruz, CA 95062

From: [John Bartlett](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:23:47 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
John Bartlett
Nashua, NH 03064

From: [Kyle Kleckner](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:21:18 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kyle Kleckner
Berkley, MI 48072

From: [Matt Caldie](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:12:15 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Matt Caldie
Bloomington, IN 47401

From: [Gustavo F.](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:12:04 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Gustavo F.
Jamaica, NY 11435

From: [Izabela Swiecka](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:11:10 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Izabela Swiecka
Ny, NY 10009

From: [Holly Grundheber](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 11:54:36 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Holly Grundheber
Charlotte, NC 28210

From: [SHARON TOLER](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 11:51:58 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
SHARON TOLER
HUNTINGTON, TX 75949

From: [Kim McCormick](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 11:51:31 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kim McCormick
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

From: [Dave Shirley](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 11:39:51 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dave Shirley
Old Orchard Beach, ME 04064

From: [Blake McLean](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 11:35:46 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Blake McLean
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275

From: [Dennis Moninghoff](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 11:31:05 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dennis Moninghoff
Laurel, MD 20707

From: [Mikael Estarrona](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 11:26:52 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mikael Estarrona
Cochise, AZ 85606

From: [Nina Hamilton](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 11:25:10 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nina Hamilton
Pittsburgh, PA 15232

From: [Dan Wicht](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 11:18:36 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dan Wicht
Fridley, MN 55432

From: [Jace Galley](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 11:00:12 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jace Galley
Neenah, WI 54956

From: [Mike Mason](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:57:21 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mike Mason
santa fe, NM 87505

From: [Fred Frank](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:57:21 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Fred Frank
Atascadero, CA 93422

From: [Jeffery Olson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:56:21 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jeffery Olson
Vista, CA 92084

From: [Eric Dandurand](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:55:03 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Eric Dandurand
Morro Bay, CA 93442

From: [Andy Berkvist](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:54:00 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Andy Berkvist
Northampton, MA 01060

From: [Freya Harris](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:50:06 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Freya Harris
Atlanta, GA 30342

From: [Annette Spanhel](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:48:03 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Annette Spanhel
Driftwood, TX 78619

From: [Lorraine Donegan](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:47:12 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lorraine Donegan
Grover beach, CA 93433

From: [Donna Pimble](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:45:01 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Donna Pimble
Holland, PA 18966

From: [Nathan Coles](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:44:24 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nathan Coles
Brentwood, TN 37027

From: [Carrie Hildeman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:44:22 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carrie Hildeman
Milwaukee, WI 53218

From: [Mary Lovelock](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:43:16 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mary Lovelock
Raleigh, NC 27604

From: [Toni Fernandes](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:39:48 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Toni Fernandes
San Diego, CA 92108

From: [Wanda Mourant](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:36:02 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Wanda Mourant
Framingham, MA 01702

From: [Santiago Bobadilla](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:31:09 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Santiago Bobadilla
Lancaster, PA 17602

From: [Alice Gard](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:31:09 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Alice Gard
Naples, FL 34102

From: [katie keith](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:28:38 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
katie keith
gladwin, MI 48624

From: [Judy Lasko](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:13:32 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Judy Lasko
New York, NY 10025

From: [Paula Towry](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:08:29 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Paula Towry
Atlanta, GA 30344

From: [George Kramer](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:07:01 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
George Kramer
Laurel, MD 20707

From: [Anne Aylor](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 10:06:54 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Anne Aylor
Las Cruces, NM 88011

From: [Christine Ciempola](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 9:59:39 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Christine Ciempola
Anderson, SC 29624

From: [Amelia Kroeger](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 9:55:16 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I absolutely agree and strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Amelia Kroeger
Minneapolis, MN 55427

From: [Grace Ellis](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 9:50:43 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Grace Ellis
Stockbridge, GA 30281

From: [robert Comisso](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 9:49:48 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
robert Comisso
Steubenville, OH 43952

From: [Kathryn Rose](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 9:43:28 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kathryn Rose
Denver, CO 80205

From: [Juliette Cunico](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 9:43:27 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Juliette Cunico
Albuquerque, NM 87106

From: [Jane Randall](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 9:43:26 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jane Randall
Chestertown, MD 21620

From: [Eric Greening](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 9:43:26 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Eric Greening
Atascadero, CA 93422

From: [Edward Kehler](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 9:43:25 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Edward Kehler
San Francisco, CA 94122

From: [George Lewis](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 9:43:17 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
George Lewis
Los Osos, CA 93402

From: [Diane Faircloth](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 9:43:16 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Diane Faircloth
Hartly, DE 19953

From: [Marlene Harmon](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 9:43:03 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Marlene Harmon
Cleveland, OH 44124

From: [Jeanne Klein](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 9:42:56 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jeanne Klein
Lawrence, KS 66046

From: [Todd Trimble](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 9:42:49 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Todd Trimble
Redding, CT 06896

From: [Jen Messina](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:56:08 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jen Messina
Ely, NV 89301

From: [Marty Brown](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:51:12 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Marty Brown
Atascadero, CA 93422

From: [Cindy Dutka](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:47:35 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Cindy Dutka
Philadelphia, PA 19151

From: [Adam Versenyi](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:47:06 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Adam Versenyi
Carrboro, NC 27510

From: [Elyse Brows](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:43:29 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elyse Brows
West Hempstead, NY 11552

From: [edward miggins](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:41:08 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
edward miggins
Morro Bay 9, CA 93442

