

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| FROM Rep. James Leslie Ashley (Ohio) | CONTROL NUMBER 3103 | FILE LOCATION |
| | DATE OF DOCUMENT 2/10/71 | ...TION COMPLETION DEADLINE |
| TO OCE | ACTION PROCESSING DATES Acknowledged _____ Interim Report _____ Final _____ | PREPARE FOR SIGNATURE OF: _____ Chairman _____ Director of Regulation |

| | |
|---|--|
| DESCRIPTION Ltr <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Copy <input type="checkbox"/> Other | REMARKS 2304 Reply for signature of Dir. of Reg. Incoming ltr to be returned w/ response |
| 23040 | |

| REFERRED TO | DATE | IS NOTIFICATION TO THE JCAE RECOMMENDED? |
|---|----------------|--|
| Sullivan f/action | 2/17/70 | _____ |
| Cys: Morris Shaper Yore, ASLB FDR (50-346) <i>Desk Files</i> | | Copy sent PDR |

DO NOT DETACH THIS COPY

DIRECTOR OF REGULATION
COMMUNICATIONS CONTROL

Form HQ-32 (6-70)
USA EC

POOR ORIGINAL

8008060 973 u

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, U.S.
WASHINGTON, D.C.

February 10, 1971

196

The attached communication is submitted for your consideration, and to ask that the request made therein be complied with, if possible.

If you will advise me of your action in this matter and have the letter returned to me with your reply, I will appreciate it.

POOR ORIGINAL

Very truly yours,

Thomas Ludlow Ashley

M.C.

Ohio - 9th District

Rec'd Off. Dir. of Reg.

Date 2/16/71

Time 4.00

DE-3103 ✓

HENRY P. DRAKE, M. D.
UNIVERSITY MEDICAL BLDG.
2525 WEST BANCROFT STREET
TOLEDO, OHIO - 43607

January 29, 1971

Representative Thomas Ludlow Ashley
House of Representatives
Rayburn Building
Washington D. C.

Dear Representative Ashley:

Thank you very much for your reply in regard to our letter of concern about the Davis Bessie Power Plant.

I read Mr. Davis' reply with interest and while it assures us that the Edison Company has no interest in our land, and this was of no concern, we are still not assured our Sand Beach area will not be in a zone that may be considered unsafe for habitation.

The letter from Mr. Davis, if you will note, says only that the Edison Company isn't interested in our land and I'm sure they are not. It certainly would not be necessary for their construction, but the safety factor may make it essential for this area to be vacated and we would like some assurance about this possibility, prior to the Edison Company constructing the plant.

Unless we can be sure that this is going to be a safe area in which to live we are opposed to the construction of such a plant.

Sincerely,

Henry P. Drake
Henry P. Drake, M. D.

HPD/blf

POOR
ORIGINAL