

Official Transcript of Proceedings
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Results of the U.S. NRC Staff's Environmental
 Review of the Surry Power Station Units 1 and
 2 Subsequent License Renewal Application

Docket Number: (n/a)

Location: Rockville, Maryland

Date: Thursday, November 7, 2019

Work Order No.: NRC-0683

Pages 1-32

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC.
Court Reporters and Transcribers
1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 234-4433

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

+ + + + +

RESULTS OF THE U.S. NRC STAFF'S ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW
 OF THE SURRY POWER STATION UNITS 1 AND 2 SUBSEQUENT
 LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION

+ + + + +

MEETING

+ + + + +

THURSDAY,

NOVEMBER 7, 2019

+ + + + +

ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

+ + + + +

The Meeting convened in Room TWFN06-D02 at
 the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Two White Flint
 North, 11545 Rockville Pike, at 1:30 p.m., Joan
 Olmstead, Facilitator, presiding.

PRESENT:

JOAN OLMSTEAD, Facilitator, NMSS/MSST/MSTB

PHYLLIS CLARK, NMSS/REFS/ERLRB*

PEYTON DOUB, NMSS/REFS/ERNRB

ROBERT ELLIOTT, NMSS/REFS/ERLRB

KEVIN FOLK, NMSS/REFS/ERLRB

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

BILL FORD, NMSS/REFS/ERLRB

LAUREN GIBSON, NRR/DNRL/NLRP*

BRIANA GRANGE, NMSS/REFS/ERLRB*

ROBERT HOFFMAN, NMSS/REFS/ERLRB*

CAROLINE HSU, NMSS/REFS/ERLRB*

LOIS JAMES, NMSS/REFS/ERLRB*

NANCY MARTINEZ, NMSS/REFS/ERLRB*

LOUIS MCKOWN, R-II/DRP/RPB4/SRO*

ERIC OESTERLE, NRR/DNRL/NLRP*

BILL RAUTZEN, NMSS/REFS/ERLRB

TAM TRAN, NMSS/REFS/ERLRB

ANGELA WU, NRR/DNRL/NLRP*

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

	<u>PAGE</u>
Introductions	9
Discussion of Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement and Environmental Review Process	18
Questions/Answers on the Presentation	28
Public Comments	29

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

P R O C E E D I N G S

1:30 p.m.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Good afternoon. I want to welcome you and thank you for participating in today's public meeting to discuss the NRC staff's preliminary results of the environmental review for the Dominion Energy's license renewal application for the Surry Power Station Units 1 and 2. The power stations are located in Surry, Virginia.

My name is Joan Olmstead. I'm an attorney currently working on a rotation to the tribal liaison group and NRC's Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards. I'll be serving as your facilitator for today's meeting. My role is to help ensure that today's meeting is informative and productive.

I want to take a few minutes before we get started to talk about what to expect today and go over some ground rules. This is a Category 3 public meeting to encourage active participation and information exchange between the NRC and the public.

The agenda for today's meeting includes an NRC presentation on the staff's environmental review.

We will also have a question and answer session after the staff's presentation. After that, we'll take a short 10-minute break before soliciting comments on

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

the draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement.

Are there any questions about the agenda?

Okay, any questions on the phone? Okay, before we get --

PARTICIPANT: What was that?

MS. OLMSTEAD: That was Amanda. I think she was asked if anybody has questions. [Amanda said: "There are no questions."] Okay, before we get into meeting specifics, I'll go over some logistics.

Hopefully everyone is signed in and received copies of the agenda, presentation slides, and feedback form after registered for this public meeting. If you haven't signed in, there are sheets in this room.

For those of you on the phone who haven't signed in, please make sure to contact Tam Tran to ensure that we have your contact information. Tam's email address is tam.tran@nrc.gov, and the phone number is 301-415-3617.

The draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement is available at <https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr1437/>. It's also available in the NRC's publicly available Agency Document Access and Management Systems, also known as ADAMS. The

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

ADAMS accession number is ML 19274C676. This information is also available in the meeting announcement.