From: [Trish Peterson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:30:14 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Trish Peterson
Lady lake, FL 32159

From: [Jana Theis](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:25:25 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jana Theis
Santa Fe, NM 87507

From: [Deborah Kratzer](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:24:13 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Deborah Kratzer
Frenchtown, NJ 08825

From: [Daphne Bradshaw](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:19:43 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Daphne Bradshaw
Frostburg, MD 21532

From: [Henry Kruger](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:14:09 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Henry Kruger
Eureka, CA 95501

From: [Miriam Burstein](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 8:09:57 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Miriam Burstein
Paoli, PA 19301

From: [Beth Renwick](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 7:38:35 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Beth Renwick
Baltimore, MD 21218

From: [Susan Dobbelaere](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 7:25:04 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Susan Dobbelaere
Overland Park, KS 66223

From: [Deborah Massa](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 6:58:05 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Deborah Massa
Newburyport, MA 01950

From: [Omar Boumali](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 6:55:43 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Omar Boumali
El Paso, TX 79901

From: [BEVERLY DEUTSCH](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 6:40:04 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
BEVERLY DEUTSCH
Austin, TX 78745

From: [Marvin Westen](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 6:33:43 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Marvin Westen
Waynesboro, VA 22980

From: [Christine Cooper](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 6:09:34 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Christine Cooper
Montgomery, TX 77356

From: [dinmani savla](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 5:47:56 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
dinmani savla
mountain view, CA 94040

From: [Kathy Dabanian](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 5:32:13 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kathy Dabanian
Sellersville, PA 18960

From: [Amy Watrous](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 4:49:17 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Amy Watrous
Columbia, MO 65201

From: [Pam Sheeler](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 4:34:11 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Pam Sheeler
Loveland, CO 80538

From: [Diane Thodos](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 3:33:50 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Diane Thodos
Evanston, IL 60201

From: [Evan Ingle](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 3:14:03 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Evan Ingle
San Diego, CA 92111

From: [Dr Joanne Martin](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 2:53:39 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dr Joanne Martin
Gwynn Oak, MD 21207

From: [Michele Reed](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 2:29:13 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Michele Reed
Templeton, CA 93465

From: [Dolores Reynolds](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 2:12:45 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dolores Reynolds
Grand Junction, MI 49056

From: [Lana Touchstone](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 1:27:46 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lana Touchstone
Vallejo, CA 94591

From: [Stephen Siodlarz](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 1:20:54 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Stephen Siodlarz
New Berlin, WI 53151

From: [Michele Flom](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 1:18:41 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Michele Flom
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

From: [Gina Mori](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 1:12:07 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Gina Mori
Arroyo Grande, CA 93420

From: [del ericson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 1:10:54 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
del ericson
North Collins, NY 14111

From: [James Michael "Mike" Henderson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 1:05:05 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
James Michael "Mike" Henderson
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

From: [Elizabeth Davis](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 1:04:38 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Davis
Sterling, VA 20164

From: [Jeff Condit](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:49:18 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jeff Condit
Paradise, CA 95969

From: [Gerhard Eckardt](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:47:58 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Gerhard Eckardt
Boise, ID 83713

From: [Velda Smith](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:42:33 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Velda Smith
Sun Lakes, AZ 85248

From: [Marta Boyett](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:29:32 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Marta Boyett
Elmira, OR 97437

From: [Fredrick Seil](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:28:33 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Fredrick Seil
Berkeley, CA 94708

From: [Nelson S.](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:21:07 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nelson S.
Ypsilanti, MI 48198

From: [Robin Dax](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:20:08 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Robin Dax
Odenton, MD 21113

From: [Gail Comer](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:07:35 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Gail Comer
Los Osos, CA 93402

From: [j s](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 09, 2019 12:01:44 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
j s
King Ferry, NY 13081

From: [Laurie Noll](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:58:42 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Laurie Noll
San Francisco, CA 94117

From: [Aija Thacher](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:53:56 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Aija Thacher
Albuquerque, NM 87114

From: [Elizabeth Goodwin](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:42:15 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Goodwin
Los Angeles, CA 90068

From: [Leah Killeen](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:42:15 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Leah Killeen
Niantic, CT 06357

From: [John Oda](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:42:14 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
John Oda
San Francisco, CA 94115

From: [John Oda](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:42:13 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
John Oda
San Francisco, CA 94115

From: [Joshua Harmes](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:42:13 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Joshua Harmes
Durham, NC 27713

From: [Phyllis Van Leuven](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 08, 2019 11:41:51 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Phyllis Van Leuven
Las Vegas, NV 89131

From: [shelley wright](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 16, 2019 10:00:34 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
shelley wright
Midland, TX 79701

From: [Hipolito Arriaga](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 16, 2019 9:11:34 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Hipolito Arriaga
Lauderhill, FL 33319

From: [juan parras](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 10:56:08 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
juan parras
Houston, TX 77023

From: [Beki Halpin](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 10:35:11 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Beki Halpin
Austin, TX 78736

From: [Elizabeth Craig](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 10:31:49 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Craig
Driftwood, TX 78619

From: [paula.moats](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 10:08:05 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
paula moats
pinellas park, FL 33781

From: [Polly O'Malley](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 10:07:00 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Polly O'Malley
Los Angeles, CA 90025

From: [joel lorimer](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 10:05:27 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
joel lorimer
abq, NM 87108

From: [Janet Greenwald](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 10:05:17 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Janet Greenwald
Dixon, NM 87527

From: [Julia Buck](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 6:50:59 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity and wildlife. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is designed for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for deep disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Julia Buck
Seattle, WA 98107

