UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING APPEAL BOARD

In the Matter of

The Toledo Edison Company and
The Cleveland Electric Illuminating
(Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station,
Units 1, 2 and 3)

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating
Company, et al.
(Perry Nuclear Power Plant,
Units 1 and 2)

Show The Cleveland Electric Illuminating
Company, et al.
(Perry Nuclear Power Plant,
Units 1 and 2)

MOTION TO STRIKE

Pursuant to Section 2.730 of the Commission's Rules of Practice (10 C.F.R. 2.730) the Department of Justice hereby moves the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board to strike the last sentence of footnote 9 on page 13 and all of Appendix B of Applicants' Reply Brief.

On August 4, 1977 Applicants in the above-styled proceeding filed their Reply Brief with this Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board. Referred to in footnote 9 and attached as Appendix B of that Reply Brief are three documents which Applicants assert contradict the statement of the Department's witness Mr. Lewis that an affidavit prepared by him (NRC 127) was not prepared for then pending litigation (Tr. 5618-19).

The Department moves to strike footnote 9 and Appendix B on the grounds that the documents constitute evidentiary materials, which materials should have been introduced prior to the close of the record when the Department would have had the opportunity for cross examination and the presentation of rebuttal documents or witnesses. To permit the introduction of these documents during the final briefing of this appeal will deny the Department its right of procedural due process.

Applicants have not shown good cause for their untimely introduction of evidentiary materials. See Locklin v. Switzer Brothers, Inc., 299 F.2d 160 (9th Cir. 1961), cert. den. 369 U.S. 861. Nor could they do so. All of the documents included in Appendix B were turned over to Applicants on November 27, 1974 (Response of Department of Justice to Applicants' First Request For Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories, at 9-11, items 34, 48 and 50) more than one year prior to Mr. Lewis' appearance at the hearing and more than two and one half years before Applicants' present submission to the Appeal Board. Applicants' had a full and fair opportunity to cross examine Mr. Lewis on the origins of his affidavit and to offer these documents into evidence. The Department specifically asked Mr. Lewis if he recalled why the affidavit was prepared (Tr. 5617), thus clearly opening the topic to cross examination. Nevertheless, Applicants, despite reneated reference to the affidavit (see, e.g., Tr. 5635, 5637 and 5643), failed to cross examine Mr. Lewis as to its origins or introduce the documents which they now present.

On their face the subject documents raise questions which directly affect their probative value. The documents do not indicate whether Mr. Ardery contacted Mr. Lewis and requested the affidavit or whether the affidavit was obtained from an independent source. They do not indicate what information was conveyed to Mr. Lewis if he was contacted by Mr. Ardery. These questions cannot be answered without introduction of additional evidence into the record.

For the foregoing reasons, the Department of Justice respectfully moves the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board to strike the last sentence of footnote 9 on page 13 and all of Appendix B of Applicants' Reply Brief.

Respectfully submitted,

Melin of Berger

Janet R. Urban

Attorneys, Antitrust Division

Department of Justice Washington, D. C. 20530

August 10, 1977

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING APPEAL BOARD

In the Matter of)	
The Toledo Edison Company and The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Units 1, 2 and 3)	Docket Nos.	50-346A 50-500A 50-501A
The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, et al. (Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2)	Docket Nos.	50-440A 50-441A

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of MOTION TO STRIKE have been served upon all of the parties listed on the attachment hereto by deposit in the United States mail, first class, airmail or by hand this 10th day of August 1977.

Millow of Berger

Attorney, Antitrust Division

Department of Justice

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board

In the Matter of	
THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY and THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY (Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1)	Docket No. 50-346A
THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY, ET AL. (Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2)	Docket Nos. 50-440A 50-441A
(Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station,) United 2 and)	Docket Nos. 50-500A 50-501A

SERVICE LIST

Jerome R. St. Man, Esq.
Atomic Sale y and Licensing
Appeal Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Richard S. S. Izman, Esq.
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Appeal Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing
Appeal Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Douglas V. Rigler, Esq.
Chairman, Ftomic Safety and
Licensi & Board
Foley, Laran, Hollabaugh and Jacobs
Chanin Building - Suite 206
815 Connection in August N.W.
Washington 1 20006

Ivan W. Smith, Esq.
Atomic Safety and Licensing Forch
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

John M. Frysiak, Esq.
Atomic Safety and Licensing Boal'
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commissia.
Washington, D. C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Docketing & Service Section Office of the Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20006

Joseph Rutberg, Esq.
Benjamin H. Vogler, Esq.
Roy P. Lessy, Jr., Esq.
Office of the Executive
Legal Director
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Gerald Charnoff, Esq.
Wm. Bradford Reynolds, Esq.
Robert E. Zahler, Esq.
Jay H. Bernstein, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts &
Trowbridge
1800 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Reuben Goldberg, Esq.
David C. Hjelmfelt, Esq.
Michael D. Oldak, Esq.
Goldberg, Fieldman & Hjelmfelt
Suite 650
1700 Pannsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20006

city of level but cle clend, big.

Frank R. Clobey, Esq. Sectial Assit Forney General Room 219 Town Touse Apprenents Harrisburg, PA 17105

Victor F. Greenslade, Jr. Esq.
William J. Kerrer, Esq.
The Cleveland Electric
Illuminating Company
55 Public Square
Cleveland, Ohio 44101

Michael M. Briley, Esq. Paul M. Smart, Esq. Fuller, Henry, Hodge & Snyder P. O. Box 2088 Toledo, Ohio 43603

Russell J. Spetrino, Esq. Thomas A. Kiyuha, Esq. Ohio Edison C. ncany 47 North Ma 1 5. eet Akron, Ohio 14303 Terence H. Benbow, Esq.
A. Edward Grashof, Esq.
Steven A. Berger, Esq.
Steven B. Peri, Esq.
Winthrop, Stimson, Putnam & Roberts
40 Wall Street
New York, New York 10005

Thomas J. Munsch, Esq. General Attorney
Duquesne Light Company
435 Sixth Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15219

David McNeil Olds, Esq. Reed Smith Shaw & McClay Union Trust Building Box 2009 Pittsburgh, PA 15230

Lee A. Rau, Esq.
Joseph A. Rieser, Jr., Esq.
Reed Smith Shaw & McClay
Suite 900
1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

James R. Edgerly, Esq. Secretary and General Counsel Pennsylvania Power Company One East Washington Street New Castle, PA 16103

John Lansdale, Esq. Cox, Langford & Brown 21 Dupont Circle, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20036

Alan P. Buchmann, Esq. Squire, Sanders & Dempsey 1800 Union Commerce Building Cleveland, Ohio 44115

Edward A. Matto, Esq.
Richard M. Firestone, Esq.
Karen H. Adkins, Esq.
Antitrust Section
30 E. Broad Street, 15th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Christopher R. Schraff, Esq. Assistant Attorney General Environmental Law Section 361 E. Broad Street, 8th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215