I just want to check if anyone has trouble logging into Skype for the meeting. All right, and also, if you're unable to access the Skype meeting or have problems with the Skype meeting audio, as I previously said earlier, you can restart the Skype meeting. Click "no audio" and then call into the teleconference line at 1-888-469-1461, and the participant passcode number is 1555594#.

The meeting slides are also available in ADAMS, and the ADAMS accession number is ML 19296D786, so you can view the slides while using the teleconference line there instead of using the Skype meeting.

The Skype meeting participants that wish to ask questions or later make a comment on the draft SEIS should click the instant messaging icon at the bottom left corner of your screen. You can type your question or comment, or just say you'd like me to call your name at the appropriate time during the meeting so you can speak.

For people using the teleconference line, we have an operator assisting us with the meeting.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Her name is Amanda, and when we open the floor for questions or later comments, the operator will take a moment to create a queue and we'll do our best to get everyone in turn.

This meeting is being transcribed, and so to get a clean transcript and to minimize distractions during the meeting, we ask that you turn off or mute anything that rings, buzzes, beeps, or alarms.

If there is something going on here in the room during the meeting that I think may make it so people are not able to follow the discussion, and by this, I mean by more than one person talking or not muting their lines if you're online, I'll interrupt the meeting to see that we can correct the issue, and I apologize in advance if I become a pain about this.

When you speak, please identify yourself and your group that you're with so everyone knows who is talking and so the information can be included in the transcript. I'll also ask people to speak one at a time. For folks on the phone, please put your phone on mute unless you're speaking.

Because of time constraints, we'll limit the initial amount of time for an individual speaker's questions or comments to five minutes, and if we have enough time, we'll offer additional time for an

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

individual's questions or comments after everyone has had an opportunity to speak.

For people in the room, the restrooms are out this door on the other side of the elevators, and if we have to evacuate, please follow the directions from the security officers.

Finally, we're always looking for ways to improve our meetings and your feedback is important to us. At the end of the meeting, please complete the feedback forms and return them to us. You can fill out the form before you leave today or you can send it to us later. Postage is free.

And for those of you on the phone, you can contact me at 301-287-9106 or send me an email at joan.olmstead@nrc.gov and I'll give you a copy of the feedback form.

Are there any questions about logistics?
Are there any questions from the phone?

OPERATOR: If you'd like to ask a question, please press star, one.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Okay, I do have a comment that somebody -- oh, okay. I do have a comment that said that this is really difficult to follow, what I'm saying, so you do have the meeting notice and the slide will come up later with more information too.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Okay, at this point, I'd like to have the NRC staff state your name and a quick description of what you do for the NRC, the people that are on the line or in the room.

MR. ELLIOTT: Do you want to start in the room?

MR. FORD: My name is Bill Ford. I did the groundwater and geology aspects of the environmental review.

MR. DOUB: Peyton Doub, I did terrestrial ecology in the environmental review.

MR. TRAN: My name is Tam Tran. I'm the project manager for the environmental review for Surry Power Stations.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Joan Olmstead, I'm the facilitator for this meeting.

MR. ELLIOTT: My name is Rob Elliott. I'm the Branch Chief of the Environmental and License Renewal Branch.

MR. RAUTZEN: Bill Rautzen, I'm with the human health impacts of the action.

MR. FOLK: Kevin Folk, I performed the cumulative impacts analysis.

MS. OLMSTEAD: For people on the phone, can you start?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

MR. ELLIOTT: Well, do you want to call them out by name?

MS. OLMSTEAD: Or I could call you out by name. The problem is I don't know exactly their role.

OPERATOR: Would you like me to open their lines?

MS. OLMSTEAD: Well, some are on Skype and some are called in. If I say their name, can you say your role?

MS. GRANGE: This is Briana Grange. I performed the aquatic ecology review and conducted the Endangered Species Act and essential fish habitat consultation.

MR. ELLIOTT: Okay, Nancy Martinez, can you tell us what you did?

