UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

RIGHIC ENERGI	AN 13 1971
In the Matter of)	A market wat
THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY) AND THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC)	Docket No. 50-346
ILLUMINATING COMPANY	INTERROGATORIES TO AEC REGULATORY STAFF
(Davis-Besse Nuclear Power) Station)	

1. How long has SAC been using the area around the Big Rock Point reactor in Michigan for making simulated test runs in unarmed B 52's?

2. What assurances do we have that the area around the proposed Davis-Besse plant will not be used in the future for purposes similar to those described in question 1 above.

3. In whom does the responsibility for quality control reside during construction phases of the Davis-Besse facility.

4. What are the qualifications of those to whom the quality control referred to in question 3 is assigned.

5. Are the specifications as far as materials and manner of fabrication for construction by Bechtel and Babcock & Wilcox fixed as stated in the PSAR or can changes be made as the construction proceeds.

8003060 934

DOCKEIED

URLEC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this / A day of January, 1971 mailed copies of the foregoing Interrogatories to AEC Regulatory Staff to Gerald Charnoff, Esq., Attorney for Applicant, at Shaw, Pittman, Potts, Trowbridge & Madden, 910 17th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006; to Thomas S. Engelhardt of the AEC Regulatory Staff, Washington D.C. 20545; to Russell Z. Baron, Esq., Attorney for the Coalition for Safe Nuclear Power, at Brannon, Ticktin, Baron & Manzini, Cleveland, Ohio; to Jerome Kalur, Esq., Attorney for Glenn Lau, at National City Bank Bldg., Cleveland, Ohio; and by hand delivery to Wilson Snyder, Esq., Attorney for Toledo Edison Company, Fuller, Seney, Henry & Hodge, 800 Owens-Illinois Building, Toledo, Ohio.

Atrice K. Steinber