From: [Morgan Mujica](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 6:49:55 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Morgan Mujica
Andrews, TX 79714

From: [Sandra McKenzie Hardy](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 6:44:57 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sandra McKenzie Hardy
Victoria, TX 77901

From: [Karen Ramirez](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 6:42:38 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Karen Ramirez
El Paso, TX 79907

From: [Rhonda West](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 2:40:57 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rhonda West
COPPERAS COVE, TX 76522

From: [Donna Gilmore](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 2:31:51 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Donna Gilmore
San Clemente, CA 92672

From: [Barbara C. Kyse](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 2:31:19 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Barbara C. Kyse
San Antonio, TX 78209

From: [Susan Gordon](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 2:24:45 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Susan Gordon
Santa Fe, NM 87501

From: [Annie Gorden](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 2:06:40 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Annie Gorden
San Diego, CA 92116

From: [Sylvia Searfoss](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 1:32:20 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sylvia Searfoss
El Paso, TX 79912

From: [Loretta Lowery](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 1:29:57 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Loretta Lowery
Red Springs, NC 28377

From: [Sandy Dumke](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 1:27:58 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sandy Dumke
Crooks, SD 57020

From: [LJ Ruede](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 1:26:01 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
LJ Ruede
Fort Worth, TX 76133

From: [Roberto Esquivel Sr](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 1:21:20 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Roberto Esquivel Sr
San Antonio, TX 78212

From: [ALEXIS LANGELOTTI](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 9:21:13 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
ALEXIS LANGELOTTI
Fairview, NJ 07022

From: [Marie Weil](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 8:22:29 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Marie Weil
Durham, NC 27707

From: [Charles Weil](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 8:19:37 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Charles Weil
Durham, NC 27707

From: [Franziska Eber](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 4:56:57 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Franziska Eber
Baltimore, MD 21212

From: [Inge Bjorkman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 4:24:41 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Inge Bjorkman
Placerville, CA 95667

From: [Elaine Fischer](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 2:59:47 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elaine Fischer
Roanoke, VA 24018

From: [Duane Welsch](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 2:33:24 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Duane Welsch
Claremont, CA 91711

From: [Patrick Annabel](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Friday, November 15, 2019 2:31:43 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Patrick Annabel
Walla Walla, WA 99362

From: [Susan Stewart](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Thursday, November 14, 2019 11:34:22 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Susan Stewart
Hanover Park, IL 60133

From: [William Hewes](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Thursday, November 14, 2019 11:17:27 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
William Hewes
Simi Valley, CA 93063

From: [Kirsten Stone](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Thursday, November 14, 2019 10:57:04 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kirsten Stone
Baton Rouge, LA 70810

From: [Doris Jackson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Thursday, November 14, 2019 10:08:24 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Doris Jackson
Raleigh, NC 27610

From: [R Karpinski](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Thursday, November 14, 2019 10:07:24 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
R Karpinski
Wynnewood, PA 19096

From: [Keiko Barrett](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Thursday, November 14, 2019 10:03:12 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Keiko Barrett
National City, CA 91950

From: [Frances Mackiewicz](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Thursday, November 14, 2019 6:53:02 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Frances Mackiewicz
Beachwood, NJ 08722

From: [Nora Lewis](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Thursday, November 14, 2019 6:36:09 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nora Lewis
Nipomo, CA 93444

From: [Maryann Staron](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Thursday, November 14, 2019 6:29:01 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Maryann Staron
Evergreen Park, IL 60805

From: [Stanley Staron](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Thursday, November 14, 2019 6:28:46 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Stanley Staron
Evergreen Park, IL 60805

From: [Samantha Turetsky](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Thursday, November 14, 2019 6:18:08 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Samantha Turetsky
Ormond Beach, FL 32176

From: [Dawne Fontenot](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Thursday, November 14, 2019 6:04:43 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dawne Fontenot
Denton, TX 76208

From: [Maria Magana](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Thursday, November 14, 2019 6:03:31 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Maria Magana
Burlington, WA 98233

From: [Joyce Ciotti](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Thursday, November 14, 2019 1:40:12 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Joyce Ciotti
Pittsburgh, PA 15216

From: [Kimber Kaushik](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Thursday, November 14, 2019 1:06:29 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kimber Kaushik
Pearland, TX 77584

From: [Kathryn Tribbey](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Thursday, November 14, 2019 1:04:21 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kathryn Tribbey
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

From: [Luke Foster](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Thursday, November 14, 2019 12:56:26 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Luke Foster
Austin, TX 78745

From: [Rita Everett](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Thursday, November 14, 2019 12:45:16 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rita Everett
Canon City, CO 81212

From: [Sara Sunstein](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Thursday, November 14, 2019 1:45:12 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste by reclassifying that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial. Such GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity and other living things.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not good for disposal of radioactive waste due to risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sara Sunstein
Richmond, CA 94804

From: [Susan Worden](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Thursday, November 14, 2019 1:45:04 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Susan Worden
Rio Vista, CA 94571

From: [Sahil Talwar](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Thursday, November 14, 2019 1:44:11 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sahil Talwar
Fairfax, VA 22031

From: [c.g](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Thursday, November 14, 2019 1:38:24 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
c g
Shoreline, WA 98133

From: [Leslie Frederick](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 10:56:15 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Leslie Frederick
Houston, TX 77077

From: [Rebecca Burmester](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 10:33:08 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Burmester
Raleigh, NC 27612