MS. MARTINEZ: Hi, this is Nancy Martinez. I conducted the surface water review for this application.

MR. ELLIOTT: Phyllis Clark? Phyllis, are you online?

MS. OLMSTEAD: I don't see her.

MR. ELLIOTT: I don't see her.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Oh, wait, I did see her. She's on the list later down as a guest.

OPERATOR: I can mute all lines, but I

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

don't know who is on which line, so I can unmute all three lines if you'd like.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Yes, unmute all three lines, please.

OPERATOR: Okay, all lines are open.

MR. ELLIOTT: Okay, Phyllis, can you hear us?

MS. OLMSTEAD: How about if I just start at the top here?

MR. ELLIOTT: Go ahead and start at the top there.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Okay, Lauren Gibson?

MS. GIBSON: Hi, I'm Lauren Gibson. I am one of the safety PMs.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Okay, Bob Hoffman?

MR. HOFFMAN: Hi, I was the lead for alternatives in air quality and meteorology.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Caroline Hsu?

MS. HSU: Hi, I'm Caroline Hsu.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Lois James?

MS. JAMES: Hello, can you hear me?

MS. OLMSTEAD: We're having -- okay, Lois, can you tell us your role?

MS. JAMES: I am a project manager. I worked with Tam and their support.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

MS. OLMSTEAD: Let's see, I think we had Nancy Martinez already?

MR. ELLIOTT: Yes.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Louis McKown?

MR. MCKOWN: Yes, I'm Louis McKown and I'm the Senior Resident Inspector at the Surry Power Station.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Eric Oesterle?

MR. ELLIOTT: Oesterle.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Oesterle.

MR. ELLIOTT: I don't hear him.

MS. OLMSTEAD: All right.

MR. ELLIOTT: Go to the next one.

MS. OLMSTEAD: We'll go to the next. Angela Wu?

MS. WU: Hi, this is Angela Wu. I am the other Safety Project Manager at the Surry Power Station subsequent license renewal application.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Okay, I'm going now to the guest list, so this might be a mixture of other attendees and the licensee representatives. A couple of people are just signed in as guest, and at the end, I'll ask you to identify yourself, but I do have Barry Garber.

MR. ELLIOTT: His microphone is muted.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

MS. OLMSTEAD: Yeah, Barry's microphone is muted. Okay, Lucius Clark?

MS. CLARK: No, actually, this is Phyllis Clark. You couldn't hear me through my computer.

MR. ELLIOTT: Oh, okay.

MS. CLARK: Yes, and so I called in on the other number. I'm an environmental reviewer, NRC.

MR. ELLIOTT: Okay.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Okay, Dan Rose? We can't hear Dan. It might be muted, but otherwise, I'll move onto Keith Miller.

MR. MILLER: Hi, good afternoon. This is Keith Miller. I'm an environmental lead with Dominion Energy for the SLR project. With me in the room are Dr. Oula Shehab-Dandan. She's with Environmental Services with Dominion, Paul Aitken who is the Manager of Subsequent License Renewal, and Paul Phelps, who is the Director of License Renewal, and Barry Garber also is on the phone. He's the Manager of Station Licensing at Surry.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Okay, I noticed that Eric sent in, and I have that written down with his role, and it's Chief License Renewal Projects Branch NRR/DNRL/NLRP, so thank you, Eric. Let's see, we already had Phyllis Clark?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

MR. ELLIOTT: Yeah.

MS. OLMSTEAD: And Rachel Turney?

MS. TURNEY: Yes.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Can you say who you're with or you're a member of the public?

MS. TURNEY: I'm sorry. It's Rachel Turney-Work and I'm with Enercon Services.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Okay, and Lynn --

MR. ELLIOTT: Van-Derpoel.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Van-Derpoel? I'm sorry. I can't hear you, Lynn. I heard a very soft voice.

MS. VAN-DERPOEL: Lynn Van-Derpoel.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Okay, Lynn, since we're having trouble -- okay, I just saw you got unmuted. Try again.

