

LOWELL E. ROE Vice President Facilities Development (419) 259-5242

File: 0017: 0220

Docket 50-346

Stamone "

July 18, 1975

Mr. A. Giambusso, Director Division of Reactor Licensing United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Mr. Giambusso:

Enclosed are the minutes of the meeting held between the Toledo Edison Company and the NRC on the first group of Toledo Edison Company's comments on the "B & W Standardized Technical Specifications" which were transmitted to Leon Engle of the MRC in a letter dated May 28, 1975. These minutes are intended to assure that both the Toledo Edison Company and the NRC have the same understanding as to the resolution of the items discussed at the meeting. Please notify us if you have any additions or corrections to these minutes.

Yours very truly,

buell St

Enclosure

cp e/1

- cc:
- R. Martin w/a
- L. Engle W/a
- A. Schwencer w/a

bcc: P. M. Smart, Esq. L. Henry, Esq. G. Charnoff, Esq. D. H. Hauser, Esq. E. C. Novak J. D. Lenardson J. G. Evans J. B. Olmstead P. P. Anas A. H. Lazar

THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY EDISON PLAZA

300 MADISON AVENUE TOLEDO, OHIO 43652

8002270619

6-11-75 Meeting Minutes

. .

1 . ..

Subject: TECo Comments on "B & W Standardized Technical Specifications".

Attendees: R. Bottimore - NRC R. Martin - NRC Region III E. C. Novak - TECo J. G. Evans - TECo G. L. Hurrell - TECo G. D. Humphreys - TECo F. Levandoski - B & W W. Brunson - B & W

The meeting began at about 3 PM following the NRC/Utility Group meeting.

R. Bottimore asked when the B & W input would be provided. Toledo Edison indicated that the B & W input would be provided by 8-1-75. R. Bottimore stated that 8-1-75 would not support the review schedule and could jeopardize the operating license date of issuance. Toledo Edison indicated that they would request a better date from B & W.

R. Bottimore further stated that some B & W input which required analysis could be a little late without jeopardizing the schedule, but the bulk of the B & W input is required as per the schedule.

The TECo comments were then discussed and the following notes are keyed to the TECo comments.

- 1.3 OK, closed.
- 1.6 R. Bottimore has pursued this item with his superiors before with no success, but he will try again. For purposes of these notes the NRC response is No, closed.
- 1.8 First part No, closed Second part - Open, R. Bottimore will pursue.
- No, closed. The definition should take exception for the incore detectors only.
- 1.17 Open, some change will be made by NRC.
- 1.18 Open, R. Bottimore will pursue.
- 1.23 OK. TECo is to provide proper terminology for the access doors.
- 1.24 Response Time Testing had been discussed earlier in the day at the B & W UG/NRC meeting. The NRC sees no further need for a meeting or Response Time Testing.

1.26	Open, the NRC is considering this comment internally.
Table 1.1.	First Part - No, closed. The temperatures on this table for the HOT SHUTDOWN and HOT STANDBY MODES is to be based on the Decay Heat Removal System operational setpoint ie. 280°F for DB-1. Second Part - Open, R. Bottimore will pursue.
2.1.1	Open, R. Bottimore will pursue.
2.1.1.	Needed as soon as possible.
2.1.2	Needed as soon as possible.
2.1.3	No, closed.
2.2.1	First Part - OK, closed Second Part - OK, closed.
2.2.1	Need B & W input.
2.2.1	OK, closed.
2.2.1	Need B & W input.
Bases 2.1.1	Need B & W input.
Bases 2.1.2	OK, closed.
Bases 2.2.1	OK, closed.
Bases 2.2.1	Need B & W input.
3.1.1.1	Open, R. Martin proposed specifying that the operable boron injection flow path be utilized. R. Bottimore will pursue.
4.1.1.1.1b	Need B & W input.
4.1.1.1.2	Need B & w input.
3.1.1.2	Open, R. Bottimore will pursue.
4.1.1.2	Open, R. Bottimore will pursue.
3/4.1.1.3	Need B & W input.
3.1.1.4	OK, closed.
4.1.1.4b	OK, closed.
3.1.2.1	OK, closed.