From: [Allison Williams Hill](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 10:21:07 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Allison Williams Hill
ROOSEVELT, NY 11575

From: [David Spaans](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 10:16:47 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
David Spaans
Frederick, MD 21704

From: [Mark Russell](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 9:09:15 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mark Russell
Seattle, WA 98136

From: [s.cook](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 9:08:54 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
s.cook
portland, OR 97236

From: [William Schoene](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 9:08:08 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
William Schoene
Santa Monica, CA 90405

From: [Samantha Blanchard](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 9:05:54 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Samantha Blanchard
Bella Vista, AR 72714

From: [Mary Zack](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 5:28:27 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mary Zack
Worthington, OH 43085

From: [Joseph Slaven](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 5:05:54 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Joseph Slaven
Scherville, IN 46375

From: [Debbi Paden](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 4:53:22 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration. Debbi Paden

Sincerely,
Debbi Paden
Ashland, OR 97520

From: [Robert Beller](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 4:32:02 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Robert Beller
House Springs, MO 63051

From: [Rev. Ruth Fatur](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 4:20:39 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rev. Ruth Fatur
Odessa, FL 33556

From: [Caitilin Kane](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 4:18:55 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Caitilin Kane
Dell Rapids, SD 57022

From: [Ellen Blakeborough](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 12:59:57 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ellen Blakeborough
Escondido, CA 92026

From: [Teri Chism](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 12:45:52 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Teri Chism
Katy, TX 77450

From: [Peter Lambert](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 12:06:30 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Peter Lambert
Campbell, CA 95008

From: [Bob Ramlow](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 11:56:40 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Bob Ramlow
Amherst, WI 54406

From: [Martha Abatuno](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 11:24:53 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Martha Abatuno
Santa Fe, NM 87505

From: [Craig Matthews](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 11:17:18 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Craig Matthews
Austin, TX 78728

From: [Kate Walsh](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 10:53:39 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kate Walsh
Akron, OH 44311

From: [Bonnie Faith-Smith](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 10:32:04 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Faith-Smith
Cambridge, MA 02139

From: [Maudie Valero](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 10:15:00 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Maudie Valero
Coral Gables, FL 33134

From: [Nikki Wojtalik](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 9:56:34 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nikki Wojtalik
Parkville, MD 21234

From: [Laura Stice](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Wednesday, November 13, 2019 2:49:04 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Laura Stice
Eugene, OR 97402

From: [Theresa Zatirka](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 11:57:31 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Theresa Zatirka
Grosse Pointe, MI 48236

From: [Roberto Esquivel Sr](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 11:48:17 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Roberto Esquivel Sr
San Antonio, TX 78212

From: [J Esposito](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 11:37:17 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
J Esposito
Sparks, NV 89431

From: [Caephren McKenna](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 6:23:54 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Caephren McKenna
Oakland, CA 94609

From: [Guy Chan](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 6:13:15 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Guy Chan
Seattle, WA 98195

From: [Hank Albert](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 6:05:09 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Hank Albert
Elkins Park, PA 19027

From: [Matthew Humphrey](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 4:05:30 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Matthew Humphrey
Baltimore, MD 21218

From: [cynthia molinero](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 4:04:39 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
cynthia molinero
rocky river, OH 44116

From: [Malinda Plog](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 3:54:57 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Malinda Plog
Scottsbluff, NE 69361

From: [James Norris](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 3:35:02 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
James Norris
St. Louis, MO 63111

From: [Roberto Esquivel Sr](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 3:07:57 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Roberto Esquivel Sr
San Antonio, TX 78212

From: [ROSEMARY TANN](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 2:59:05 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
ROSEMARY TANN
BLACK MOUNTAIN, NC 28711

From: [Cindy Allen](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 2:39:10 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Cindy Allen
Hood River, OR 97031

From: [Valerie Koeber](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 2:34:44 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Valerie Koeber
Wayne, NE 68787

From: [Lois Barber](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 2:01:37 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lois Barber
Amherst, MA 01002

From: [Donye Sacco](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 1:48:06 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Donye Sacco
Los Angeles, CA 90001

From: [Sheila Nichols](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 11:55:22 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sheila Nichols
South Portland, ME 04106

From: [A Beato](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 11:32:13 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
A Beato
Chicago, IL 60625

From: [Carol Ortiz](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 11:25:40 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carol Ortiz
Montgomery, TX 77316

From: [Nicole Maurone](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 11:20:40 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nicole Maurone
Hinton, WV 25951

From: [Ady Larsen](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 11:09:12 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ady Larsen
Brisbane, CA 94005

From: [Jeff Schiller](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 10:46:16 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jeff Schiller
New York, NY 10001

From: [Sarah Yergin](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 10:36:41 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sarah Yergin
Gig Harbor, WA 98332

From: [Sebastian Munoz](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 9:53:44 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sebastian Munoz
Miami, FL 33186

From: [John Rusterholz](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 9:21:36 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
John Rusterholz
Saint Paul, MN 55113

From: [Judy McKinney](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 9:12:03 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Judy McKinney
Holiday Island, AR 72631

From: [Melissa Nichols](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 8:20:54 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Melissa Nichols
Austin, TX 78733

From: [PAULA RUSTERHOLZ](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 12:42:15 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
PAULA RUSTERHOLZ
Saint Paul, MN 55113

From: [Larry Morningstar](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 12:29:20 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Larry Morningstar
Talent, OR 97540

From: [Sharon W](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 11:57:38 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sharon W
West Newton, MA 02465