MS. VAN-DERPOEL: Lynn Van-Derpoel.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Okay, we're having trouble. Can you type in something if you're logged into Skype with an IM like Eric did? That would be great. Okay, I got everybody.

MR. ELLIOTT: Okay.

MS. OLMSTEAD: All right, are there any other people that are logged in as guest or a phone number that want to identify themselves?

MS. STAPLETON: Kim Stapleton, Enercon

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Services.

MR. ELLIOTT: Sorry, I heard Enercon Services. I didn't hear the name.

MS. STAPLETON: Kim Stapleton.

MR. ELLIOTT: Kim Stapleton?

MS. STAPLETON: Yes.

MR. ELLIOTT: Okay.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Okay, I'll leave a moment to see if anybody else is on the line.

MR. ELLIOTT: We can get started.

MS. OLMSTEAD: All right, to get started, I will first introduce Mr. Tam Tran. He is the Project Manager on the NRC's Environmental Review for the Surry Power Station Unit 1 and 2 License Renewal application. Tam will be making a presentation about the NRC's environmental review.

Next, we have Mr. Robert Elliott, a Branch Chief in the NRC's Environmental Review License Renewal Branch. Robert will be presenting opening remarks, and with that, I will turn this over to Robert.

MR. ELLIOTT: Good afternoon. As Joan said, my name is Rob Elliott and I'm the Branch Chief of the NRC Environmental License Renewal Branch. I'd like to welcome you to today's meeting to present the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

preliminary results of the Surry Power Station Subsequent License Renewal Environmental Review.

I will serve as the NRC senior official for today's meeting. The NRC typically holds public meetings on site to present license renewal draft environmental impact statement results.

Today, in the interests of being more efficient with our resources and to improve accessibility to the public, we are trying a new approach, which is to present the results remotely using Skype.

So, since this is kind of a new effort for us, any feedback you have on the results being presented this way would be appreciated, and you can send your comments to our environmental PM, Mr. Tam Tran, whose email is shown on the last slide in the presentation.

A little background, in October of 2019, Dominion Energy submitted its, I think it's 2018, excuse me, Dominion Energy submitted its application to renew the operating licenses for Surry Power Station Units 1 and 2 for an additional 20 years.

Surry's application is the third subsequent license renewal application that the NRC has received. Turkey Point and Peach Bottom are the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

first and second applications.

In reviewing the Surry application, the NRC issued a notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement and to conduct an environmental impact statement scoping meeting in December 2018.

The staff conducted a public meeting in Surry County to brief the public and to collect scoping comments in January 2019.

The meeting today serves two purposes. The first is to brief the public on the staff review and the preliminary results of the draft environmental impact statement that was issued for public comment, and the second is to collect public comments on the preliminary results.

The comments can be verbal from today's meeting, for which we have a court reporter to capture your comments, or the comments can be submitted in writing. The NRC staff will cover how to submit written comments at the end of our presentation.

I thank you for taking the time out of your busy schedules to attend this meeting and to assist the staff with your comments, and with that, I will turn it over to Mr. Tam Tran to present the results.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

MR. TRAN: Okay, I will rely on the slides, so hopefully everybody will have the slides with me if that's possible.

My name is Tam Tran. I am the environmental project manager for the review of the Surry subsequent license renewal applications. This slide, which is the overview slide -- let me -- will provide the overview of my presentations.

I will first take a moment to talk about the NRC regulative role and its missions. Next, I will touch on the background of the Surry application.

Then I will present the staff environmental review of the application and the preliminary results.

Finally, I will present the remaining environmental review milestones to complete the staff review, and the most important part of today's meeting, which is the collection of public comments and written comments. All right, we'll turn to the next slide.

The NRC is an independent agency that regulates the domestic use of nuclear materials, including the use of nuclear materials for electric power generation such as Surry Power Stations.

