

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File
RP Rdg
PWR-4
HFaulkner
EGouibourne

MAR 1 1973

R. C. DeYoung, Assistant Director for Pressurized Water Reactors, L
Thru: A. Schwencer, Chief, Pressurized Water Reactors Branch #4, L

MEETING WITH TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY CONCERNING PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF THE
OPERATING LICENSE APPLICATION FOR DAVIS BESSE UNIT 1, DOCKET NO. 50-346

Enclosed is the summary of the meeting held at Bethesda, Maryland on
February 6, 1973 with Toledo Edison Company. An attendance list is also
enclosed.

Approved by
Howard J. Faulkner

H. J. Faulkner, Project Manager
Pressurized Water Reactors Branch #4
Directorate of Licensing

Enclosures:

- 1. Meeting summary of 2/6/73
- 2. Attendance List

cc: AEC PDR
Local PDR
R. S. Boyd
D. Skovholt
D. Knuth
R. Maccary
R. Tedesco
H. Denton
PWR Branch Chiefs
R. W. Klecker
M. Rosen
RO (3)
Each ~~copy~~ AEC participant

CRESS #	OFFICE	L:PWR-4	L:PWR-4				
MC# 219197	SURNAME	HFaulkner:jk	ASchwencer				
M2/15/73	DATE	2/26/73	2/26/73				

8002030211

ENCLOSURE 1

TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY - DAVIS BESSE UNIT 1

DOCKET NO. 50-346

SUMMARY OF MEETING-FEBRUARY 6, 1973

A meeting was held with Toledo Edison Company in Bethesda, Maryland on February 6, 1973 to discuss the preliminary review results for the operating license application of Davis Besse Unit 1. With respect to the probable maximum flood (PMF), the applicant was informed to provide hydrologic parameters and to more fully describe the discharge of the Toussaint River into Lake Erie. The applicant anticipates submitting material during the week of February 19 covering the deficiencies identified during the preliminary review.

DISCUSSION

The meeting was requested by Toledo Edison Company to 1) clarify two of our FSAR deficiency comments arising from the preliminary review of the OL application and 2) clarify procedural matters with respect to resubmitting information and subsequent docketing of the application. The specific items discussed are listed below:

1. Probable Maximum Flood Bases. We had commented that additional bases were needed for concluding a PMF on the Toussaint River does not constitute a flood threat to the plant. This could be accomplished by providing additional hydrologic parameters including the probable maximum precipitation and run-off models. Additionally, a description in more detail of the discharge of the mouth of the Toussaint River into Lake Erie should be provided.
2. Potential Effects of Cooling Towers. The applicant informed us that copies of a study of the potential effects of cooling towers on the environment were included in their Environmental Report. They asked if additional copies would be required with the FSAR. We agreed to review this with the Site Safety Branch and inform Toledo Edison Company of our decision by telephone.

POOR ORIGINAL

OFFICE ▶

SURNAME ▶

DATE ▶

3. Application Processing. Toledo Edison Company plans to submit replies to our FSAR deficiency list during the week of February 19. In connection with this, they raised some questions concerning the procedural aspects of submitting this information such as the need for a formal amendment, the need for additional Oaths of Affirmation and the format of their responses. At the suggestion of OGC we agreed to review these questions in light of current regulations and inform the applicant of our requirements by telephone.

4. Meeting Minutes. The applicant was informed that all AEC meeting minutes are now forwarded to the public document room and are available to the general public. It was stated that the responsibility for identifying proprietary information presented during the course of any meeting resides with Toledo Edison Company.

POOR ORIGINAL

OFFICE ▶							
SURNAME ▶							
DATE ▶							

ENCLOSURE 2

ATTENDANCE LIST

AEC

H. J. Faulkner, L
W. Bivens, L
L. Powel, OGC
F. Davis, OGC
C. Young, Reactor Operations

TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY

E. Novak
L. Roe

BABCOCK AND WILCOX

R. Luken
R. Steinke

BECHTEL

J. Seoni
W. Mable

POOR ORIGINAL

OFFICE ▶

SURNAME ▶

DATE ▶