From: [Janice Sobel](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 10:57:25 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Janice Sobel
West Bloomfield, MI 48322

From: [Amelia Jones](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 10:52:34 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Amelia Jones
Santa Monica, CA 90405

From: [Ann Kelly](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 10:34:47 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ann Kelly
Mount Laurel Township, NJ 08054

From: [Tiffany Rapplean](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 9:40:15 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Tiffany Rapplean
Westminster, CO 80031

From: [Nancy Weatherwax](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 9:34:51 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nancy Weatherwax
Albion, MI 49224

From: [Aubrey Evans](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 9:34:33 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Aubrey Evans
Saint Louis, MO 63130

From: [Molly Rooke](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 8:36:35 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Molly Rooke
Dallas, TX 75206

From: [Carol Joan Patterson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 8:32:25 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carol Joan Patterson
Eureka Springs, AR 72632

From: [Pamela Foley Simmons](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 8:19:20 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Pamela Foley Simmons
Euclid, OH 44123

From: [Catherine Carter](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 8:18:17 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Catherine Carter
Decatur, GA 30030

From: [Margie Levinthal](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 8:13:49 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Margie Levinthal
Wynnewood,, PA 19096

From: [Tim Enloe](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 7:46:53 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Tim Enloe
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523

From: [Eric Yao](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 7:45:43 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Eric Yao
Snellville, GA 30078

From: [Dan Parillo](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 7:30:19 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dan Parillo
Clifton, NJ 07011

From: [Brenda Michaels](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 7:19:26 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Brenda Michaels
Port Townsend, WA 98368

From: [Mary Riley](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 7:07:59 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mary Riley
Hoquiam, WA 98550

From: [Linda Klein](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 6:51:44 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Linda Klein
El Segundo, CA 90245

From: [Robert Johnson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 6:50:43 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Robert Johnson
El Segundo, CA 90245

From: [Ronald L. Moore](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 6:46:46 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ronald L. Moore
Berryville, VA 22611

From: [Judith Hart](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 6:43:32 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Judith Hart
Corrales, NM 87048

From: [Reed Petersen](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 6:34:42 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Reed Petersen
Portland, OR 97229

From: [Susan Sunderland](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 6:30:35 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Susan Sunderland
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

From: [j h](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 5:31:02 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
j h
orting, WA 98360

From: [Lorene Ganster](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 5:21:40 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lorene Ganster
Carlisle, PA 17013

From: [Dr. William "Skip" Dykoski](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 5:21:06 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dr. William 'Skip' Dykoski
New Brighton, MN 55112

From: [Deborah Costas](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 5:20:22 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Deborah Costas
Wilmington, DE 19803

From: [Melissa Cathcart](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 5:18:26 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Melissa Cathcart
Minneapolis, MN 55406

From: [Jim Perry](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 4:39:29 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jim Perry
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

From: [Janet Jordan](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 4:39:11 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Janet Jordan
Olympia, WA 98506

From: [Daniel Gallo](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 4:39:09 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Daniel Gallo
Brooklyn, NY 11207

From: [Alec Mento](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 4:39:00 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste. Apparently our NRC believes that nothing stimulates an economy more than letting some corporation bury nuclear waste in a shallow pit near an aquifer providing drinking water to good Americans.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." Thus this proposed rule would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste, and thus also this proposed rule would likely die a quick death in federal court. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal will pave the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

Too many Americans think regulations are for "losers," and when their loved ones get cancer from drinking local water polluted by inadequately-buried nuclear waste, they're likely to blame the wrong people for it. Nonetheless, I urge you not to proceed with this rule and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Alec Mento
Philadelphia, PA 19148

From: [Daryl feinfeld](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 3:42:15 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Daryl feinfeld
Eastchester, NY 10709

From: [Beth Beck](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 3:30:33 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Beth Beck
Greenwich, CT 06831

From: [Heather Beaird](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 3:23:41 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Heather Beaird
Chehalis, WA 98532

From: [Jolie Jacobus](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 3:00:19 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jolie Jacobus
Madison, WI 53704

From: [robin lindros](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 2:58:41 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
robin lindros
south hadley, MA 01075

From: [Christine Mulholland](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 2:53:27 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Christine Mulholland
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

From: [Thom Lufkin](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 2:47:35 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Thom Lufkin
Olympia, WA 98501

From: [Denis Langhans](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 2:39:58 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Denis Langhans
Olympia, WA 98502

From: [Ann Morris Cockrell Cockrell](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 2:23:33 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ann Morris Cockrell Cockrell
Englewood, CO 80110

From: [Marc and Susan Severson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 2:06:27 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Marc and Susan Severson
Tucson, AZ 85710

From: [Kristin Huntoon](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 1:51:04 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kristin Huntoon
Columbus, OH 43203

From: [Robin Goldcott](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 1:50:23 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Robin Goldcott
Raleigh, NC 27614

From: [Shannon Sorem](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 1:35:17 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Shannon Sorem
Seattle, WA 98115

From: [Christopher Ferrio](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 1:30:21 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Christopher Ferrio
Stratford, CT 06615

From: [Judy Handler](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 1:23:26 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Judy Handler
Los Angeles, CA 90049

From: [JoAnne Chirico](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 1:23:16 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
JoAnne Chirico
Gloucester, MA 01930

From: [Paul Grohman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 1:17:58 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Paul Grohman
Yonkers, NY 10710

From: [Julie Ozias](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 12:36:28 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Julie Ozias
Waterford, MI 48329