The NRC's authority and environmental obligation comes from three main statutes. The Atomic

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Energy Act authorized the NRC to grant 40-year operating licenses for nuclear power plants and to grant renewal operating licenses, the Energy Reorganization Act that created the NRC from the original atomic energy commissions, and the National Environmental Policy Act that require an agency to prepare an environmental impact statement for major federal action that significantly affects the quality of the human environment.

The environmental impact statement serves two purposes. These are an environmental decision tool and it is a disclosure document. The National Environmental Policy Act requires federal agencies to follow a systematic approach in evaluating of environmental impacts of the proposed federal action and alternatives to the proposed action.

The proposed action for Surry is the renewal of the operating licenses. The NEPA process encourages public participation and public disclosure. That is the reason why we have today's meeting.

And in conducting any review, NRC's mission is threefold, to ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, to promote the common defense and security, and to protect the environment.

For Surry's subsequent license review, the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

NRC's objective is to determine whether Surry can continue to be safely operated for an additional 20 years, and to determine the environmental impact from such continued operations. I'm going to turn over to the next slide.

This slide shows the licensing history of Surry Power Station. Surry received its operating licenses for Units 1 and 2 in 1972 and 1973 respectively.

Surry received renewal licenses for both units in 2003, and in October 2018, Dominion Energy submitted an application to renew these licenses for a second time such that these licenses will expire in 2032 and 2033 if not renewed. Subsequent license renewal for Surry would allow continued operation of Units 1 and 2. I'm going to turn to the next slide.

The NRC staff documents its environmental review in an environmental impact statement which incorporates public comments and is publicly available for public inspections.

Some of the environmental impacts related to license renewal are similar across multiple plants.

To improve efficiency, the NRC used a generic environmental impact statement to address these similar impacts that are common to all nuclear power

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

plants. Or for a distinct subset of plants. For example, plants that use a cooling tower or plants that use a cooling pond are subsets of plants.

As a part of our environmental review, the staff examines the conclusion in the generic environmental impact statement to determine if there is any new or significant information.

In other words, in the supplemental environmental impact statement for Surry, the staff answered the question, "Is there any new significant information that would change the conclusion in the generic environmental impact statement?"

The staff also supplemented the generic environmental impact statement with a discussion of the environmental impacts that are specific to Surry Power Station. The results are documented in the supplemental environmental impact statement for Surry Power Station Supplement 6, Second license renewal. I will turn to the next slide.

For the environmental review, the NRC looks at a wide range of environmental resource areas and evaluates the impact to these resources from the continued operation of Surry. This slide illustrates the resource areas that the NRC reviews.

As documented in the draft supplemental

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

environmental impact statement, the staff looked at socioeconomics, air quality, water quality, human health, aquatic ecology, terrestrial ecology, and so on.

In conducting environmental reviews, the staff is aided with information from the license renewal application, from consultation with state, tribal, and local government agencies, from the NRC's own independent environmental review which includes a Surry site visit and audit, and finally, from public comments such as from today's meeting. I'm turning over to the next slide.

The NRC staff addresses environmental resource areas by analyzing the impacts that the operation of Surry Power Station may have on the resources. The staff then categorizes the impact level as small, moderate, or large. The definitions are listed on this slide.

These impact levels are based on whether, A, the impacts are not detectable or not noticeable, or B, the impacts are detectable, but does not destabilize the environment of the resource area, or C, the impacts are sufficiently substantial which alter and destabilize the resource area. I will turn to the next slide.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

For some environmental resource areas, the categorization of impacts is dictated by statute or executive orders and not by the NRC's small, moderate, or large determinations. This slide shows the definitions of the impacts for threatened and endangered species and essential fish habitats.

Under the Endangered Species Act, there are three levels of impact, no effect, may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect or adversely modify, or may affect and is likely to adversely affect or adversely modify.

Similarly, under the Magnuson-Stevens Act, there are three levels of impact, no adverse impact, minimal adverse impact, and substantial adverse impact. I'm turning over to the next slide.