. . .

	4.1.2.1	No, closed. TECo still feels that the comment is valid and will pursue further.			
4.1.2.2		OK, closed.			
		Same as 4.1.2.1.			
		OK, closed.			
	4.1.2.3	First Part - OK closed.			
		Second Part - Same as 4.1.2.1.			
	4.1.2.4	Same as 4.1.2.1.			
	The meeting was adjourned at this point and was continued the next day via conference call.				
	6-12-75				
	Conference cal.	1 between G. L. Hurrell, G. D. Humphreys and R. Bottimore.			
	3.1.2.5	Open, R. Bottimore believes that 3.1.2.5 and 4.1.2.5 are inconsistant. TECo will pursue.			
	4.1.2.5	Same as 3.1.2.5.			
	3.1.2.7	OK, closed.			
	4.1.2.7	Same as 4.1.2.1.			
	3.1.2.8	First Part - OK, closed. Second Part - OK, however, a figure must be supplied for the specification which shows the required concentration to volume relationship. TECo will supply the figure.			
		Third Part - OK, closed.			
	4.1.2.8	OK, closed.			
	3.1.2.9	OK, closed.			
	4.1.2.9	OK, closed.			
	3.1.3	OK, closed.			
	3.1.3.1	Need B & W input.			

3.1.3.1 OK, closed.

, · · ·

3.1.3.1 OK, closed.

-3-

- 3.1.3.1 Need B & W input.
- 4.1.3.1.1 OK, closed.

.*

. .

- 3.1.3.2 OK, closed.
- 3/4.1.3.3 R. Bottimore stated that the core performance review staff was requiring that both the AIP and RPI channels be operable. The reviewers referenced by R. Bottimore were Marv Dunenfeld and Walter Brooks. Communication with these reviewers should be made via the NRC Project Manager for DB- 1.
- 3.1.3.4 OK, closed.
- 3.1.3.4 OK, closed.
- 3.1.3.4 Need B & W input.
- 3.1.3.5 Open, specify 100% except for surveillance testing pursuant to Technical Specification 4.1.3.1.2.
- 3.1.3.6 Need B & W input.
- 4.1.3.6 No, closed. R. Bottimore stated that the position of the rods must be determined once every 4 hours unless the computer is in operation. TECo still feels that once per 12 hours is sufficient and will pursue further.
- 4.1.3.7 OK, closed.
- 3.1.3.8 Need B & W input.
- 3.2.1 Need B & W input.

4.2.1 Open, R. Bottimore will pursue further.

3.2.2, 4.2.2 No, closed. The core performance reviewers require that these 3.2.3, 4.2.3 specifications be included in the Technical Specification.

3.2.4, 4.2.4 First Part - OK, TECo will provide proper terminology.

Second Part - Need B & W input.

Third Part - No, closed. TECo still feels that the comment is valid and will pursue further.

Table 3.3-1 No, closed. The reviewer to be contacted via the NRC Project Manager for DB-1 is Mr. Don Tandi.

Table 3.3-1 Same as above.

-4-

Table 3.3-1	Open, TECo should run a certified test to show that 2 hours not enough time to perform testing on an RPS channel.
Table 3.3-2	Same as 1.24.
Table 4.3-1	OK, closed.
3.3.2.1	The DB-1 rewrite is not acceptable to the NRC. R. Bottimore suggested using "incident levels" to conform to the format in the STS.

Resumed on 6/13/75, same participants as 6/12/75.

4 ct ..

3.3.3.1	Open, R. Bottimore will pursue further.	
Table 3.3-6	OK, closed.	
3.3.3.2	OK, closed.	
4.3.3.2	No, closed.	
4.3.3.2	No, closed.	
Table 3.3-7 & 4.3-4	OK, closed.	
Table 3.3-8 & 4.3-5	OK, closed.	
Table 3.3-9 & 4.3-6	Ok, closed.	
3/4.3.3.6	OK, closed.	
Bases for Secti	ion 3/4.1 First Part - OK, closed.	
	Second Part - Need B & W input.	
Bases for Secti	ion 3/4.2 Need B & W input.	
Bases for Secti	ion 3/4.3 OK, closed.	