From: [Brea Viragh](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 12:06:26 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Brea Viragh
Willis, VA 24380

From: [Severn Kellam](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 11:51:15 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Severn Kellam
Norfolk, VA 23510

From: [Michelle Crow](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 10:42:41 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Michelle Crow
Portland, OR 97220

From: [Hunter Gardner](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 10:28:28 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Hunter Gardner
Austin, TX 78754

From: [Julie Stull](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 10:27:23 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Julie Stull
Suffolk, VA 23435

From: [Conner Stone](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 10:22:04 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Conner Stone
Providence, RI 02906

From: [kevin blank](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 9:57:03 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
kevin blank
Lafayette, CO 80026

From: [Nancy Snell](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 9:53:39 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nancy Snell
Kalamazoo, MI 49006

From: [Linda Howie](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 9:41:31 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Linda Howie
Woodland Hills, CA 91367

From: [Elena Schaefer](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 9:39:19 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elena Schaefer
Kingston, NY 12401

From: [Steve Kiffmeyer](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 8:32:53 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Steve Kiffmeyer
Painesville, OH 44077

From: [Carolyn Davis](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 8:15:27 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Davis
Livonia, MI 48154

From: [John Rainey](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 8:03:24 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
John Rainey
Chapel Hill, TN 37034

From: [Stephen Carlisle](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 7:43:11 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Stephen Carlisle
Mechanicsburg, PA 17055

From: [Sara Graziosa](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 7:40:20 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sara Graziosa
East Canaan, CT 06024

From: [Alan Jerz](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 7:20:29 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Alan Jerz
Fort Myers, FL 33919

From: [Elise Kennedy](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 6:47:54 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elise Kennedy
West Chester, PA 19382

From: [Tenaya Egbert](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 5:47:09 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Tenaya Egbert
Saint Paul, MN 55117

From: [Deborah DeAscentis](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 3:02:03 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Deborah DeAscentis
Middletown, RI 02842

From: [Tyler Johnson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 2:55:04 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Tyler Johnson
Anahiem, CA 92805

From: [Deborah Wolf](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 1:33:21 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Deborah Wolf
Seattle, WA 98126

From: [Katherine Holmes](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 1:33:17 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Katherine Holmes
Ann Arbor, MI 48104

From: [Cathleen O'Connell](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 1:33:16 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Cathleen O'Connell
Boulder Creek, CA 95006

From: [Angus M Macdonald](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 12:51:04 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Angus M Macdonald
Elkwood, VA 22718

From: [David Wiley](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 12:39:38 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
David Wiley
Philadelphia, PA 19143

From: [Mindy Leighton-Toth](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 12:36:33 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mindy Leighton-Toth
Westlake Village, CA 91362

From: [Triavannti Narcisse](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 12:34:20 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Triavannti Narcisse
Houston, TX 77084

From: [Kristopher Burrell](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 12:15:47 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kristopher Burrell
Bronx, NY 10467

From: [Janet Lyon](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 11, 2019 12:01:17 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Janet Lyon
Missoula, MT 59804

From: [Dawn West](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 11:58:32 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dawn West
Sutherland Springs, TX 78161

From: [Bruce Burns](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 11:57:22 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Bruce Burns
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

From: [Ann Koppelman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 11:34:13 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ann Koppelman
Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From: [Dave Hornstein](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 11:23:02 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dave Hornstein
Madison Heights, MI 48071

From: [Anita Hammerschlag](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 11:21:21 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Anita Hammerschlag
Laguna Woods, CA 92637

From: [Beverly Sturgess](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 11:20:58 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Beverly Sturgess
Chicago, IL 60619

From: [Veronika Conant](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 11:16:04 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Veronika Conant
New York, NY 10019

From: [Steve Slavens](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 10:54:24 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Steve Slavens
Waukesha, WI 53188

From: [b q](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 10:30:16 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

b q

San Francisco, CA 94105

From: [Kellie Smith](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 10:25:58 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kellie Smith
Deering, NH 03244

From: [Brian Bogdanski](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 10:20:10 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Brian Bogdanski
Reisterstown, MD 21136

From: [Marilyn Ash](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 10:17:57 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Marilyn Ash
Madison, WI 53718

From: [Amy Cervene](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 10:11:47 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Amy Cervene
Highlands Ranch, CO 80129

From: [Kimberly Seater](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 10:03:27 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kimberly Seater
Seattle, WA 98146

From: [lloyd_reynolds](#)
To: [RulemakingComments_Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 10:02:07 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
lloyd reynolds
Fountain Valley, CA 92708

From: [Richard Bartkowicz](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 9:56:09 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Richard Bartkowicz
Hoffman Estates, IL 60169

From: [Elinore Cumings](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 9:47:24 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elinore Cumings
Rossenberg, TX 77471

From: [Nancy Bauer](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 9:43:15 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nancy Bauer
Brookfield, IL 60513

From: [kathleen.king](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 9:14:49 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
kathleen.king
madison, WI 53705

From: [Mel Weitsman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 9:14:26 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mel Weitsman
Berkeley, CA 94703

From: [Lauren M](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 9:14:14 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lauren M
Philadelphia, PA 19103

From: [Joyce Nicholson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 9:08:39 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Joyce Nicholson
Santa Fe,, NM 87507

From: [kelley keisch](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 9:01:56 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
kelley keisch
Troy, MO 63379

From: [Leona Bochantin](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 8:42:43 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Leona Bochantin
Saint Louis, MO 63129

From: [Ronald Clayton](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 8:39:58 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste.

- * GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste.
- * For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity.
- * The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas.

