

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
OFFICE OF INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT

REGION III

Report of Construction Inspection

IE Inspection Report No. 050-346/75-09

Licensee: Toledo Edison Company
300 Madison Avenue
Toledo, Ohio 43652

Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station
Oak Harbor, Ohio

License No. CPPR-80
Category: B

Type of Licensee: PWR (B&W) 872 MWe

Type of Inspection: Special, Unannounced

Dates of Inspection: May 19 - 22, 1975

Principal Inspector:

J. W. Sutton
J. W. Sutton

6-19-75
(Date)

Accompanying Inspectors: F. J. Jablonski

F. J. Jablonski
F. J. Jablonski

6-17-75
(Date)

K. R. Naidu
K. R. Naidu

6-17-75
(Date)

C. E. Jones
C. E. Jones

6-17-75
(Date)

Other Accompanying Personnel: None

Reviewed By:

D. W. Hayes
D. W. Hayes
Senior Inspector
Construction and Engineering
Support Branch

6/19/75
(Date)

Attachment 1

800204005

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Inspection Summary

Inspection of May 19-22, 1975 (75-09): Special-Electrical. Reviewed cable installation records and observed cable, raceway and support installations. Five (5) items of noncompliance were identified: inadequate review of QA program; failure to translate seismic and electrical separation requirements into specifications and procedures; failure to perform electrical inspections in accordance with procedures; acceptance of cable routing cards when cables were determined to have been incorrectly routed; and failure to promptly correct conditions adverse to electrical construction.

Enforcement Action

A. Items of Noncompliance

1. Violations

Contrary to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion II, the licensee has not reviewed the status, adequacy and implementation of the Quality Assurance Program. Specific Items of Noncompliance with 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criteria III, V, XIV, and XVI, when viewed collectively, indicate a break down in management and procedural controls with respect to maintenance of an effective Quality Assurance Program. (Report Details, Paragraph 4)

This violation was identified by the inspectors and had the substantial potential for causing or contributing to an item with safety significance.

2. Infractions

- a. Contrary to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion III, requirements relative to seismic support of electrical conduit and cable separation criteria have not been translated into specifications, procedures, and instructions. (Report Details, Paragraph 3.a)

POOR ORIGINAL