This slide shows the definition of the impacts for cultural and historic resources and environmental justice. For the environmental justice, the staff followed the Commission guidance in looking at whether there is disproportionately high, and adverse human health, and the environmental effects on minority and low-income populations. Next slide.

The preliminary results showed small impacts for all of the 11 resources listed on this slide, including land use, air quality, surface water,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

groundwater, aquatic and terrestrial resources, socioeconomics, and so on. Next slide.

With respect to special status species and habitats, the continued operation of Surry Power Station may effect, but is not likely to adversely affect the northern long-eared bat, short nose sturgeon, and Atlantic sturgeon.

It may effect, but is not likely to adversely modify the designated critical habitat of the Chesapeake Bay distinct population segment of the Atlantic sturgeon, and no more than minimal adverse effects on the essential fish habitats of the species listed on this slide, and no adverse effects on the essential fish habitats or any life stages of the species listed on this slide.

In evaluating the impacts for special status species and habitats, the staff consulted with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service as appropriate. Next slide.

In looking at historic and cultural resources, the staff consulted with the state historic preservation officer and tribal nation that have historical ties with the Surry Power Station vicinity.

The preliminary results indicate that license renewal of Surry would not adversely affect known historic

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

properties.

For environmental justice, the preliminary results show no disproportionate high and adverse human health and environmental effects of minority and low-income populations.

For postulated accidents, the results are discussed in the draft SEIS. Specifically, the results of the Severe Accident Mitigation Alternative Review are listed in Appendix F of the draft SEIS.

For cumulative impacts, the National Environmental Policy Act required the staff to take a hard look at the impacts of the continued operation of Surry Power Station in combination with other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions in the area. The results are discussed in Section 4.16 of the draft SEIS. Next slide.

For cumulative impacts to the environment, this slide shows all of the actions in the vicinity of Surry Power Station which were considered by the staff, as well as the impacts of climate change. These include an electric generation facility adjacent to Surry in Yorktown, renewable energy facilities nearby, manufacturing facilities in the Surry vicinity, military and other federal facilities. Next slide.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

For alternatives to Surry license renewal, the staff initially considered 16 alternatives. For reasons of commercial viability or technology limitations, the staff eliminated 13 alternatives from in-depth evaluations.

The staff evaluated three alternatives in-depth in the draft SEIS. These are new nuclear alternative, which is small modular reactor, natural gas combined-cycle alternative, and a combination alternative consisting of natural gas combined-cycle, solar, and demand side management consideration. The staff also evaluated the no action alternative for which Surry licenses are not renewed.

As discussed in the draft SEIS, the staff preliminary conclusion shows the adverse environmental impacts for Surry Power Station license renewal are not so great that preserving the option of subsequent license renewal for energy planning decision makers would be unreasonable.

In other words, the environmental impacts of the continued operation of Surry Power Station are not so great that it would warrant the denying of the renewal licenses.

This slide shows the remaining important milestones to complete the Surry review. The draft

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

SEIS was issued on October 17, 2019. The public comment period started with the issuing of the EPA notice for availability in the Federal Register regarding the issuing of the draft SEIS, and we have the public meeting today to collect comments. The comment period ends on December 10, 2019, and the staff anticipates to issue the SEIS in April 2020. Go to the next slide.

This slide provides links to several important web pages. You can inspect the Surry draft SEIS at the local library listed on this slide. You can access the Surry project information at the link listed on this slide.

If you would like to receive correspondence related to Surry projects and others, you can join the Operating Reactor Correspondence at the link listed on this slide. You can also use the NRC Agencywide Document Access Management System link on this slide to access the NRC documents of interest.

I'm turning to the next slide.

In addition to the Surry draft SEIS, the website for the Surry projects has links to the subsequent license renewal application, the environmental report, the current schedule, and the project managers associated with the Surry plant, so

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

this slide is basically representing the status and schedule for the whole project, including both the safety review and the environmental review. Go to the next slide.

This slide shows the most important part of today's meeting. It shows how you can submit comments on the draft SEIS. The NRC will accept comments until December 10, 2019. You can submit comments by mail, by email, or through the regulations.gov website.