- * A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination.
- * This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Sincerely,
Ronald Clayton
Cove City, NC 28523

From: [ruth sherman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 8:37:43 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
ruth sherman
Sleepy Hollow, NY 10591

From: [Amy Whitworth](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 8:36:45 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Amy Whitworth
Portland, OR 97214

From: [Gretchen Clay](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 8:32:36 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Gretchen Clay
Bellingham, WA 98225

From: [Pamela Benton](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 8:32:07 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Pamela Benton
Castle Rock, CO 80109

From: [rohana.wolf](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 7:57:01 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
rohana.wolf
Evanston, IL 60201

From: [rohana.wolf](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 7:50:06 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
rohana.wolf
evanston, IL 60201

From: [E. Hinds](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 7:49:56 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
E. Hinds
Libertyville, IL 60048

From: [Susan Edelstein](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 7:27:58 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Susan Edelstein
Cary, NC 27511

From: [Randall Hartman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 7:22:28 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Randall Hartman
San Clemente, CA 92673

From: [Rj Senyk](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 7:16:21 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rj Senyk
Columbia, CT 06237

From: [Lynne Kane](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 6:36:37 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lynne Kane
Chapel Hill, NC 27517

From: [Birgitta Martinez](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 6:33:14 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Birgitta Martinez
Los Angeles, CA 90041

From: [Margaret Beegle](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 6:28:36 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Margaret Beegle
Golden Valley, MN 55427

From: [Mary Howard](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 6:17:44 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mary Howard
Atlanta, GA 30317

From: [Johnnie Aldrich](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 5:42:13 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Johnnie Aldrich
Las Cruces, NM 88011

From: [marilyn Ratner](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 5:41:25 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
marilyn Ratner
Novi, MI, MI 48377

From: [A Paul](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 5:01:22 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
A Paul
Mechanicsville, VA 23116

From: [Robert Rexer](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 5:00:31 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Robert Rexer
Centerport, NY 11721

From: [Darlene Wolf](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 4:43:02 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Darlene Wolf
Naples, FL 34102

From: [Courtney Bounds](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 4:18:31 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Courtney Bounds
New Orleans, LA 70119

From: [Joseph Hayes](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 4:17:59 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Joseph Hayes
Grand Junction, CO 81503

From: [heather vega](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 4:05:47 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
heather vega
Gotha, FL 34734

From: [Dan DiLeva](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 3:49:58 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dan DiLeva
Seattle, WA 98145

From: [lynne Levine](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 3:49:47 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
lynne Levine
SEATTLE, WA 98101

From: [Salme Armijo](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 3:39:03 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Salme Armijo
Eugene, OR 97402

From: [Sherrill Futrell](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 3:35:22 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sherrill Futrell
Davis, CA 95618

From: [Brian Baltin](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 3:25:18 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Brian Baltin
Seattle, WA 98102

From: [Alan J Nishman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 2:49:55 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Alan J Nishman
Haydenville, MA 01039

From: [Janne Roehm](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 2:48:25 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Janne Roehm
North Kingstown, RI 02852

From: [David Way](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 2:38:13 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
David Way
Pottstown, PA 19464

From: [Karen Linn](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 2:26:02 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Karen Linn
Delaware, OH 43015

From: [Linda Barklow](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 2:07:13 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Linda Barklow
Belvidere, IL 61008

From: [Robert Morrow](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 2:06:06 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Robert Morrow
Red Bank, NJ 07701

From: [Cheryn Zimmer](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 2:03:36 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Cheryn Zimmer
Mount Vernon, WA 98274

From: [Nik Ewing](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 2:03:31 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nik Ewing
Oceanside, CA 92056

From: [Jeannine Cook](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 1:57:47 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jeannine Cook
Roseburg, OR 97471

From: [Bob Ostrander](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 1:53:48 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Bob Ostrander
West Palm Beach, FL 33411

From: [Think Ngo](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 1:13:47 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Think Ngo
Arlington, TX 76002

From: [Beth Olson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 10, 2019 12:45:21 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Beth Olson
Fairhope, AL 36532

From: [Elizabeth Padilla](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 11:49:37 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Padilla
Andrews, TX 79714

From: [Guadalupe Switala](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 11:30:34 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Guadalupe Switala
El Paso, TX 79925

From: [Kate Sherwood](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 11:29:02 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kate Sherwood
Long Beach, NY 11561

From: [Jake Jelineo](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 11:17:42 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jake Jelineo
Austin, TX 78749

From: [M. Munroe](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 11:16:49 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
M Munroe
Austin, TX 78749

From: [Rebecca Lopez](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 10:10:32 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

My family and I very much oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this dangerous rulemaking and to develop a common-sense and safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Lopez
El Paso, TX 79930

From: [Shelby Ruff](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 9:50:42 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Shelby Ruff
El Paso, TX 79912

From: [Sue Stoeckel](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 9:08:55 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sue Stoeckel
Everett, WA 98203

From: [mary.tietjen](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 6:58:00 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
mary.tietjen
Austin, TX 78735

From: [satya.vayu](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 6:39:25 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
satya.vayu
Portland, OR 97215

From: [Kathleen abler](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 5:51:52 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kathleen abler
Huntington Beach, CA 92646

From: [Adrian Ordonez](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 5:09:45 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Adrian Ordonez
El Paso, TX 79907

From: [Joseph Valenzuela](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 10:12:37 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Joseph Valenzuela
El Paso, TX 79932