We will leave this slide up for the remainder of the meeting. You can also download this slide packet from the same NRC public website that announced today's meeting. This completes my presentation and I will now turn the meeting over to Joan.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Thanks, Tam. I'd like to open up the phones and see if anyone has questions about Tam's presentation. I'll ask you to hold comments on the draft SEIS until later in the meeting, so for right now, let's focus on any questions for the presentation.

All right, for people that are attending through Skype, you can type using the icon for an IM, and I can see your name and ask you to speak. Amanda,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

are we still on the bridge line?

OPERATOR: We are. If you'd like to ask a question, please press star, one. We have no one in the queue.

MS. OLMSTEAD: All right, thank you. Since I'm not getting anyone through Skype either, I'm going to give it another minute. Also, if you're on Skype and you just want to talk, that's okay too if you don't want to type.

MR. ELLIOTT: I think we should just --

MS. OLMSTEAD: Just move on?

MR. ELLIOTT: Move on.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Yeah, now, I had planned for a 10-minute break. It's 2:07, and then we can see if there's any comments, or we could just move into that and we'll close the meeting early.

MR. ELLIOTT: I think since there haven't been any questions, let's go ahead and move onto comments.

MS. OLMSTEAD: That's what I'm thinking of too. Okay, so does anybody have any comments on the draft supplemental environmental impact statement? And again, if you're on the phone line, you can talk to Amanda, or you can type in something here or talk through Skype.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

OPERATOR: We have no comments on the phone line.

MS. OLMSTEAD: Okay, I'm going to give it one more minute or so for the Skype folks too, just because an important part for our meeting today is trying to solicit comments on the SEIS.

MR. ELLIOTT: This is Rob Elliott. If you think of something after the meeting, you, of course, can submit your comments in writing.

MS. OLMSTEAD: And I'd like to remind everyone that the public comment period ends on December 10, 2019, and as Rob said, you can still submit comments, and as you see the slide, that the comments can be by office mail or you can send them to Tam directly, and his email address and phone number is there, and also you can send it through the internet at www.regulations.gov, and the docket number is there, NRC-2018-0130.

As a reminder too, comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, so please do not include any information in comments that you do not want publicly disclosed.

Thank you again for attending this meeting. Before Robert gives you his final remarks and adjourns the meeting, I want to remind everyone

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

who hasn't signed in to please do so. I sent around a sign-in sheet around the room.

And also, I think if anybody came in later to the meeting, please add your contact information to, like, through the IM messaging system so we'll have that for our transcript for the meeting.

And if you can contact me directly or at my email or phone number, or Tam, to give us feedback on this meeting, because this is a new format we're using through Skype Meeting. It [feedback] would be very helpful for us because your input helps us improve future meetings.

So now I'll have Robert adjourn the meeting. Robert?

MR. ELLIOTT: Okay, so I have the pleasure of closing out the meeting today. I want to thank everybody for attending the meeting. I would thank you for providing comments, but I don't think we got any comments, which is a good thing, I guess.

So the meeting had been transcribed as Joan indicated, so we'll review the transcription, but I don't think there was any comments made today, but going forward, as I say, if you submit comments in writing, we will certainly consider any comments that we receive as we finalize our review of the draft

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

supplemental environmental impact statement.

All of my summary comments are all about what we're going to do with the comments that were submitted, so I'm going to skip all of that and just close out and say thank you again for attending.

I would very much like to hear feedback through Tam on how you felt the meeting went today. I think we did have a little bit of audio challenges that we could certainly improve on, but I would like to know whether or not you feel this was an effective way to conduct this meeting, and if there were challenges that you felt we need to address, we would love to get that feedback as well because I think we would like to be able to leverage technology to be able to reach out more effectively to people, and so we would appreciate it. The feedback would be very important to helping us figure out how best to do that.

With that, I will adjourn today's meeting, and thank you very much for your attendance.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 2:12 p.m.)

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701