From: [Laurel Hays](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 8:58:45 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Laurel Hays
Houston, TX 77080

From: [James Hannan](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 8:16:33 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I strongly urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
James Hannan
Porter, TX 77365

From: [Marla Hanks](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 8:12:17 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Marla Hanks
Gorman, TX 76454

From: [Rosemary Smith](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 7:06:43 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Rosemary Smith
Saline, MI 48176

From: [David Rosmer](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 5:29:09 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
David Rosmer
Norfolk, VA 23518

From: [Y.Moore](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 12:15:45 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Y Moore
Grass Valley, CA 95945

From: [Melba Dlugonski](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 11:54:15 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Melba Dlugonski
Portland, OR 97206

From: [Ronald C. Faas](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 10:57:10 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ronald C Faas
Santa Maria, CA 93455

From: [Juliana Ley](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 10:10:16 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Juliana Ley
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

From: [Leighta Johnson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 7:32:52 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Leighta Johnson
Waunakee, WI 53597

From: [Gordon Grant](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 6:56:18 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Gordon Grant
Chicago, IL 60614

From: [Benjamin Bingaman](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 6:52:13 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Benjamin Bingaman
San Jose, CA 95138

From: [John Murphy](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 5:28:37 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
John Murphy
Lake Forest, CA 92639

From: [Jeremy Allen](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 4:32:29 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Allen
Midland, TX 79701

From: [Linda Stock](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 3:55:55 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Linda Stock
Cypress, CA 90630

From: [Marcus Christian Hansen](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 3:43:26 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Marcus Christian Hansen
Alstead, NH 03602

From: [Susan Balaban](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 3:37:39 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Susan Balaban
Wilmette, IL 60091

From: [Ron Yelton](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 3:35:56 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ron Yelton
Beech Grove, IN 46107

From: [Carmen Dinescu](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 3:34:34 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carmen Dinescu
North Brunswick, NJ 08902

From: [Carolyn Murphey](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 3:26:59 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Murphey
Bokeelia, FL 33922

From: [Dr. Tammy King](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 3:25:52 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dr. Tammy King
Gardner, MA 01440

From: [Eric Ericson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 3:16:09 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Eric Ericson
Pacific Palisades, CA 90272

From: [Donald Mackler](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 2:11:09 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Donald Mackler
Blacksburg, VA 24060

From: [Earl Sebring](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 11:49:44 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Earl Sebring
Midland, TX 79701

From: [Sarah Aziz](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 2:54:23 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sarah Aziz
Lubbock, TX 79401

From: [Jessica Wardlaw](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 1:19:55 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jessica Wardlaw
Cottage Grove, MN 55016

From: [Virgene Link-New](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 17, 2019 9:48:30 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Virgene Link-New
Anacortes, WA 98221

From: [Janr Becker](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 17, 2019 8:46:43 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Janr Becker
Midland, TX 70703

From: [Henry Morgen](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 17, 2019 8:10:08 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Henry Morgen
Los Angeles, CA 90019

From: [LynnMeta Williams](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 17, 2019 7:06:07 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
LynnMeta Williams
Wakefield, VA 23888

From: [Sarah Lansaw](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 17, 2019 4:25:49 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sarah Lansaw
Altamont, NY 12009

From: [Ed Perry](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 17, 2019 2:49:33 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ed Perry
New Braunfels, TX 78132

From: [Jonathan Maschke](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 17, 2019 2:23:39 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jonathan Maschke
Port Hope, MI 48468

From: [Gabrielle Blake](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 17, 2019 10:27:47 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Gabrielle Blake
Albany, NY 12205

From: [Teri Torian](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 17, 2019 8:32:23 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I'm also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site isn't ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Teri Torian
Arlington, TX 76015

From: [Marianne Herrmann](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Sunday, November 17, 2019 12:50:34 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Marianne Herrmann
Arlington, TX 76012

From: [John Dufresne](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 16, 2019 10:04:45 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
John Dufresne
Lakeville, MA 02347

From: [Alisa Ochoa](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 16, 2019 8:33:33 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Alisa Ochoa
Dallas, TX 75206

From: [Bill Miller](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 16, 2019 8:32:10 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Bill Miller
Las Vegas, NV 89149

From: [Joyce Nicholson](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 16, 2019 7:35:05 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Joyce Nicholson
Santa Fe,, NM 87507

From: [Catherine Allen](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 16, 2019 6:59:22 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Catherine Allen
Midland, TX 79701

From: [Leigh Clark](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 16, 2019 5:41:47 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Leigh Clark
Granada Hills, CA 91344

From: [Lynne Scheve](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 16, 2019 5:33:02 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lynne Scheve
Winthrop Harbor, IL 60096

From: [Ronald Bush](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 16, 2019 5:01:29 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ronald Bush
Midland, TX 79701

From: [Kimberly Bush](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 16, 2019 4:38:49 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kimberly Bush
Midland, TX 79701

From: [Reagan Stuard](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 16, 2019 3:12:52 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Reagan Stuard
Midland, TX 79706

From: [Whitley Stratton](#)
To: [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 16, 2019 2:16:05 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Whitley Stratton
Austin, TX 78748

From: [Sally Easley](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 16, 2019 2:12:12 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sally Easley
Midland, TX 79705

From: [Mary Diaz](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 16, 2019 1:36:09 PM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mary Diaz
Austin, TX 78745

From: [Christine Lowery](#)
To: [RulemakingComments Resource](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste
Date: Saturday, November 16, 2019 10:56:02 AM

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Christine Lowery
Paguate, NM 87040